

Hi Tahlia and Others

ON GREAT NEW INFORMATION SHARING AND ZOOM DELIVERY METHODS WHICH ARE THE BEST I'VE EVER SEEN IN ACUMEN OR OTHER STRATA OR ALMOST ANYWHERE

I PROPOSE WE ADDRESS OUR KEY PROJECTS AS A RESEARCH TEAM DOING REGIONAL COMMUNITY RESEARCH AND EDUCATION PROJECTS BASED ON MEETING MINUTES, INSURANCE DRIVEN VALUATIONS, BY-LAWS AND OTHER KEY HEALTH, SECURITY AND SAFETY CONCERNS ON PARTICULAR GROUNDS

PERSONALLY, I'M HAPPY TO HAVE BEAUTY AND OTHER SPIRITUAL CONCERNS THROWN IN BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALWAYS BEEN LEGAL CONCERNS

At 78 I've led an early sheltered life and find I'm learning about a lot of stuff relevant to my growing range of lifelong interests, especially lately, with a lot more information. On the other hand, I don't want this intellectual interest to take over my life, especially if we are all going to have to pay for it ourselves through strata fees or in other less obvious ways like relationship break-downs. I fear travelling alone to China, for reasons discussed attached with Aihua.

I thought we had our most illuminating meetings over Zoom last night and at the AGM about problems at this place. This again showed our strengths as a key community research team engaged on projects in the real world at St James Court in Glebe. I certainly don't seek to put down any others, as much as to learn from their knowledge and associations in any quarter in order to learn and do better. Therefore, I propose the following free entry or exit route with the exception of Tahlia, who is engaged with real owners' corporation money to project-manage us, alone or with others, I would have thought. Correct me if I am wrong, for Christ's sake, but at the end of the day I think they pay to hear from me, not you. That is the magic of forgotten money.

LET US THINK OF OURSELVES BEST, PERHAPS, AS A LOOSE COMMUNITY RESEARCH TEAM IN REGARD TO KEY EVOLVING PROJECTS AND PROBLEMS, SUCH AS THOSE WE ADDRESSED IN PRACTICAL TERMS LAST NIGHT. (I don't say this to bind anyone to anything, which is the reverse of law, so naturally serves me better as my aim is only to do what I like. This is a popular goal in any comparatively old and wealthy area like this, I guess. Hurrah we made it. The old soldier dying of cancer and tending his garden down the road should think he is lucky. He is married to some woman at Sydney University who cares about kids. Here's their chance.

WE SHOULD NOT BE CONFINED BY LEGAL AND PROFESSIONAL INTERESTS NOW. THIS IS A FORM OF CITIZEN SCIENCE WHICH IS IMPORTANT FOR US AND WHICH SHOULD NOT BE DEGRADED BY OUR NEIGHBOURS. (TOO RIGHT. WE STRIVE FOR PEACE MOST. WE HAVE NO CONTROL OVER WHETHER IT IS FIRST IN ANY PLACE. THE ENVIRONMENT CONTROLS.)

'Come in she said, I'll give ya/shelter from the storm' (The impeccable Bob again.)

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

THINK LOCALLY, ACT GLOBALLY OR THE REVERSE. THERE ARE KEY DISCIPLINARY STARTS

I felt I was coming to a special place when I saw the St James Court trees and the building, as if for the first time, in 1994. After living on Bridge Rd. in a communal house in Glebe since 1974 it felt like a beautiful green oasis on holidays in the bush, near the beach or something, full of beautiful trees. When I retired from work in 2007 I wanted to go green and learned a lot over time about the related strengths and weaknesses of this project. (See more on this regional

approach to research and development at www.Carolodonnell.com.au. My key interest now is in land and housing policy and practice, keenly generated by living here in interesting times.)

I HAVE SOME PAPER FILE BUNDLES OF KEY HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS WHICH START OUR KEY TOPICS BELOW AND OTHERS. THEY ARE RELATED TO OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD:

The back wall from 7-11 is outside my back window in No. 10, so I have seen and felt a lot about this matter concerning perimeter wall relations between St James Court owners and neighbours since the **Rear Retaining Wall Structural Report** was produced in November 2014 by **Family and Community Services**. I wonder what the guy in No. 11 wants to do with his back wall, which is the only broken bit of the wall that I can see and have done so for many years.

I want to help with the back single brick fence (Nos. 7-11) because I live here in No. 10 already. I have lots of paper history and files of which I only have one copy. Anybody can come and read them or photograph them after me here for dissemination but I don't want to let them go or do the work myself. I've done this before and got paid for it. But this is a voluntary free service or gift. (Baby, it's in the open, rather than hidden, as I'm fat and rich enough already, like many of my male and female professional contemporaries). Let the rest of you go figure or join the STEM group. That is science, technology, engineering and maths (STEM). They often work best in development. As I kept saying to specialists. For Christ's sake leave the bigger picture to me.

I'm thinking particularly of Tahlia, or her representative for example, but understand completely if she has a better way. Tahlia is the only person being paid in this research circle so far; co-opting others as reasonably directed by Tahlia in consultative mode, I would have thought.) Responses to her directive wishes and those of her longer strata committee links are below.

My paper files cover key history topics related to the back wall project, (Nos. 7-11, for example, the **Arborist Report** for strata plan 10775 in March 2017, and a lot of original and development copies of by-laws), which I hate. As a housing consumer I am angry about overregulation, especially as I helped introduce a lot as a feminist employee of NSW govt., retired since 2007. The direction has been lost because it has been left to STEM which is raw capitalism which ends in the idea that housing is a market good which always needs to rise in price, the higher the better. Market ideology admits to no bads, as well as goods. I address services.

At home, I was the person who warned Deanne Hinton from Verdun Walsh strata managers that a dying tree was leaning against that part of the wall in No. 11 and knocking it down. Eventually the offending tree was chopped. The back wall in No. 11 has been progressively broken down since. This was apparently on behalf of the owner. (Development is hard when everything is supposed to be a commercial secret and there are only lawyers around who keep changing. (The **Building Industry Code**, has had the force of law. This is different from the concept of the **Code of Practice**, which I was taught to help develop in the NSW public service in the 1980s and 1990s). My general direction is to go back to what I learned there, trying to focus more on the nature of the particular ground, rather than on the authoritarian and stupid brushes of law. These matters are addressed later, in sympathy with US President Trump's honesty for the lot.

According to the daily news the **Building Industry Code** is now under review but does anybody know or care much about it? (I've no idea.) However, I've developed a lot of experience on valuing matters here which are also related to sustainable development and quality control concerns. In this context I ask why we appear to have so many complex budgets related to insurance matters on one hand and state regulatory requirements on the other so that our own

on the owners' corporation appear to have disappeared? **What are our owners' rights to determine our own direction? (None?) Jesus. I thought I owned my garden and house.**

THE WORLD IS CHANGING FAST WITH PRESIDENT TRUMP TO TRANSFORM UNPOPULAR ORDERS AND PREPARE FOR NEW WORLD ORDERS DEVELOPED ON REGIONAL GROUNDS (SELF-SELECTED ABORIGINES TURN UP AND WE WILL TEST YOU)

In spite of the local gripes about housing and the treatment of violence discussed later, I fully support a national gun registry and national arms buy-back scheme (Mark 2). I understand this occurs after Jews were massacred in Bondi on 14.12.25. This is first day of Hannukah (which is Xmas to Christians as people of the Books; like Muslims who have seen it all before, for example). Peace serves more people. Dissident Jews, like Engels, Marx, and Dylan, etc. have led the way out for me. Nevertheless, I stand with Weber on the state and thought I was going beyond him in the name of putting women and kids into the picture. Anything I know about Muslims I picked up mainly by first teaching for two years in Kano in Northern Nigeria, where Boko Haram is active with guns and child soldiers tearing easily impoverished places apart. As a graduate from Queensland University, I travelled to India and elsewhere, comparing key developments where collective ownership of planned development has been sought over time.

We consumers and owners apparently appear increasingly trapped, like flies in the honey of the increasing regulatory costs imposed from the top. More effective support could be provided by more open cooperation and knowledge to achieve more sustainable, peaceful and ethical directions lower down, through better risk and development management at lower cost.

Long story short, I've never met the owner of No. 11 and wonder why he wanted me taken to the Administrative Affairs Tribunal. I declined to go for many reasons. My free writing usually gives the most reliable and lasting impression of what I think at the time. It is better to judge that rather than my more ephemeral and emotional speech. My opinion may also change on better evidence which is freely available from many good sources. Engaging lawyers is foolish and expensive for all living at St James Court and/or owning strata plan 10775. Too many contradictory laws and tribunals are blindly dictating their terms to blind others who will not or cannot be bound. I pointed out reasons why I wouldn't when they badgered me to go to state mediation. As a result of life experience and time I can disclose my reasons for action more fully. I don't have to stand, mouth shut, while my legal wife of the moment spouts loyal drivel.

