

CHECKS AND BALANCES OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND PRESIDENT TRUMP ARE OUTMODED. GIVE THE NEW WORLD ORDER BETTER CHEAPER SERVICES IN OPEN COURTS WITHOUT LAWYERS

You're obsolete my baby/ my poor old-fashioned baby/ I said baby, baby, baby, you're out of time

You may be right/ I may be crazy/ But it just may be a lunatic you're looking for. (A little **Alice through the Looking Glass** and **Bran Nue Dae** comes later.)

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037 www.Carolodonnell.com.au

'WELL NOW THAT WE HAVE SEEN EACH OTHER', SAID THE UNICORN. "IF YOU BELIEVE IN ME, I'LL BELIEVE IN YOU. IS THAT A BARGAIN"? "YES, IF YOU LIKE", SAID ALICE, A TRUSING CHILD

According to PBS Newshour journalists, the US people are concerned that checks and balances will be undermined by President Trump's recent behaviour in openly dressing down President Zelenski on TV. He felt a lack of gratitude to US people for past US support in the Ukraine War. As President Trump said, however, his clear administration made great TV. It was clear and open behaviour of the kind we often associate with showmen in movies and stories, about someone's historical time and place, backed secretly by bigger money, perhaps. Charismatic leaders, who Max Weber distinguished from other kinds in his discussions of bureaucracy, may rise up first in poverty, war, criminal or other violent physical and mental disaster, or court, for example. This charismatic style of leadership by President Trump may ultimately be more powerful than the US life depicted as normal on most of the news TV that I've seen in Alaska or Canada recently. It isn't called dialectical materialism for nothing, either. The forces which bring us together also tear us apart with President Trump. This superficially seems good for planning better directed business to suit all our goals better. This is my old woman's aim in this regional bit of the world here. (I live in Glebe in Sydney, now, but have travelled very far and wide throughout my life since birth, very often on lots of holiday breaks. (Hurrah.)

I watched some of the great Australian documentary **Australia: An Unofficial History** on SBS TV. It deals with the picture that Australian government wanted to send to the world about the attractive life to be had here, for the right immigrants, tourists passing through or others, intent on settlement and trade. it was also a picture of our Australian generation against the US War on Vietnam, first, often using footage about Australian life from the perspective of women, homosexuals, aborigines or others today, who supported the arrival of the Whitlam Labor government and its growing phalanxes of multiple lawyers on all sides. However, more US dominated wars followed. Australia usually supported its great and powerful US friends as usual and in that context President Trump and China seem best able to work together to assist the necessary emergence of new world orders in many places where they have never been much seen or recognised before. The meaning of Independence is addressed in related regions later, to argue its meaning has been overvalued as well as wrongly valued in the service of lawyers who are already dedicated to more self-serving law.

I write as an old woman who is sick of the shock of the new, as usual. However, my wider regional, political economic and historical response is put briefly later but in more detail in my autobiography, **Power Loving: Everything you didn't want to know about sex and lawyers** (2020), available at www.Carolodonnell.com.au This considers better teaching and writing is generally a good idea before secrecy and numerical research. As a heterosexual woman of his generation, I've often agreed with

Denis Altman, speaking on the **Australia: An Unofficial History TV** program, who wrote a pathbreaking book on homosexuality and normally worked in universities. He said he never felt he needed to be particularly brave to come out as homosexual during the 1970s. In retrospect, it seems to me that a lot more open acting was seen as the expected part of career advance in the humanities then. As a woman from a similar community type, I was in the Communist Party and also influenced by Germaine Greer's book, **The Female Eunuch**, among pathbreaking others. However, the greatest fears for women from my era in my experience was needing an abortion or marriage to somebody who quickly became a violent, addicted or absent mistake. At the time there seemed little option unless any woman had substantial amounts of money as bribes for illegal operations or adoptions. Thank God a lot of that changed as women expected more education, paid work and contraception. This was to care for others more effectively than was formerly expected from men. I write again in this historical context. In my view, women are often less ready to face the economic and financial facts of their lives today, than they were in the 1970s. I address this again later, while wondering particularly what Andrew Leigh, the **Assistant Minister for Competition, Charities, Treasury and Employment**, the typical text-book Canberra professor of economic and lawyer does about this now. Nothing much, I guess. In my view, if he wants to remain an academic, writing books, he should go back to academia and leave somebody else a less partial seat. (PhDs all round, my arse.)