I HAVE A FAT PAPER FILE WITH A LOT OF BY-LAWS (or **BI-LAWS** as my laptops often like to call them.) I think I have seen all our by-law development as we were dragged into it with me kicking and screaming **NO** all the way, except for the **NOISE** by-law, which was from Council and covered the broader regional remit. Dog ownership is up again and like good New York Jews and Maurice my line is usually '*live and let live*'. (My life would be perfect if somebody found me all the **Merchant Ivory** films that I don't have already. I have always loved Ruth for her scripts most and then the caste and the rest were just perfect.) If they aren't well behaved dogs then shoot the owners. (This is ironic to me now as "*identity politics*" has been part of my life as a 78 year old joiner from the Whitlam era. That was yesterday, but yesterday's gone, as we may now see.)

SEARCHING FOR PEACE STARTING LOCALLY ON GLEBE STRATA PLAN 10775, UNDER THE CURRENT GLOBAL DIRECTIONS OF INCREASINGLY UNEQUAL AND CONTROLLED TREATMENT WHEN SOCIAL MEDIA PROMISED US THE REVERSE (ALL MEN ARE LIARS?)

WE SHOULD SEE THE GROWING WORTH OF THE WOMAN AND SCARCE CHILDREN, PARTICULARLY GIRLS, AS WELL AS APPARENT INEQUALITY ON SEX BASED GROUNDS

Remember US President Trump and a lot of other Americans talk about political violence. From this regional grandmother's view one's analysis starts from the first proposition **ALL VIOLENCE IS POLITICAL**. Always has been and always will be, as long as brotherhoods protect the man who owns the gun. This has been the case at least since feudal and peasant relations wanted guns. Democratization of gun availability over time means some men have built up many guns. Their production, sale and distribution can accelerate as a result of any change in borders as normal. Doctors without Borders had the right conceptual direction with non-profit services. However, the non-profit secret family gift appears to have upset many people of the normal Books today. Australian family law is addressed from another dissident position later. Atheist.

Australia's first national guns buy-back scheme was in 1995 after the last large and unexpected massacre of people in the Tasmanian tourist spot of Port Arthur. Other women and kids have been killed one by one and a lot more regularly as a rule. (Did I mention the men?) Whether seen as provoked, or mentally ill, or mercenaries, or freedom fighters, depending on time and family or collective place), men have used guns to subdue women and children more easily and often than other men. I guess this usually occurs when men appear more silent and remotely withdrawn than usual, but Catholics like those who have largely run NSW think different.

Related matters of male violence and strength throughout the life span and the encouragement of women into it are addressed later to allow the moral opinions of atheists and others without religion to shine forth at last. Would people be better off without religion? I assume so but most people teaching and researching with me in the Faculty of Health Sciences appeared to assume the reverse. The good death is the self-chosen one, in my feminist opinion. Violence remains overwhelmingly male and baked into sexual politics of domination and subordination however women and kids behave. Coercion of many kinds is addressed later, with and without borders. The general proposition is that many scales of 1-10 etc. prepare us for more sales of rubbish. The regional, historical and related environment dictate better courses of peaceful treatment.

Liberal PM, John Howard, introduced the first guns buy-back scheme in 1995 after the massacre by a lone Tasmanian gunman. The scheme cost was explicitly funded by raising Medicare levies, rather than linked to the expectations of international or other criminal courts. I applauded the Liberal government initiative strongly at the time. However, a NSW Labor woman lawyer from the Crown Solicitor's Office, later told me about the trade-off made with angry fathers' groups who felt helplessly abandoned; and men who wanted to keep their hunting guns. My friend was charged with collecting money for child support from absent fathers, which was difficult or impossible for the state to do.

The first guns buy-back scheme promised aggrieved men equal access to children of any marriage or other continuing liaison, regardless of the social and financial outcomes for all involved. This legal view, that every child deserves equal access to two parents is wrongly dismissive of children and the wellbeing of family or others making gifts or loans, verbal or written, to help establish the housing and schooling expectations related to cohabitation and having children. **Broken: Children, Parents and Family Courts** (Nelson and Lumby, 2021) provides a critique of Family Law Act matters since the supposedly "no fault" legislation was brought in 1975. Their work is supported in related comments about other potential forms of coercive control which could be more easily and cheaply avoided with fewer, rather than more lawyers to represent all parties involved. The lawyers recommend more lawyers to speak before

the court on their normal terms. Rubbish. This is to force adversarial expectations into many associations better treated in open ways. They should normally be open to be fair to women. This is addressed further later and attached in discussion of the gift and other investment, in verbal or written contract, or not. I am of the school that nearly always likes to see writing.

Jews have now been blown away at Bondi and I'm not particularly surprised. In my view, any people who are physically fighting should not ask more peaceful people to join them, especially if the latter have put **No Religion** on the latest Census. They were the second highest group of adults in the population after Christians. Our particular views about death seldom if ever appear taken into account in any professional counting designed to extend life through professional specialisation. All generally appear charged with helping to advance the medical cause of keeping people alive as long as possible while completely disregarding any person's desire to be dead sooner than suits the professional gaze on the particular body. Jews have been most valued for their dissidence as well as their family feeling in the outside world. I understand at last the value of the parable of the prodigal son, and the disrespected return. A male can be forgiven any former action, as long as he returns to work for the tribe to continue. That is the central message of **Brothers** by Bernice Rubens (1983). I found her book free in the street and I believe it. The New York Jewish mother is the mother of all women leading to peace.

AUSTRALIAN WOMEN NOW APPEAR AS TYPICAL MEDICO-LEGAL PROPONENTS FOR SERVICES AND DUTY OF CARE APPROACHES AGAINST ANY MORE VIOLENT AND SUDDEN AGRICULTURAL, MINING, MANUFACTURING, BUILDING, ENTERTAINING OR OTHER COMMUNICATIONS STATES (ALONE OR NOT.)

Women have poured into higher education and jobs in Australia in the period after World War 2. Many women today may more openly prefer their children to their husbands or lovers, for example. They have been more encouraged to leave men after the Family Law Act (1975) which supposedly produced the no-fault divorce. Thanks to lawyers advancing their causes as usual, this turned comparatively rapidly into the view that every person who felt or might feel wounded in the resolution of any dispute should have a lawyer by their side for its successful resolution in court. The passage of anti-discrimination law fuelled this direction but fortunately I believe it is still legal to say **"I hate lawyers"** or **"I wish some old men would fight for the right to be killed by the state as soon as those most relevant in their surroundings want"** and get away with it. The Australian view that rape is possible in marriage appears right to me, but ahead of the race.

In the above context, I was interested to read the joint message from Australia's Multicultural Peak Bodies, under the heading **THIS IS NOT AUSTRALIA**, because it ends with the statement **This is who we are. This is Australia** and the badges of eleven well-known charities or related service groups (SMH 22.12.25, p.11). They recommend the Multi-cultural Framework Review and the National Anti-Racism Framework should now be implemented and properly resourced in genuine partnership with Australian communities. Whatever these documents are like that appears to be an excellent start to me. I doubt that SBS TV or ABC TV would be pushed towards the trash which passes for news and current affairs in many other English-speaking US, British or Canadian settings. The best place for jokes in improving freedom of speech is attached. Trust me, I am a woman who helped introduce anti-discrimination legislation in the 1980s after we had all seen and sung the disgusting lyrics in **Hair**, supported by Education at Qld. Uni.

The article **Business leaders join call for royal commission turning heat on PM** recounts how business leaders now call for a **royal commission into antisemitism** following the Bondi terror attack (SMH 2.1.2026, p.6). Huge numbers of the great and good have signed the plea, from the

overwhelmingly male list. Having understood what Royal Commissions involve better than most, I expect, PM Anthony Albanese would prefer to see Chris Minns, the Premier of NSW carry out an inquiry. These men are both Catholics and NSW has been drenched in them for years so that those with no religion have never had a proper go at anything, let alone bringing about peace. After reading the article **Jewish groups warned police of Islamic hate preachers**, and the state response, one wonders if singing the French national anthem will become forbidden by the Crimes Act next. (It is guns and knives that kill people. Encourage more grounded and informed approaches which appear more likely to encourage earlier death of the old instead of the young. (Baby, that would be new? However, if so many want an inquiry why not have it?)

Australian women have become increasingly concerned with family violence problems in post-war generations. See Carol O'Donnell and Jan Craney (eds) in the Longman Cheshire book **Family Violence in Australia** (1982), for example. At the time, we chose the title partly because we argued the state should equally provide married women and those women living in other relationships with all the short-term protective benefits expected of marriage; through provision of refuges for women and children who were victims of violence; good GP and hospital recognition and treatment of violence; and key personal rehabilitation support. Nowadays, the latest South Australian women's views, which distinguish between **domestic and family violence** today seems more correct, although I haven't read the full report. In the past we assumed that women wanted and needed protection equally from violent men, whether they were married or not. We ignored elder abuse for lack of data. With the benefit of hindsight, we learn to change better if we are not trapped by law which prevents our improvement.

To protect all children of any union better, it seems women and men should be treated separately rather than as a marital or other relationship unit today. This view is based on discussions of the historical effects of changes in the **Family Law Act** documented in Nelson and Lumby's book **Broken: Children, Parents and Family Courts** (2021). This includes the effects of the first guns buy back scheme on family disputes and separations. My personal experience as a grandma and lender in multicultural family circumstances made me examine the family gift of money, in comparison with the expectations of written contract, open or not. These matters are addressed again later because family law delivers poor treatment of grandparents and other poorer lenders, especially if they are women and used to giving in early. As I have said to many women over the years. If you play by their rules you will lose. Try others.

KEY MYTHIC APPROACHES TO THE WORLDS WHICH BIND OR FREE US

SERVICES AND GOODS RATHER THAN BADS AND NEW LEGAL LEVIES TO MANAGE RISK BETTER. (SEE THIS POTENTIAL COMING IN THE NEW SOUTH WALES POSITION ATTACHED)

On news TV, a man shovelling surrounding rubble in Gaza said his community does not have the luxury of hopelessness. Australia has been rich enough, however, to keep hopelessness alive and on disability or aged support and payments generated by an increasing range of supposedly well-diagnosed feelings of physical or psychological distress. The Western approach, like the Jewish and Christian ones, have typically denied death to achieve life everlasting for the tribe. In spite of this, the historical tendency for most women, often led by British or US forces, has been to seek medical advice in the struggle for fewer children and more independent choice. They left the family tribe after embracing it. I've known some reasonably intimately during my life. Even if we are atheists, however, the self-chosen death is increasingly denied or hidden on the authoritarian assumption that everybody should live for as long as they can with medical and

other building or community intervention. I hope the caring will inherit the earth today but wonder whether the Chalice of the Lord have passed yet from generals to lawyers and carers.

As I yelled over the phone to my dying sister in Queensland, ‘*you’ve got to tell them you want to refuse more treatment to be killed quickly. Scream it as loudly and as often as you can. Otherwise, they will keep you alive for medical experiments as long as they can*’. This experimental research approach is a substantial and successful part of medico-legal production and culture in many places typically ruled by Jewish and Christian liaisons.

In the typical Judeo-Christian cultures women and increasingly men are now expected to want to appear forever young. (God knows what Muslims want beside the typical veil over women to protect them, however rarely they see them alive instead of martyred in death. I haven’t read many Muslim Books recently, except those by leading Syrian and global citizen, Hisham Matar. I first found his at a feminist and left-wing bookshop in Liverpool. His work is now free or second-hand here. It is a revelation of the interior life which may develop if morality is linked to keeping a wealthier global family and tribal faith to meet the normal community expectations of the occupied place. I value freedom of speech highly because I have greatly benefited from its existence along with many others in communities rich enough to promote equal individual choice in widening circles of value. The key choice is the ability to choose one’s sexual partner.

For years I had wondered why Europeans were historically so obsessed by pictures of Mary with Jesus sitting on her lap. I found a compelling answer in Mary Condren’s book **The Serpent and the Goddess: Women, Religion and Power in Celtic Ireland** (1989). Her thesis, based on historical evidence from many European parts, is that the warrior dominated Christian church took over the land, children, cows and lambs from domesticated nuns they took during their advances. The picture of Mary with Jesus are signs of Christian male conquest, control and integration of the native female keepers of cows, sheep and their children. They had worshipped St Brigid, among more peacefully domestic others. (They invented the lamb roast dinner. The most famous Australian national song is about a suicidal sheep stealer.)

Globally, some children today may still be treated as future goods in extending male production .methods based on rape, pillage and control of weaker groups. When key global and regional processes of history are following the STEM direction of development they typically blur the distinctions between violent and other treatment. One sharpens these distinctions for good reason, which is they typically lead to greater peace. The US is awash with guns and laws to protect and encourage men first, guilty or not. Don’t follow them. They are trying their best.

The nature of service must be grasped and used by those who made it into a false industry. Naturally I include myself in this remonstrance led by US President Trump so that at last i understand more implications of any historical and global analysis so far, shared or not. The English language has become the international language of science and many related communication sales and stories have arisen today as a result. For Christ’s sake don’t dumb it down now for US lawyers working out of Silicon Valley and promoting unnecessarily narrow and stupid approaches to law and the English language across the modern world. Open matters up to wider understanding and choice related to any matters on the spot. Consider the power of the story and song as well as the pictures in the key **Best Books**. That will be freer or cheaper.

In more peacefully continuing times for wealthier and more independent women, the child has now been reduced from an early family production good to a late family consumption good. Advent of the contraceptive pill in 1964 increased the rights of women to choose life

without self-sacrifice to others being expected early and expressed in virginity before marriage and multiple pregnancies to the same man afterwards, or not; while also acting to assist his aged parents or other close family and community in their conception of their duties. Equal opportunity action and new legislation have helped elevate the lives of many women to riches of which they could only dream when I was a girl, although wealth was never my aim. The Marxist view dissolves past state ideologies in new contradictions. Legal opinion often takes centuries to catch up to the reality of services as they are now felt, not as products, for example. The good king cares about his subjects and what they want so lawyers should get out of our way. Pronto.

Most Australian women don't believe in virginity before life-long sentences in dutiful marriage today. In spite of any contrary view, men are often found too hard to be borne for long by women with property, higher education or wealthier family backing. All the passing men who have been close to me in 78 years have helped me grow up without any violent action against me or my child. However, I have now changed from the views I expressed strongly in 1973 in argument against some rural Queensland women, who believed that **all men are rapists**. This appears today to be what enforced wearing of the veil means in Islamic cultures, surely? One wonders how common the Australian legal prohibition against rape in marriage has become globally and why. As a child the housing walls were thin. I could sometimes hear my parents fighting in bed.

SOCIAL MEDIA BANS AND REMEDIES FOR TREATING VIOLENCE TO GET PEACE

Queensland Labor MP for Communications, Anika Wells, recently explained on TV why the Labor government introduced **social media bans** for children and adolescents. The bans at first seemed more like unfair and unhelpful blaming of victims to me, based on their ages. I changed my mind after hearing her speak. I think government should now adopt the view that all men are rapists because that might also be closer to a global standard of truth than to the reverse. Wells views rest on those of her E-safety Commissioner, a national bipartisan choice.

I thought social media bans were wrong to target the young to fix problems brought to them and shared by those older, while the young may be watched. Since Anika Wells spoke, however, I have changed my opinion about the treatment of violence, to bring global peace. **By all means let the Australian state declare that all men are rapists** in spite of the fact that is not my personal experience of their behaviour. I address these matters of strong demand or personal choice of any media content later, as Wells was formerly the federal MP for aged care and sport. Both state portfolios appear strongly associated with handling disability costs of various kinds. She is young and a good Labor performer. She appears to be the latest carrier of older Australian male cultures which have more supporters. To enjoy seeing others hurt has always been better than hurting others so the joy in the boys' performance is not going to depart soon. Nevertheless, let us face death and let us choose to die with dignity to benefit others if we want.

(I applaud the sick woman from **Dying with Dignity** who recently did so on TV, so that her living organs could be donated to those who needed them most. Her daughters gave open support.)

In earlier life, government offered far fewer service options, than those encouraging more men into armies, whether they could deliver to comparative slaves of the machines and men higher up or not. Once men have made something, they burn to test it out. I was brought up with the barbarity of men testing atomic bombs as well as leaving landmines and selling guns across the world for kids to play with and become injured for life or killed. In this historical context the idea that all men are rapists seems convincingly mild. Any women and kids who are poor or alone may then gain from the state in any new world orders aimed at turning around the common

financial incentives for keeping one's mouth shut about any poor treatment by men. I find it amazing unmarried female virtue is typically still found in never revealing the name of the father.

The view that all men are rapists would focus broader attention on regional and place based female origins, and the easy proof any woman is not a virgin. Historically, her shame has been a tribal secret whether she has been in the soiling hands of Christians, Jews, Muslims or others whose genetics produced black or brown people somewhere or other over time, for example.