Australia, thank God, has only six states to contend with and not many bordering neighbours in flight from poorer expectations or pursuing higher standards, or whatever. Since the beginning of white convict settlement in the late 18th century, and the states federation and Constitution in 1901, Australians have had the luxury of taking more planned approaches to their problems. I address services related to emotional and disability support before death later and attached. This is to address the apparent concern of the US people about loss of their checks and balances. (Baby, bring it on?)

AUSTRALIA: WHERE YOU MAY GET BENEFITS OF BEING AN EMPLOYEE AND ALSO BE SELF-EMPLOYED OR NOT (AN OLD WOMAN RESPONDS TO CURRENT LEADERSHIP AND US PRESIDENT TRUMP)

As an old Australian woman of English birth in 1947, who came to Australia in 1951 and who is now 78 years old, I start from the position of entering the culture wars in Sydney with newly-elected US President Trump. This is also in response to the concern of many US people that their checks and balances are being eroded or lost. In the theoretical world we have lived in for a long time in Australia, no worries, if we can design new ones and others (such as our conventionally supposed feudal allies), will let us live in peace. The evidence of the current Australian is that most of us have done that for each other so far, although many of us may appear more afraid for ourselves and our kin than ever as a result of our greater wealth. Go figure and come up with nothing much as usual? Try Jesus?

Apart from my life's experience, all I know as an old woman is what I read in the papers or what I see on free to air ABC TV or SBS TV, or read in books or reports, or watch movies. Glebe is a land of milk and honey for many kids and women like me and has been for a long time for many in global terms. I address the general political economist's concern about the comparatively flat rate of the gross domestic product later, to suggest that many of us are now wealthy enough to avoid paid work we don't like. I address younger people about these matters later in a context where the accumulation of wealth which has formerly been comparatively equally spread, now seems more likely to be frittered away a lot faster by commercial forces that most of us, me included, understand little or nothing about in relation to their effects on the material world. One naturally puts this down to US business lawyers

and their usual mates, now ascendant with various new AI and financial interests. My view, as usual, is the old boys should move on and work freely doing what they want. I address the concept of what it may mean to be independent in this context later, to understand better and cheaper service direction for the Australian people and others who are expected to represent their interests well in key institutions. Education through the life span is addressed in related contexts later.

I address service directions attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au to see products as part of services in planned global and regional approaches. (Think globally, act locally, etc.) I am now interested in understanding how our strata monthly accounts might be managed better and cheaper for the owners' corporation. I guess this may occur in more openly responsive project-based accounting than occurs under the NSW legal regime of strata managers, the Macquarie Bank and unknown others. Evidence attached is that strata work deals, payments and financial collections don't meet reasonable expectations of general budget knowledge and control according to comparatively limited strata law, which deals with building in each state context. Key strata management matters are addressed attached primarily with regard to the NSW Fair Trading expectations in data collection. As a prominent Australian woman banker also asserted recently on ABC TV, being forward-looking and data dependent are very much in line with each other. This key data question, however, is how any shared or individual project can be managed better and cheaper. NSW law doesn't work well.