In my understanding of life, most women have had their bodies traded, one way or another for more support and those who are allowed to choose their sexual partners are lucky, for life or not. I would never live long with a drunk or gambler for example, or anyone who I thought set an equally bad example to kids. There appear to be far more ways out of unpaid family or land care for women today but I think the paid Australian health professional has often been substituted for the priest and family now. I finally understand those who don't want to discuss health care as an industry. I guess carers will inherit the earth sooner than the meek, from any regional and medico-legal or materialist view of any land and building or accommodation management.

Whether rehabilitation, renovation, remediation or reparations are addressed in any field, my advice is to avoid disabling the young through the intensity of the medical gaze which grades the body and its performances. Today it is not just cleanliness which is seen as next to Godliness. Women who feel inclined should tell any stories they like because most will remain unseen and men have kept their mouths shut about global reality while following the ideal line for centuries.

PERIPHERAL LANDS SURROUNDING CENTRAL BUSINESS AND MEDICO-LEGAL STATES PRAISING FAMILY CONTINUATION THROUGH GIFT OR LOAN CONTRACT WITH OUTSIDERS

Britain has contained atheists for generations. My father was a small business man of Welsh origins who took some of our family to Queensland in 1951 to take up farming. Atheists are not seen in law written for people of the **Books** to advance their medico-legal tribes better than many others. A lot of US Jews have written about the problems arising, but the most revealing story about violence, for me, was **One of Us** (2014). Asne Seierstad wrote the story of Anders Breivik who killed 77 of his fellow Norwegians at a political youth camp held on an island. Although unpopular with peers, the guy was far from ignorant, weak or incompetent. You'd want him on your side in any army, for example. Seierstad then wrote about the sudden lure of lost religion for Muslim heritage girls leaving school in Northern Europe. They escaped the bonds of family expectations by finding more religious preachers and joining with their bands. As young adolescents leaving British schools, they developed more fervent loves of particular clerics and their religious brothers than their parents could have imagined for themselves. I felt that here.

Money is typically the gift that demands reciprocal and binding treatment, as well as escape from unwanted bonds. This is perhaps a key global contradiction affecting the treatment of any children in Australian states. Any child and family lives and welfare in Australia, at this time and in future, may also be influenced by the community housing and inheritance policy adopted in this state. Like many, I try to help the development process because language and writing appear before the greater creative and destructive tendencies of STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics). The ownership of stuff we think is ours also matters to us.

A compelling analysis of the history and effects of the passage of the Family Law Act and courts set up in 1975 on the first supposedly '**no fault**' basis was produced in **Broken: Children, parents and family courts** (2021). In strata I have only recently learned that money loans to kids or other chosen people (especially with written contract and interest terms) are not

favoured as much as gifts, in key Jewish or Asian families, for example. The **gift** of money appears most favoured instead of the written contract, especially at Hannukah, or other age-related ceremonies, perhaps. The written contract with interest appears a typically Christian and Jewish or other family outsider model of family advance. It denies the insider profit motive on the basis that broader caring approaches are better for us all than that. Oh yeah? Historical development of US capitalism has invariably had it both ways in its law, one can only assume.

The protection of the armed man, living off the land and supposedly protecting his family against outsiders, while they all avoid taxes, is the legal model of US Constitution which fuels domestic and international gun trades and related land development deals still. The US Constitution nevertheless remains still revered for the sake of supposedly holding the 50 state boundaries together in orderly ways, along with the view that too much competition is never enough. That creates increasingly ignorant playgrounds for their lawyers. Stop following US legal directions. They have been understood by many as poorly constructed for service delivery to normal populations, but hard to stop. Jokes are increasingly made by those feeling safer.

What I have known before, I see in a different regional light today if Australia seeks to support multicultural bunches of people more fairly and inclusively through state preferences for openly written and simple contracts, rather than secret gifts from the usual quarters. For example, I have only recently seen that **Mortgagees and Chargees** (banks and other home lenders) are recognised in **Strata Management Acts** but not **Family Law** arrangements promoted online.

The difference between law protecting built and other assets is instructively different to that supposedly protecting people living under family law advice. In both areas of life, however, more lawyers keeping everybody apart at the grass roots appears a foolish way of wasting the time and money of anybody who can write about their own or others affairs. This mania for demanding lawyers to fix every concern has been on steroid since smart phones. Their expected operations make me as mad as a cut snake. I hate and distrust them equally. What is a brilliant barrister and what makes the more expensive service better? Price freedom?

Being or feeling safe alone in housing is a luxury many women don't have. Thus, we all may live in environments where all men may best be considered violent exploiters or rapists now by international standards. **This is better than non-virgins being seen as soiled goods before the joys of exclusive marriage and male child production in preparation for life everlasting, or not.** People everywhere are still prepared for marriage as if for a life sentence rather than a series of them. On the other hand, however, any institution may also be more easily seen today as child neglect rather than as children's service. I once knew a man who killed his depressed wife, for example, although he thought community child care was bad for kids. PM Albanese spoke recently of a continuum of upsetting words from male silence to killing a woman or children. The distinctions between any unwanted bodily intrusion and other forms of social interaction must be strictly maintained for good research, I think. Native Catholics and lawyers have run key NSW state business so far and the Marxist feminism I embrace has struggled to free itself from the normal bonds I have seen enveloping the transplanted, like my mother.

This typically old-fashioned Catholic PM and state are part of the family problem which probably understands less about the controlling male world we inhabit than Putin and Teddy. The political positions in NSW, the **'mother state'**, have been overwhelmingly dominated by Catholic families and lawyers. In spite of ingrained fear or hatred of women, seen in legends presenting them as fatally unclean temptresses, unless beautiful virgins, for example, Australians have largely followed churches which recommended **personal choice** in the state

matter of taking up arms against any assumed threat to their normal family formation and peace. Some richer women have emerged from the male view that any natural right lies in women servicing men and their brothers, while giving them access to more male children or not. We may easily see this as more justification for spreading arms around the world while men call for more in public or in secret. Former Liberal PM Tony Abbott has never been my favourite type of man but one must wonder how differently Labor PM Albanese sees the world.

We have begun this related debate locally at St James Court on strata plan 10775 in Glebe because it takes at least two to tango, especially in writing, when everyone is busy except old women who live on their inheritances or superannuation or state pensions, perhaps. Development of further national security choices is ideally related to any personal security choice, rather than to one state choice, especially when there are six state and gun licences may be obtained in any. I think that in 50 US states, indiscriminate mayhem is wrought in homes and streets every day by men who thought buying guns was a good idea at the time. I blame it historically on revolutionary outlaws rejecting the taxes of the British Crown and also the US Constitution which brought their founding warrior fathers, guns, lawyers and drugs. I've seen Ken Burns views twice about this US period. They appear less clear than in **Hamilton**.

I make these points before any national guns buy-back scheme because being a mother and grandmother I find myself very angry, for example. However, since I have no gun or sharp knife and am intent only on lashing people with my tongue so far, I wouldn't waste the police search for anything incriminating at my place. I have already opened the door and invited them in and upstairs to look at my computer, for example. It has been doing its own thing ever since.

I recently tried to give Glebe police a free copy of my autobiography **Power Loving: Everything you need to know about sex and lawyers** (2020) to explain my changing positions travelling through life while men dropped in and out, for example. They refused the free gift. I accused them of madness when they could know more and better about the kind of people who they are policing, along with their suburb and state relations. They still declined. Even if they had no time to read, they could have asked someone else to tell them what my book was about because I have often been a Communist or fellow traveller in life. In 1953 Liberal lawyers and politicians called for Communism to be made illegal and lost the national vote. Like atheism before it, Communism has always been legal since. The Australian state just ignores the inconvenient fact of death and prefers the fantasy of eternal life through reproduction of the male tribe again.

The wish to be dead before God or health professionals call again is typically denied to anybody here who doesn't want to act like a man in entering any high-risk sports or occupations that others are expected to watch freely. Women have often preferred to admit to psychological distress and try to get out early instead. I would rather stop and try to do or be something else.

Nevertheless, I will open my door to most authorised people I recognize, including peers. I willingly let them inside to inspect an apparently problematic spot, although the law states I have a right to lock myself in unless a search warrant has been applied for a police search first, as I understand it. I give easy entry, analysis or test of any matters because safety ideally starts from the particular case first, before proceeding to judge or classify others superficially like it. There is no better and cheaper way to understand and progress projects together better, I guess.

The evidence as construed for criminal or civil courts may well be conceived as outdated and expensive. Yet the demand for more spreads like wildfire through complaints that expected standards are breached. The secretive, ignorant, adversarial standards of proliferating clients

and courts remain. Paying people to make them go away and keep their mouth shut spreads. Women who have been taught to keep their legs shut might prize their more loyal mouths open.

THE PLACE-BASED PART IS UNDERSTOOD IN THE CONTEXT OF MORE REGIONAL WHOLE

From my view, everything can go on together in strata building program and project development as long as this doesn't become too overwhelming of our personal interest and our pockets as any owners or consumers of anything. We may thus appear engaged in practical free research projects which may include some Micky Mouse lawyers, or Lawyers Who Don't want to be Lawyers. (This is like Doctors without Borders, only different from the ideal thing.)

Some key historical articles in files, like an **Arborist Report** produced for Strata Plan 10775 in March 2017 depend on other paper files like By-laws, of which I guess I have a good starting amount. I also remember my free version of history, which may be found faulty and open to change for many reasons. See www.Carolodonnell.com.au for better regional links in embryo at least. The region is a place where people typically work increasingly in building and managing top housing which has been historically led in high-rise forms elsewhere, for poorer people.

While **Brothers** helpfully deals with Jews and their Christian associations in Europe prior to any emigration to Israel, I would speak to the willing Jews who came from Shanghai to dominate the Southern hemisphere, like Harry Triguboff, for example. The US got a lot of the diaspora but Melbourne is the largest Australian city of Jewish settlers. I mixed with Jewish Marxists. They have resisted having large families so I assume modern women don't care if their line dies. The study of violence is rooted in historical and economic change. Law is secret and outdated.

I have always looked at this project as well as trees and vegetation. By all means invite our neighbours to join in but it may seem very unwelcome work for many with other interests and projects of their own elsewhere. Fiona, the free gardener and her man who mows the back lane have done a completely beautiful job in my experience. They may have lots of good ideas about the future and I think the pristine and beautiful harmony of the back lane has raised the commercial value of St James Court enormously. (I wouldn't leave my house for long before I die I guess. I've already put Fiona somewhat offside by my questions about her One World Foundation. I tended to dismiss it as the usual brotherhood secrecy statements on land. To pretend the original inhabitants of any nation held equal but different sexual roles in production has condemned many children to self-imposed destruction or other oblivion, in my experience. Today I find myself in agreement with women from Queensland who claimed all men are rapists. We are all racists too as our imagination is strictly limited by formative time and place.

Anyhow, these are preliminary views. See related discussion with Acumen Strata which also refers to your letter and the Australian Taxation Office below. I found the ATO site was surprisingly great for my purposes. Cheers Carol (No. 10)

Hi Tahlia and Others

I agree with the Coverforce brokers Insurance Renewal Report recommendation to proceed with IIS (Insurance and Indemnity Services), underwritten by "certain underwriters at Lloyds of London". Please read on.

KEY INSURANCES AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS PROMOTING STEM GUYS

My compliments to the writers of this Insurance Renewal Report for such an apparently clear, reasonable and informative account of the expected insurance policy and practice. What use is

it to the insurance purchaser or claimant, however, if it is used as part of the secret intellectual property of Coverforce brokers? I write more on the right and duty to open continuing knowledge of law by private and public sectors alike, whether followed for good reasons or not.

In Glebe, somebody died and left me a free analysis of the industrial relations reforms then known as **WorkChoices** when the Howard government followed Keating in Nov. 2005. This **Analysis of Current Industrial Relations “Reforms”** (sic.) was in **JAPE** in December 2005. The text contains plenty of urging for fighting back, but nobody mentioning the lawyers and key technological drivers of future development. I guess Combet thought he would never get his article **Industrial Reform: Employee Rights and the Economy** through all the lawyers backing the projects too. Left hypocrites are often full of shit. On the other hand, I continue to love my former husband, Rod, even though I hate his **Macroeconomic Principles**. I can't believe his students would have ever been able to understand it, let alone critique it. Did he get his top teaching chops from being charming alone or also with lawyers? One always wonders, especially when there are two in the same family – one man and one new woman, for example.

Like Greg Combet and all those responsible for rubbish in the **Journal of Australian Political Economy (JAPE)** or **The Monthly**, I was too polite, like the large majority of men and girls, to mention the ubiquity of Christian or Jewish lawyers here as usual. Thanks to our British and Irish heritage they have had their professional games neatly sewn up to protect the families of the people of the Books as usual, with gifts, not written contracts involving interest or any related costs of state or other investigation by those who are fussy. When is a gift or tip a bribe or something else? Like most women I have no idea about these matters in the real world of men. However, President Trump opens them all up again in Las Vegas I guess, with no taxes on tips.

Some people change their wives' and lovers' sheets today but others don't. However, I have believed the historical and regional analysis sheds more light in any society that claims to be multicultural and inclusive, as in Australian state approaches. Its place-based aims are ideally achieved in risk management forms which don't succumb to the comparatively savage urbanity of legal process. (Call me not fit to invite to dinner anywhere and I will clean up as usual.)

Frankly, I don't understand how anybody could have lived through the last thirty years without becoming a technological determinist. From my view, you'd have to be either very young or to have lived a life sheltered by lawyers to believe otherwise in the face of such huge international sales and related social media power brought here by Silicon Valley mates.

I first opposed banning social media for youth in Australia because I get sick of adults blaming youth for social problems in which they have been equally or more ensnared. I changed my views when I realized that the effect of the ban would politicize many young people to fight more equally to introduce the belief that all men are rapists, to those who still consider virginity before life-long marriage is the only socially acceptable goal for any woman. White is not the colour for soiled women or those who soil easily in my view. Menstruation or urine may so easily be seen between the legs. I guess wealthy women can afford white suits and looking dumpy but the recourse to black, except for their own Western wedding, seems frugally better for kids.

These ubiquitous lawyers in Australia are a gutless lot of paper tigers who normally refuse to acknowledge the feudal nature of their own historical creation which keeps their cellars in order. From my view, the problem never faced is how to address women and kids on both sides of blankets. This is already too long so I will write about the Australian woman who poisoned her husband first and then killed her equally unsuspecting church relatives at a birthday lunch at

her place later. (See the **Monthly**, Nov. 2025, for the story.) She thought her church relatives by marriage had made verbal and written promises to look after her and her children forever after her marriage. She generously responded with gifts of money from her own inherited stash before they silently withdrew their promise when the marriage ended. This occurred after her husband withdrew his financial support from her and his children at last. She thought she deserved disability support from the state as the normal sources she expected from a husband and church relatives had packed up. The power of family gifts and contracts in land and housing matters is neglected in managing risk of many kinds to offspring. Lawyers easily make it worse.

The key point here is that manufacturing and services are different in many cases so should not be treated as if they are the same as **JAPE** guys usually do, when using statistical processes which appear comparatively outdated. For example, although some people may see legal and other rising consultancy work required before the commencement of any project as productive, this work may be equally or better conceived as over-regulated and stupid coercion when there are larger problems around the place that need fixing. I test this in retirement. It escapes me why lawyers' costs are conceived as productive rather than as unacceptable costs on better and cheaper methods of writing to make open deals which avoid many charges of corruption

The global historical tendencies producing the Australian subcontracting chains described in **JAPE** was accelerated here by the advent of the internet and laptop computers to replace handwriting, typists and others in state bureaucracies, universities and elsewhere in the 1980s. This was followed by iphones and smart phones sold to the masses after the global financial crisis of 2008. This new opportunity for global trading to engage more people was masked by the more reasonable degree of state and market stability experienced here in Australia. Through social media more of the world plunged towards more secretly violent forms of chaos led by males and females clinging to life as they had become accustomed to believe in it.

Years later, former PM Tony Abbott still can't afford to rock his supporting legal and supporting boats in his article **Conservatives caused far-right:** in the **Sydney Morning Herald** (SMH 5.12.25, p.26). Like any dutiful lawyer or woman, former PM Julia Gillard and Foreign Minister, Penny Wong, have kept their mouths loyally shut about everything since PM Gillard's passage, out of love for her collegiate roots as normal, perhaps. Personally, I love the ABC and SBS broadcasting services and free second-hand books in small cabinets in parks instead. One learns much more about the world and current affairs more cheaply. The IT guys now overwhelm us with robotics and other ways of treating the top financial benefits of speaking and writing English as if grammar and not meaning is most important. The dumbing down favours STEM approaches to controlling others. More women from this and other cultures should research and write their stories about other historical experiences of life. The alternative approach involves lawyers and courts increasingly shifting increasing costs onto the rest.