In Australia, English is our common mother tongue. The important gifts this has conferred on us are addressed in cooperative humanities-based responses. These are principally written to make better responses on regional, historic, cultural and economic grounds to Peter Doherty's book, **The Knowledge Wars** (2015). Doherty shared the 1996 Nobel Prize in Medicine for discovering the nature of cellular immune defence. He points out English is also the language of scientific publication. As a woman who has never written with a man although I've often tried to find one to do it with, I wonder why. Writing with colleagues is the name of the academic game, for example. When I was young, one was allowed to have sex with one's mentor. Should one marry them today or only if you pretend you are gay and get down on one knee? Business studies in universities have often led to ridiculously expensive business and conclusions from this Australian state perspective. On the basis that you can't be what you can't see, I address some key words for popular concepts, particularly in education institutions later. Words come first so let us market them to men as equal sex objects, sexist and the cultural rest because that is what popular US product and sales techniques have made us into, whether we believe or like it much or not. As an Australian who came to consciousness in Essex after World War 2, I address the experiences of violence as distinct from continuing pain or coercive control, in product or political sales and propaganda from the community or the home, for example. I address **The Knowledge Wars**, noting that when Australian manufacturing was in full flower, the subject grouping of associated interests in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) didn't exist, although lots of apprenticeships and traineeships did. As a grandma I find the distinctions between humanities subjects and STEM subjects most useful now to link them better here.

We should now all die as soon as we want with state help to protect the rest of the population; expressed in the general responsibility for wellbeing and free choice. Sadly, the US has been given over to the demands of status seeking since, as if they were legitimate for compensation through the legal processes. They are not and must be won through more honest speech. The concepts of goods including services, rather than services including goods, are addressed later in key regional project terms. It has traditionally been hoped no bads are produced. We are all sex objects now, of course.

I address the concept of independence later. This is to express a preference for the undertaking of more inquiries by elected politicians, who select themselves for inquiry into the particular topic with the aim of opening more matters up for general understanding and knowledge. The Attorneys General may respond to parliament on legal grounds that they suppose are also related to achievement of the public interest, instead of lawyers running the show at huge expense instead. It might be noted, in this regional context, that according to the Collins Dictionary, the meaning of the word Independent, (a hurrah word common in English-speaking states), means **“not subject to others; self-directing; unconnected politically”**. One might see independence as an English-speaking chimera. I address the concept again later in regard to continuing education and research. The direction promoted here is about getting better evidence to serve people better by managing money better.

As an independent contractor, one supposedly gives up one’s entitlement to benefits normally won by employees who have more power because they are part of larger organisations, rather than acting with independent choice. To speak of an adult woman or man being independent, is to assume they have enough money to support their own free decisions. I guess many of us wish for both. In Australia, however, many people have been able to avail themselves of the common benefits of being an employee, with the luxury of independent thought, at the same time. In my view, this puts certain obligations on the receiver, and this involves broad and honest writing to inform others as honestly, freely and cheaply as possible. In my view, good website should make this available. I share and deal later with key views in Julie Hare’s article, **People are not stupid or bogans, it’s us, Shorten tells unis** (AFR 26.2.25, p.3). A funny coloured picture of Bill Shorten accompanies this.

My aim is to enter the culture wars supported by the atheist, Marxist, feminist theoretical backing openly displayed at www.Carolodonnell.com.au . This discusses future aims for education and other services to support the general standard of living typically wanted here across the life-span. My search and that of others who want to enter this coalition of the willing, should now be focused on death and the period before it far more than usual to go forward as future populations. Since coming to live in Glebe strata in 1994, I have considered the ownership and management of land and property in more partial legal market terms as usual, to come to the conclusions attached about the outmoded quality and fast rising cost to Australians of legal government regulation and court attention or force. In regard to land and state housing management, I learned at last about the importance of the meaning of **“the first among equals”** in Papal church building and other management, from the movie **Conclave**. It addresses the death of an old Pope and the choice of a new Pope, brought about in Vatican voting. I address the contributions of women in related political and economic contexts later. These analyses identify the need for regional community interests to be consultatively undertaken in better planned government and industry operations first, rather than assuming the normal lawyer-led routes to prosperity through secret wealth accumulation or use are best. (Follow Lex Greensill and Sanjeev Gupta? Frankly, I’d rather not. It will be on their terms.)

Try government. As Canberra MP Andrew Leigh has written so many books, why hasn’t he made them openly and freely available on his website? Even Australian professors in education at Oxford University have learned to do that. Any kind of free explanation of anything with findings is always a huge help to anybody who wants to know what anybody else is doing, before making email contact. Andrew Leigh thinks Australians are financially stretched, time poor, and unable to make regular commitments. Perhaps many are more conventionally encouraged by their top US associations to be

more dishonest about time and money, even when they have both. I explore related matters later in regard to death and housing.

As a woman, I think it would be good if caring people like Leigh's parents in Canberra, openly give us a will and call for a state assisted personally chosen death before becoming a burden to the rest of us. If they want, let the state use their bodies for something good, like feeding animals or fish, instead of forcing the usual pain and cost on everybody around them outside hospital. Mental health and the National Disability Insurance System are addressed later in related diagnostic, treatment and willing lights. I dislike what Leigh stands for too much to buy any of his books. They should all be summarized or able to be read on-line because Leigh is rich enough and that is a possibility which clearly considers the things he is supposedly most worried about – time and money. I have seen a lot of this kind of thing in UN related ways of behaving in Washington. They would rather public money is spent in refusing to provide the key outcome of research every time. Buy the book? No thanks. But the well-heeled academic or related judge is always expected to swallow up the budget so they can swan around within their usual closed circles of US influence and cost for normal Australians. (Learn to write and put the matter or related opinion out cheap or free, while admitting mistakes when they are pointed out to you. I address copyright in this context later to illuminate its racism.

WHY I ADVISE THE AUSTRALIAN PM TO OPENLY INVITE A FEW KEY MEN AND THEIR SIGNIFICANT FEMALE OTHERS TO AN AFTERNOON WORKING PARTY AND TEA. THEY MIGHT ADDRESS KEY AUSTRALIAN DIRECTIONS FOR NEW WORLD REGIONAL ORDERS BEING CONSIDERED WITH US PRESIDENT TRUMP AND OTHERS BEFORE THE 2025 FEDERAL ELECTIONS (BRING A GOOD TYPIST)

The desire or need to travel for family support or not will not go away soon, with links to the village or college, for example. Like Australian Prime Minister, Gough Whitlam in the early 1970s, President Trump is the regional Messiah we had to have globally to work out better new world orders by more openly and consultatively made deals, with megaphone diplomacy. I have always tried as a woman to operate with megaphone diplomacy because those who make the deals may be held more openly to account. I also hate making financial deals because I'm hopeless at doing deals with money. This should matter less, however, if planning assists the development of open and evidence-based comparison between populations who want to express themselves as individuals first. Surely, we all want to marry or cohabit primarily for love and not for money, for example, however we may think that the elders will know best for us. Nevertheless, when women have fewer children later and family ties are loosened, related family matters may be addressed. The major concern is how we should approach death, property, money or other assets and liabilities. In project terms we will die fast for those of us who are old and disabled. How should we go? (One thinks of the memorable US **Family Ties** TV series stars here and not **The Brady Bunch**, etc. **Family Ties** was a US TV series family in changing generational times. We felt similar things in urban Australia. My compliments more recently now to everybody who made **The Newsreader** on ABC TV. I remember the times, and the performances were so true and clever I thought. The musical **Bran Nue Dae** provides a similarly insightful look at parts of regional Australia, which has usually been well skilled for the times, in my past experience.

The insurance design and management I learned in NSW government in the eighties and nineties impressed me for life. And then I saw the lawyers take back all their power, bit by bit, instead of going forward with PM Nick Greiner and Gary Sturgess as Cabinet head, for example. Labor and Premier

Bob Carr came in with a much greater focus on renewable energy and national parks, I guess you will find. The result in land and housing, as I point out attached, is wrong state over-regulation in growing areas of strata housing, where those strongest or in a position to know, may do what they like.

However, I thought the risk and premium management design I was taught in the NSW public service was very good for stable and fairer administration of premium and government funds and investments. It began with corporate planning to establish the aims of the scheme for those who fund it and those who are expected to benefit from it. I have been interested in health and related quality insurance matters ever since. Then I saw the scheme spoiled by lawyers as usual. They began by strangling the dispute resolution system first by defining how it would work, through secretive adversarial lawyers. Once again, their refusal to talk openly and honestly about matters destroyed the common potential for understanding and doing more about everything. Lawyers destroyed the will by deciding it was their province to dictate to each other at will. This makes me wonder whether compensation can be justified according to law. I very much doubt it but I bet the issue could fund increasing numbers of lawyers all over. Not that former premier Bob Carr was ever a lawyer. He was a journalist and bushwalker with energy and national parks in mind; when I was back with girls at Sydney Uni. for a restful comeuppance. I assume he is free to do what he likes for free. He should be more than moderately well-heeled by now and could probably fund himself, for example. I bet there are tons of them like that out there. Bob Carr was Foreign Minister so should be able to wander where he likes as long as he makes clear he has no other power, like Malcolm Turnbull, etc. etc.