Welcome the self-chosen death as more honest and better service to others than pretending to life everlasting. I think that Erin Patterson, the mushroom poisoning triple murderess whose face adorned **The Monthly** should tell her own story and respond to any critique. All of us who are interested in the matter of motive would then learn much more about many related matters, including why so many people may ignore government or other family support as insufficient for their needs. I guess that to do so would diminish their sense of self-worth in comparison with many others, for example. Technology drives process internationally for me. I am often its theoretical lover. I can't see behind the camera myself. However, I hate lawyers. Erin Patterson's guilt is clear enough but let us hear her story of her innocence first.

Intellectual property ownership or not appears the central concern of key renovation, remediation or reparation services in future, as well as new manufacturing of any kind. Put lawyers on open practical trial in their comparative capacity for serving people where it counts more broadly, as the activity of output comparison is vital for better and cheaper national services to all in our communities. These matters are pursued locally as part of the global whole on the assumption we may open up like flowers alone or together. The idea that those who are alone now are lonely seems wrong to me. They may just feel safer with professionals.

THE INSURANCE RENEWAL REPORT SHOULD BE SEEN AS A BEST PRACTICE REPORT COMMONLY MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL TO FOLLOW AT WILL, OR NOT

The format of the **Coverforce Insurance Renewal Report** ideally should form the basis of all teaching on related housing and insurance matters. I have never seen any better account of expected insurer practice than now comes from Coverforce brokers. It appears to be important knowledge for all in housing, if they need or want to know about it. We must know to protect ourselves better as well as cheaper in any area of life where risk is typically seen as lurking. Long story short, risk and law shouldn't be commercial secrets between the select few who make money from wielding them to advantage at the expense of others less venal.

PLEASE CONTACT THE STATE SUPER TEAM, THE CEO OF AUSTRALIAN SUPER AND OTHERS ABOUT RELATED HOUSING AND INSURANCE MATTERS THROUGHOUT THE LIFE-SPAN, TO UNDERSTAND AND COORDINATE SERVICES BETTER WITH THE AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE AND KEY OTHERS.

In my view, Australians would be better off knowing more about the reality of insurance today, as it appears less worthwhile for the great majority to have than in the past. The reality appears to be that insurance is increasingly being seen by many people, (including top insurers working with potential premium purchasers or benefit claimants), as not worth the cost. It does not provide comparative value for money as a product and greatly increases inequality so that financial product controllers may benefit most by increasingly becoming unavailable to taxation or any related scrutiny of products or services which are personal and so normally considered best closed. Insurers may increasingly feel bereft and as victims of natural or man-made disaster, for reasons pointed out in this report. This should be public knowledge, not confined to key mortgage- holders, and strata managers, or other lawyers and accountants, for example.

A lot of protective technology and standards developed, particularly in wealthy urban and rural economies, since the end of World War 2. This has occurred, for example, in regard to energy, transport, storage and treatment of mined, manufactured and building products which must also be transported regionally for example, although they are deemed potentially destructive of human health and safety. Only the comparatively rich person or others who may plead ignorance or confidentiality of information, appear encouraged to sell or take out insurance coverage now. The global effects of flood, fire or other water damage, building collapse, war, riot, terrorism and other insurance claims treatment magnify the normal inequalities between those who opt in or out of the global markets. These insurance products appear increasingly driven by global forces, resisted by local sellers who may be seen as more valuable or not.

Insurance practice has been superseded in many Australian cases by the development of the welfare state, as well as by banking and other family loan practices. This has now been supported by the development of universal superannuation as well as Medicare and related

psychological injury support. Our particulars in the Insurance Renewal Report exist in broader Australian and related regional contexts, which include strata plan 10775 and Acumen strata.

I note the Coverforce Insurance and Indemnity Renewal Report provides disincentives to legal suit in the small amounts available for:

Office Bearers Liability and Legal Defence Expenses,

I have argued for a long time that state strata law is poorly constructed because it is hard to know the basis on which many management decisions are made, let alone whether they are made comparatively effectively, fairly and cheaply. It is also as if the state law does not recognise that I am an owner without a mortgage, also living on the plot I may understand better than any professional or not. I am here primarily because this is my home yet the law appears increasingly to want to see me wrongly, as an investor first. This appears likely to become a major attack on the welfare state as well as a transfer of risk to those least equipped to bear it.

I have lived on the plot and acted on the strata committee as a member of the owners' corporation since 1994. I have always regarded it wrong to view my home as premises because to do so suggests I am in some kind of risk absorbing form of free labour for those normally acting in many larger market operations, which affect me, but which I should know nothing about, when denied knowledge on the spurious grounds of partial confidentiality. The Australian Bureau of Statistics and Census research assisted commercial planning for years, for example, without succumbing to many wrongly secret market pressures. The combination of legal, bureaucratic and court forces appear to attack planning potential even when apparently claiming to support it. Physicians and others in small business can only heal themselves and others without lawyers, in my opinion. The increasing number of partial lawyers increasing the costs of increasingly adversarial but polite behaviour to future generations and others is wrongly far from service to the people, because it has historically been designed to serve an alien king.

There are retaining wall and moisture concerns all over this state and beyond so it appears foolish to go through the normal slow, expensive mediation and court routes on these matters, as appears to be the case at strata plan 10775. (I have a personal interest in this as I have discussed with many people except the absentee landlord who owns the property next door, backed by the strata committee majority.) I wonder why the largest amounts of money available for claimants under this SP 10775 policy are for **Catastrophe and Temporary accommodation loss of rent**, but the strata manager is typically silent in response to this and other questions.

I assume it would be good if strata managers took greater interest, in company with **Coverforce** and others, in how their various policies and expected practices could be better aligned with the housing and related government expectations for more openly grounded, less adversarial and cheaper housing operations, based more on individual choice, rather than state or other commercial court or Australian Taxation Office force.

I regard myself as living in my home, which I own, for example and I think it a wrong transfer of risk to regard it as premises. To do so puts me in the same category as those investors who own many investment properties and who may increasingly be incentivised to leave them empty in global markets, except for a comparatively few friendly or transient occupations for example, sharing their costs with people like me and the rest of us living with Australian taxes.

This Acumen strata and SP 10775 relationship with Coverforce appears good to me, almost like best practice for the new world order, for example, but perhaps I am seeing too much into the paper theory, rather than understanding the forces of the same old secret practices or yore.

(I have done that before, for example, when I worked to help establish early occupational health and safety, workers compensation and rehabilitation services in the 1980s and 1990s, before sales technologies and physical or psychological injury claims got into their crippling expensive and uncertain stride all over the place. Today I think the call for "**four eyes on the child**", makes sense to allay parental fears in regard to any allegedly cruel or corrupt treatment of children in other care, for example. Surveillance technology in private spaces like the home, on the other hand, seems properly a matter of personal choice, for example. I would hate it.)

Anyhow, my housing related recommendation to Acumen Strata and Coverforce is that partners should meet under the CEOs of State Super, Australian Super, UniSuper and other suitable funds, to coordinate better with other apparently clear and reasonable management support for membership interests throughout the life span.

Housing price and cost interests us all in this context. My comments address it. I last wrote to State Super about my Superannuation Pension Payment Summary as I was unclear about whether I would be required to pay tax on any family loan repayment lump sum paid into my NAB account in 2026. I received a helpful reply suggesting I should contact the Australian Taxation Office. I did so easily and confirmed easily that I won't have to pay any tax. In the process I was impressed and amazed at the apparently effective logic with which the ATO appears to be pursuing its unenviable, growing mission, compared with other areas of financial services I'd seen until then. Whither ASIC and AFCA for example?

In my view strata managers should address their real interests with the normal Australian funding and regulatory bodies more openly. This is to improve them and reduce the cost of apparently poor regulation for serving the Australian public interest in housing over the increasing natural life span. This is normally supported by fewer births in centres of development with greater transfer of people from comparatively rural areas to find paid work in more closely populated ones. Clover Moore supported social inclusion for good reason whereas by-laws appear aimed at wrongly reducing it for commercial reasons. People deserve to make their own choice on top of guaranteed minimum standards as a rule.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, No. 10 Strata Plan 10775, Glebe, Sydney (See more at www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

FATIMA AND THE BCRC REPORT ON DEALING WITH WATER INGRESS UNDER FOUNDATIONS

I WILL WRITE TO **REINSW** TO SEE IF FATIMA CAN GET TO **THE WIRE** FOR FREE IN APRIL 2026 TO INTRODUCE HER TO THE AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRY KEY TRENDS AND PLAYERS IN CONSTRUCTION (PLEASE BACK ME UP WITH YOUR HIGHER AUTHORITIES)

I know nothing about the BCRC report and there are those far more fit to judge it than I as a humanities graduate without any STEM at all. What's wrong with Nick?