In the terms of political economists and their legal associates, President Trump wants to make America great again (MAGA). This is to be done by setting protective tariffs against goods coming into the country cheaper than the US made product. He has also tried to buy other lands or do deals around border and canal treatments. The aim is to make more productive deals for US traders with more influence there at last. This has been strongly resisted by many American consumers who fear their costs of production and consumption will rise as a result. A lot of US lawyers also smell more lucrative work as a result of the Republican majorities now sitting in US federal government. Australia, on the other hand, resists this US direction under Trump more independently than usual. This is in spite of the AUKUS war related manufacture and spending agreements. Key European, Canadian and other governments have also resisted President Trump for their independent land and trading reasons. This is the global context in which a new world order is being created in the light of common energy requirements for business or trade for communities globally and locally. In particular, renewable energies like water and wave power, solar power and wind power appear preferred and shared in many places, regardless of the usual borders. Megaphone diplomacy helps all planning.

Australia has been reducing its protective tariffs in steel making and manufacturing, as well as in automobile and related assembly, since the Whitlam years. After World War 2, the rising Asian capacity to manufacture iron and steel products cheaper and better than the rest of the world was globally appreciated. It also allowed more services to be indulged, like movies, concerts and holiday travel, for example. Key building materials, following bicycles, radios, TVs and cars, spring to mind, for example, with limited power or water facilities being likely to create more inequalities in some cases.

If I'm a lobbyist, why don't I ever get paid? I first ask former Senator Doug Cameron, formerly of the AMWU, if he fancies a trip to England, Wales and Scotland mainly during July 2025. If so, he should contact **Intrepid** and pay for himself and anybody else he wants. Nixon is also welcome, or Rod or

Hafed, etc. By all means bring a woman. I gather from reading the Australian Financial Review that Simon Marginson has moved on from leading the Education, Science, Research Centre for Global Higher Education at Oxford University. According to the Oxford website, his research is focused primarily on global and international higher education; higher education in East Asia; the public and social contributions of higher education; and higher education and social equality. Check out his personal website at Oxford Uni. It's a good open, clear, free model for reading, contacting and understanding what everybody is doing at the supposed upper global academic echelons. In my opinion, if money is to be spent, it is ideally spent on something very broadly open, reliable and cheap all over, as a result of voluntary restructures, retirements, wills and donations in many related areas of education and other services. It seems bad that top lawyers and psychiatrists are paid so much for what they do, for example. I can't see that their opinions have much intrinsic value for any other individuals or groups making predictions. They don't like to commit themselves and neither should they. Theirs is often flimsy evidence about murderous or suicidal proclivities in others, and yet juries are expected to bear the burden of deciding if a person in court is guilty or innocent, according to many outdated views about matters for any community protection and management purposes.

Jails and refugee centres are places one might also consider in any related new world order of recruitment and training. I write to understand the requirements of the new world order better than the demands. This has ramifications for common disciplinary and popular concepts such as ***checks and balances; goods and services; the measurement of apparently painful or positive emotion along scales; the limitations of gross domestic product and growth as measures of social or environmental value.*** This is also to address regional and administrative differences which should be maintained between **violence** and **coercive control** in the development of evidence bases. This is in turn to support more regional, evidence based and fair risk management approaches to services.

Unless one lives in daily fear of their use and so becomes more inoffensive on the topic, one starts with elimination of unnecessary guns because their ownership appears to be the most common cause of violence against the person. The US Constitution encourages secret gun trade, whether it is considered legal or not. Australia is a different place altogether. We have seldom glorified hunting as sport, for example. One hopes Australian police and communities will try gun amnesties again, which should also educate us about the elimination of foreign pests such as cats, pigs, deer, rabbits, and horses for example. Former Liberal PM, John Howard, linked gun buybacks to Medicare expenditures. However, good health of Australians in depression and wartime has been put down to rabbits.

The US war on drugs is a war on illegal drugs. They relentlessly try pumping the legal ones into us all, plus dogs, to see what happens. To all those associated professions, I react clearly first as an Australian atheist grandma. I would rather be dead than linger on for years as a guinea pig or monkey for the rest to admire as I go downhill, thanks very much. Related vaccine and organ donation matters in Australia are addressed attached. A lot of the best ways to keep infectious diseases at bay, however, may largely depend on better toilet, shower and washing blocks for adults and children used to going out in open fields. This means more and cleaner water must come from somewhere as well as better energy systems than wood for cooking in places which seem more like boundless deserts each day. The smart-phone was introduced with fanfare as a means of liberation for the poor, but it has hugely increased regional inequality in US interests instead. I guess that smart-phone secret sales capacity has also helped many dedicated illegal traders and scammers of various kinds. On thinks of tobacco warriors smuggling cheap tobacco in Melbourne and selling it to evade government taxes, for example.

Gangs and turf wars thrive more easily in 21st century settings where war is a vital continuing means of support through addiction to its benefits for life or business growth through spread. In this comparatively degrading climate for many, would I rather know cops or lawyers? Cops every time. Defund police only by removing all arms somehow. One supports the efforts of President Trump in dealing with violence to reduce its cost very strongly. I believe the US of old was dragged into war by its top continuing allies in Britain like Winston Churchill. One makes related suggestions in the Australian context of the general political desire now expressed to reduce violence against women and children. Sexual harassment, etc. should be everybody's business, like informed consent. Although violence occurs to the body, I would have thought that coercive control allows police and others more latitude in where they gain their information for further action.

The concept of *coercive control* is an important positive change if its implications are properly understood and supported in more broadly connected regional approaches. Communities and individuals thereby become more personally responsible for their choices of action. No personal loss of control ending in violence is acceptable in civilized communities, from my personal view and identification with women and children. Money often gives one the choice of free time, as well as housing or other product. One's support must come from a source. What is it and where is it hiding? These funding and related management control matters are discussed as a result of personal difficulties in strata and other housing addressed later and attached. The value of money in any undertaking should always be acknowledged and inquired about for wellbeing, however unfashionable that may seem to many professional men, women, and lawyers hanging on the production lines today. I moved here to strata in Glebe in 1994 and I am still trying to understand how monthly accounts and work orders operate. Time costs money when one is in business yet everybody loves to protect secrets. It takes a lot of travel and energy to finish a job so we hope you are home, and we're not locked out. There are many questions about who is supposed to run strata, addressed attached.

Since the 1990s, rising standards of living have produced related concerns everywhere about climate change, global warming, and the protection of the teeming produce of the earth, seas, rivers and air for future generations to enjoy. This global aim is gaining more openly shared cooperation, rather than endless despoilation and related desertification, pollution or degradation, in our eyes, at least. From this view, whether we are white or black or brown, the fact that rates of aboriginal incarceration and child separations from aboriginal mothers have been rising, suggests the time is ripe for more focus on the forms of community protection which might normally be expected for anyone, accused of anything or not. I guess that in jail the inmates may or may not like each other much. However, jail might be better for a change than being on the reservation. I dunno, although I was a secondary school teacher so learned only what we all know about the common student and teacher relations. I know I've spent more than 30 years being sick of the woke as well as lawyers. I have to beware of the desire to bait them, for example, as it ruins the general potential for gain, which is good. (See Sydney's biggest month of comedy in April 2025 at Sydney Comedy Festival. I might go to the session on **Wankernomics**, or the **Jewish Comedy Showcase**, possibly hoping for a match. So, spot me? (Please say yes as I've got to get off the plane somewhere else soon in a foreign country with foreign communications. Dual communications should be far more highly valued in trading and writing.)