However, I would not drill the holes that Tiggie and Justin appear poised to be digging in the wall of their house as it seems an extreme method in comparison with leaving problems of nature to resolve themselves alone more simply. (Maybe that's just me. This is Tiggie and Justin's baby ultimately I think, as before so they should assume the key risk. (?))

I have seen Fatima briefly, when she appeared under the instruction of a young man who appeared far more assertive. Her great strength, which should be used rather than lost to the building industry, perhaps, is her multilingual knowledge and experience of her particular ethnic community and its building duties of care and rights or needs in this region.

The comparatively authoritarian stance of some men has easily got my back up in the past and I know that many women deal better with industry communication than what appears to be the norm in many cases. Perhaps those who know Fatima would judge this better.

Grateful for any advice or suggestions at our coming monthly meeting or earlier. Cheers Carol

Hi Tiggie

I LOVE THE SIMPLE BEAUTY OF THE 2026 ROAD MAP FOR SP 10775 AND THE PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGET APPROACH. I PREFER NOT TO PURSUE THESE ROAD MAP ITEMS BY PLANNING AND MEETING MONTHLY, UNLESS YOU AND ROB SPECIFICALLY REQUEST I ATTEND. (HOWEVER, I WILL ATTEND ON 17th Feb. THANKS. WHAT TIME?)

LET ME EXPLAIN MORE BELOW:

I love the simple beauty of the Acumen Strata Plan and would prefer to tackle parts of it myself, in my own way, alone or with others. I prefer not to commit to planning and coming to one meeting per month where I and others, including yourself, have felt unwelcome in the past. What is the point of watching an hour's worth of monthly bickering? We've seen it all before.

I am very grateful to both you and Rob for taking on the strata committee positions you have because it relieves me and Sally of our dislike of each other's methods of operation. What would be the point of coming to meetings to hear our ephemeral bickering where I have been on the losing side of votes since I arrived at No. 10 in 1994, wanting to go greener?

I have always wondered who is pulling Sally's powerful strings, especially when she changed suddenly and took up writing herself. (She was busy and alone already when you and Rob only have partners, parents, children and others wishes for space, time and equanimity to consider besides yourself and your employers. Good on you both, Tiggie and Rob, for stepping up!!! Whatever you do seems likely to save the owners corporation money and the strata committee time and trouble.

Following the Road Map, I will happily work on any Retaining Wall matters by chatting to Caroline and Rob over the back fence as usual, for example. I will invite either or both into my house for tea and biscuits whenever they want, and happily cooperate to fix any concerns that either of them have as neighbours, in the middle of both me and Lily in No. 8. Lili cannot stand my point of view and has always shown it in body language and voting. Maureen has bowed to Sally since 1994 but died this year.

Why would you want any of us going through any more of this horrible bickering in monthly meetings (with or without timely agendas and minutes) when I think I can write more productively with others about my strata concerns. For comparative example, I would rather spend my time in correspondence with Nina Howell, because she is the Principal Inspector in NSW Strata Property Services and NSW Fair Trading. She writes a great first letter. We may become regular correspondents as we all learn together about state services and strata housing to manage better and control unnecessary costs starting here.

I WOULD PREFER YOU TO INCLUDE ME IN MATTERS RATHER THAN OTHERWISE AND ASSUME YOU FEEL SIMILAR BECAUSE YOU CAN ALWAYS BIN MY EFFORTS IF BUSY (I have been thrilled with the occasional leaps in my understanding that the written remarks of Sally, or Nick, or you, Tiggie, have given me lately, in comparison with the past.)

Please tell Fiona Yeum and many people who feel similar that if they feel burdened rather than enlightened by this correspondence or the attached reminder, they should bin it instantly. I won't be upset because people have done that to my work for many years. **However, I write here to praise following Road Map SP 10775 direction, especially:**

1. Retaining walls and 2. Moisture Issues (These are our most important items) They affect Rob (No. 9 and Tiggie No. 17 most because we apparently wish to progress them as individual owners of property and as members of the strata committee My special interest lies in **Retaining Wall** Issues because historically they similarly affect me. I thought Sally called a meeting to appoint Tahlia Hercus as our strata manager at Acumen Strata in the first place to fix it because Whelans had bigger fish to fry. I agreed largely because I thought the website was clever.

However, I don't see why I should know or care much about what you do with your property and water ingress issues affecting you (No. 17) and possibly your neighbor (No. 18). You inhabit a completely different block of townhouses to the one in which I live, on the other side of SP 10775. I dealt with my water ingress problems to my satisfaction years ago. Water Ingress seems your primary business. unless it substantially affects me as a member of the owners corporation seeking to protect herself from comparative financial harm in global markets where third party service costs of all kinds may appear wrongly compulsory for state and private sector legal separations, as well as strongly rising.

MINUTES AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES: I FIND THE PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGET A GOOD APPROACH TO SP 10775 TO SUPPORT THE ROADMAP

I suggest Acumen Strata be appointed for a three year term, on the assumption that Acumen Strata was appointed to fix the perimeter wall issues behind 7-11 in its first financial year of operation which ends in September 2026. This includes a focus on 11, which is owned by an absentee landlord whose tenants may not let one in, according to their legal rights. No. 18 also has an absentee landlord or God knows who his representative is, to deal with. However, I consider the treatment of perimeter walls are the most urgent problems, particularly at the front of the whole building of SP 10775 fences on both sides of Rosebank St., I know architects who would roll over in their graves at the sight of their buildings living behind the current fencing mess and I completely agree. (Go to Bunnings for something cheap and cheerful plus a few plants is my usual advice.)

Cheers Carol (No. 10)

Hi

I am commenting on Sally's comments, without wishing to encourage her as usual.

1. Boundary Fence (brick fence behind units 7-11 and beside unit 11 and South East common property).

I agree with the above as a reasonable summation of meeting decisions as I recall them and as they are recorded in the original minutes, as far as I can recall. I responded favourably.

2. Moisture Issues – Unit 17 (Renovation Restrictions) (I wasn't still present.)

I supported the original minutes, but made a few comments which I can't find now.

I had left the meeting after an hour and assigned Tiggie my proxy to vote as she and Justin wished, because they are the owners of No. 17, and their house is on the opposite side of the 18 blocks to mine. The owners of No. 17 and No. 18 know most about their own affairs. The owners of No. 18, have most responsibility for cost in relation to what affects No. 18 in my opinion, whether they understand and accept this as absentee landlords who haven't said cooee to me for years or not. I know nothing about them so feel inadequate when stepping into their matters.

In my view, the Strata Manager should decide right and fair action on this governance matter because we don't just pay them to take and bank our levies and please insurers, to avoid as much work as possible, as they would appear to wish.

Ongoing Moisture, Water Ingress, and Drainage Issues

3a. Nick proposed in relation to apparent water damage in lot 7's garage wall: that we commission our usual plumbers to do an intermittent fix as soon as possible.

In principle, I'm happy for this to occur. However, **it is new work** and I have mentioned the question of how tree pruning in front of No. 11 is expected to be treated many times, without hearing further from anybody about it. Do we expect its tree branches to be pruned at any stage as some appear close to the building roof already? (I was the first to point out to the strata manager (Verdun Walsh) that a dead tree was knocking down a small part of the wall at the back of No. 11 for example. (Since then the wall has been knocked down further for tree removal.)

I expect we will die waiting for the absentee landlord or his supposed representative to respond in the meantime, for example, unless Sally and Lili know a lot about what he wants that I don't, which is likely as I never met him. This is holding up discussion of how trees ought to be treated in general. The guy in No. 11 had a young lady who came to see me about the wall, but she disappeared after I let her in to take photos of the back yard. (Did she die in the interim?)

I don't like to mention this because I know how much concrete rather than vegetation, let alone trees, appear wrongly attacked, in my experience. Roots hold earth together. Rain soaks into earth. Concrete and lawns may be the normal construction experience and desire but in Australia we should have better treatment. As an absentee landlord, Nick has already decided he needed a new downpipe, on the simple explanation which Sally complied with, as I understand from her, that he needed a new downpipe at his place and others had one.

(These absentee landlord men appear typically unconcerned or over-concerned about pursuing their own secret interests in my experience and couldn't care less about others. Part of the reason I strongly welcome absentee landlords onto strata committees is that they may thereby learn about the needs of others aside from those secret ones of their own intimates, whoever they are. I don't want to see Lili and Gunther feathering their own nests in secret by using the law to advance their neighbourly interests, for example. I want something better than usual which is a reason I strongly welcome Gunther to come onto the strata committee to see how things may work in the real Australian consumer and owner world broader than his particular interests here. I have no doubt from our conversations together that he is a knowledge man with considerable building experience. That's a good start, as I have little practical knowledge or interests in STEM affairs. (Like many women and lawyers, I am a humanities graduate.)