This global and regional planning is to serve those of us getting rich enough to be holiday tourists here or in other lands, staying with relatives or not, for example Energy generation in all its forms, including human, however, is clearly of greater and broader concern in this global new world order. In Australia,

it now seems more like holiday or party-time for many, although inequality and small business failures have been rising very fast. Women and children and education are addressed later in this light. More broadly, however, the aim of any new world order must surely be better integrated and cheaper study of books and the humanities on one hand, and in regard to science, technology engineering and mathematics (STEM) aspirations for better and cheaper engineering and construction on the other. I have sought this unity all my life as the bearer of the humanities and Marxist feminism. I also believe Engels was greater than Marx because he was more theoretically grounded by the life around him. Check out the wonderful book **Karl Marx**, by Francis Wheen (1999). Good broad grounding is far more likely to be found in government work than in the university tea-room, in my view, as people must meet from many fields of work. I have never worked in the private sector because I could never be guided by mathematics I didn't understand and I couldn't do fast enough anyway. However, the concept of discipline should be opened loosely to accommodate the importance of the STEM concepts in the new world order. This is ideally more openly evidence based and guided in regional, rather than adversarial court terms. Historically and as a woman, I am a colonial inheritor of key views expressed by William Gladstone. He was Prime Minister of Britain four times in the 19th century. He wrote about his many relations and thoughts about many different women, including Queen Victoria and prostitutes he met, according to Anne Isba's book **Gladstone and Women** (2006). Accumulation of wealth has been comparatively well distributed in Australia so far, depending on aspiration and education since schooling theoretically arrived for all in 1870. Protect everyone better by opening up in regional service forms which include commercial products. Services and products are addressed later in this global, regional, historical, development light.

This trading matter is ideally pursued in the light of the fact that richer women have generally had fewer offspring later in life; and have clearly called to be treated more equally with men. The US women also appear likely to support Democrat candidates in elections. My first response here is that women should learn to speak up and be careful what they wish for, rather than secretly running to some other person to report what they don't like in secret. Then they can gear up for lawyers who can deliver no answers to anything for anybody except more discontent. I despise this tendency now encouraged in institutions as if it were preferable to the free and open expression of opinion, based on any evidence, including personal evidence. Sitting on the couch watching their performances at the National Press Club, under the probing questions of journalists and answering them, however, can teach many TV watchers freely every week. Independent candidates and others in Australian parties are openly encouraged here to adopt many new open views from amateurs, not just professionals. When one really cares about something, being an amateur may be the best way to start or finish. This regional management approach is different from seeing services as part of products as usual, whether they are paid for or given freely to others through religious or similar conviction. This refers to the views of women economists and others expressed at the National Press Club later. This is also to try to help develop programs and projects to prevent violence and pick up better after loss.

Services are increasingly required throughout the life span with the quality supposedly regulated by government to protect those using or working in them in many cases. The home may or may not be a comparatively dangerous place, which we nevertheless cope with through bonds of love and familiarity. However, the home seldom lives up to the standard of those expected by government or many commercially approved places, when the comparatively well-heeled are involved. Some may prefer a paid nanny or free family member caring for their kids. There are shortages in many occupations. This