By-Laws Review and Drafting (I hate by-laws for reasons I have given many times. I particularly hate moronic, old fashioned by-laws with prescriptions rather than aims. They are strong encouragements to people to go to tribunals or courts and waste my and others stupid time and money over matters which do not affect me personally or which do.

I hate adversarial behaviour because I think it is often comparatively crazy and ignorantly expensive. When I was on NTEU decision making bodies, for example, I saw the members time and money constantly used to support particular individual complaints which dragged on expensively for years. I saw the same in the PSA, where I also saw canny trade union officials make themselves a packet through compensation claims when they got out of the public service game. This sense of personal entitlement operates against the interests of others in many cases, whatever the lawyers say or think. One cannot and should not argue from the particular to the general experience in many cases.

I have no particular objection to Sally's further comments on the original minutes but suggested some further actions aimed at the coming REI NSW Conference coming in April.

IN GENERAL I SUPPORT THE IDEA THAT THE OWNERS OF PLOTS AND MEMBERS OF STRATA COMMITTEES SHOULD HAVE FREE ACCESS TO ALL INFORMATION THEY HAVE ALREADY PAID FOR THROUGH LEVIES AT ONE TIME OR ANOTHER.

THIS TENDENCY TO USE TECHNOLOGY TO TAKE WHAT USED TO BE FREE AND THEN TO MONETIZE IT SO THAT ONLY PROFESSIONALS HAVE ACCESS TO IT IS SO UNFAIRLY TIME AND MONEY WASTING THAT IT MAKES ME SICK.

WE SHOULD FIGHT AGAINST IT, PARTICULARLY AS IT DIVIDES THOSE WHO ALREADY HAVE THE INFORMATION AND THOSE WHO ARE DENIED IT FREE OR WHO WISH TO IGNORE IT (LIKE No. 7 DID, FOR EXAMPLE). I don't blame people who want to ignore rubbish overregulation and generally welcome their more informed expertise to the committee. However, it is an understandable reaction which has cost this strata a lot of time and money in past repairs done by people in complete isolation from any knowledge about the general or particular place, product or service that might have sensibly been provided by strata managers or other committee members, for example. (I've made my share of bad decisions with good intentions like many people. However, I knew and followed the law at the time. I can understand and sympathise with those who don't want to make the same mistake.)

Cheers Carol (No. 10)

Hi Ramesh

Thanks for that.

Whether I regard services that I have paid for through levies as private property of the service provider is up to me entirely. I paid for your services through levies and will do what I like with any information you send me as a result.

Similarly, anything I write is my intellectual property and I will give it to anybody I like. This is the position traditionally taken in health risk management and by those caring for children and others.

The private sector appears to be increasingly dismantling any genuine concern for anybody except their largest clients. I fear and hate their operational methods because they often increase harm through common and self-protective exploitation in my experience. Government

supports the harms of global subcontracting in many ways and partly to try to get those who increasingly make claims for disability in ageing societies off their books. There are many examples of this.

Cheers Carol

Hi All (through Rob and Fiona and Tiggie?)

AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO PAST FINANCIAL AND OTHER MATTERS ON SP 10775

PLEASE SEND ROB (No. 8) AND TIGGIE (No. 17) THE STRATA ROLL FIRST

In **Responsibilities of the owners corporation**, the free paper takeaway *states that the owners corporation must keep and maintain a strata roll. The roll must be kept by mechanical, electronic or other means, etc. etc.* I address related responsibilities of owners in a strata scheme training I obtained free from **NSW Fair Trading** in 2013.

PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGET (KEY REPEAT QUESTIONS FOR ACUMEN STRATA)

1. Why have my normal quarterly levy payments to an administrative fund and a capital works fund for SP 10775 now been renamed as a single NOTICE OF MAINTENANCE CONTRIBUTIONS?

2. Why am I allocated 57 entitlements? How many entitlements are there; who gets them, how and on what basis, one wonders. Particularly as a member of the strata committee on the owners' corporation of SP 10775 one appears subjected by insurance and Acumen strata law practice to a lot of free work and risk which should not be taken on behalf of others more or less ignorant, on the owners corporation, whoever they are.

This major Acumen strata change appears to abolish the CAPITAL WORKS FUND from notice except, one assumes, by some Acumen Strata cognoscenti.

According to my files, they presented **Roll Changes** for the first time, from new Acumen Strata financial views, in a Building Status Report delivered for the period 1.4.25 to 30.4.25. **It showed embryonic understanding of the strata residential history and strata documented roll, levy, expenditure and savings practice which has occurred here since 1976 at least.** However, a lot of other relevant demands have been made by individual members of the owners corporation since then.

3. Why did only the members of the strata committee, (plus one other member of the owners' corporation) attend the ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING held on 29.9.25?

Did only the members of the strata committee get this key financial and related management information or did members of the owners corporation get it too, for example?

4. Why does the PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGET FOR STRATA PLAN 10775 expect that THE TOTAL ADMIN FUND INCOME will drop from approximately \$90,000 to \$50,000 by 30.9.26?

5. Why is the capital works fund expected to rise? (Both 3 and 4 seem unlikely to me under the current pressures generated by past and current renovations and remediations demanded by some members of the owners corporation, but not by others, particularly if they

appear to be absentee landlords, for example. However, I wonder how much all this speculation matters if private sector insurance companies and banks are pulling the accounting strings, whether state or federal governments have any knowledge or understanding of the effects on the ground or not. (Baby, why should they?)

To a member of the owners corporation and strata committee of SP 10775, such as myself, who remembers the days before the confusion of having two separate accounts came along, I found **I could track missed levy payments; plus particular lot and strata expenditures; and the remainder in savings and their expenditures far more easily and effectively than I can today.**

ONE CONSTANTLY WONDERS WHETHER ONLY THE STRATA COMMITTEE HAS RECEIVED VITAL FINANCIAL AND RELATED KEY INFORMATION OR IF ACUMEN STRATA HAS SENT INFORMATION TO THE WHOLE OWNERS CORPORATION. PLEASE SEND AN UPDATED STRATA ROLL.

We need to be able to contact the owners' corporation through Rob and Tiggie WITH CERTAINTY, in one fell swoop first, to get rid of this poor private sector management. I think it would be good to get these matters worked out reasonably fast, especially as Maureen is dead and her house appears empty. The details below are mine and incomplete. PLEASE PROVIDE CORRECT FULL DETAILS OF THE STRATA ROLL TO ROB AND TIGGIE. I find email best because it eliminates the uncertainty of speech. (You never know if anything happened, let alone in a good way or not.)

Below is my ignorant, outdated and imperfect management knowledge, I only hope Fiona Yeum or somebody else can guide me because I can't understand how Acumen Strata expects to work.

Lot 1: Julie Akerholt and Martin Joseph Connor

Lot 2: Kenneth Doctor (He turned up to the last Acumen strata AGM alone beside the strata committee members. Why the no show of the rest?)

Lot 3: Hugh Frazer

Lot 4: Dimity Brown

Lot 5: Lauren Jane Errington

Lot 6: Li Wang

Lot 7: Gunther Vandenborgh (Vandenborghgunther@gmail.com)

Lot 8: Lili Mason

Lot 9: Carolyn MacCann and Rob Hynson

Lot 10: Carol O'Donnell cfodonnell@gmail.com

Lot 11: Ian P. Fletcher (Has anybody seen this man?)

Lot 12: Nick Juradowich

Lot 13: Sally McLauchlin

Lot 14: Maureen Sharpe (dead); empty house

Lot 15: Johannes.loots (Tell me more)

Lot 16; No idea

Lot 17: Tiggie and Justin (It is stupid and legal offense that being on the strata committee in receipt of Acumen Strata information forbids Tiggie from sharing this with her partner Justin and her children. who all live in the same house of which Justin is an owner along with Tiggie.

This is one of many wrong, unnecessary and expensive behaviours in state society since the Married Women's Property Act of the 19th century occurred and since the Family Law act and administration was passed in 1975. I address this unnecessary, adversarial and expensive harm elsewhere but also wonder who wrote the Common Property by-law which Acumen strata sent us which I think is wrong too. This legal system forces people to become expensive adversaries in law which forbids open discussion between those who were supposed intimate in bedrooms, etc.

Lot 18: Zhi Ying Shi (Has anybody seen this man?)

I haven't a clue but hopefully Acumen Strata will have some answers when we meet next week.

Cheers Carol (No. 10)