seems partly because of unnecessarily long and expensive requirements of professional recruitment in some cases. Early childhood education and care in centres today, for example, could be assisted and supported by free voluntary or paid help in centres; and expansion of family day care services run by local councils. In such situations, women may look after their own children and others more easily at home, with set minimum rates and conditions for the education, care and safety of children, back-up visits and resources from councils. (**See Caring for Australia's Children: Political and Industrial Issues in Child Care** by Deb Brennan and Carol O'Donnell (1986). In the late 1970s and 1980s, with other mothers and friends, we wrote and acted to support high-quality centre-based care suitable for use by working mothers, where none existed before. We started petitioning at the federal level, because that was logical in the light of the fact that the Commonwealth collected taxes and had spent a lot already on support for higher education in the states. We wanted to ensure the care was of high quality, in centres welcoming parents inside to look around and be with children, for example. However, the creation and regulation of child care matters is a state government responsibility. It soon became clear to us that we should be making more key open alliances with local government and women doing family day care, because the submissions model of funding invariably favours the well-connected, the well-educated and the comparatively rich. From the **Four Corners** program on child-care that I last saw, I'm not surprised that a lot of child care centres may appear primarily now as part of profit-based property empires. I assume a lot of councils outsourced family day care since but have no idea. An open inquiry into the childcare sector by any coalition of the willing seems good. In this context I offer views attached on the management of strata living in NSW. I believe that the management of land and housing is at the root of a lot of what goes on in regard to the supposed maintenance and advancement of the standard of living in any economy. In the 1970s, along with a lot of other women, I was arguing about the importance of professional education for those working to educate children. I still believe in that strongly but these days I find myself arguing more strongly about the duty of care and related education which ought to be imposed on strata managers supported by certification. Even the NSW Labor Treasurer appears to have no idea that government is to provide service to communities rather than to make money for investors, or provide more specialist jobs. Such jobs may wrongly be seen as productive, for example, rather than as growing cost burdens on the rest of us in the country, however we are living and working. In many cases, law wrongly interferes with the capacity for particular choice, more informed or not. In that sense codes of practice may be preferred in many cases and certainly should not be treated as if they are law. Many matters related to the limitations and costs of NSW approaches are attached.

In the early 1970s, Whitlam's Treasurer, Jim Cairns, tried to reduce the costs of land preservation and rehabilitation, particularly in regard to mining and destruction of natural and other environments lost in pursuit of generally increasing wealth accumulation. This was accompanied by tariff reductions on goods and greater spending on government funded or subsidised services. On behalf of secret industry backers, Cairns also sought new development loans through Middle Eastern kingdoms, at lower rates than more traditional ones normally got through British and Australian sources, for example. He began his political life as a left-wing policeman from Queensland who fell scandalously in love with his secretary after he became Treasurer. Soon the Whitlam government was overturned by more traditional Victorian Liberal grazing and business forces and votes, which brought in PM Fraser. Older Australians may also remember the long-serving Queensland Premier, Sir Johannes Bjelke-Peterson, KCMG. He famously knighted himself through the Queensland parliament. Former Liberal PM, Tony Abbott, echoed this mild protest performance in the Queens Honours list more recently. Wikipedia

describes Peterson as an Australian politician and peanut farmer who left school at fourteen and served as [premier of Queensland](#) between 1968 and 1987. Bjelke-Peterson was famously dismissive of checks and balances as hindrances to better development at a time when Whitlam transformed the Labor Party with lawyers and others more commonly educated at universities than outside. For almost 20 years he was state leader of the [National Party](#) (earlier known as the Country Party). When he died at 94, the Australian Labor prime minister Gough Whitlam referred to him as a "Bible-bashing bastard", according to a Guardian obituary. Bjelke-Peterson's reign encouraged corrupt deals and bribes. However, to be found corrupt depends on the law and legal case.

In the light of President Trump's trading and living concerns, expressed by many, I urge Anthony Albanese, our Labor PM now, to invite the following key Australian men to afternoon tea. The aim would be planning to serve the Australian people. It would seek more commonly aligned voter and financial supporter positions on regional development now and after the next Australian federal elections in May 2025. Each of the following religious, political or economic Australian champions might bring a caring woman along to the afternoon and tea, in the shape of a personally significant other or journalist or even a lawyer, for example. One of them should be good at taking notes for reporting back. Gina Rhinehart, another giant global miner who built her father's empire up much bigger than he ever could have, should also come if she wants as well, under similar conditions. However, if more than a few people came it would be too expensive for us. In summation, I would recommend inviting Andrew Forrest, Gina Rhinehart, Richard Pratt, Lindsay Fox, Harry Triguboff, Clive Palmer, and a Court plus a Holmes a Court. I suggest them only because I understand they are very rich and interested in the development of Australian standards and direction for comparatively democratic personal and political purposes of support.

I have never understood Clive Palmer and wonder if Senator Jacqui Lamby from Tasmania ever felt the same. I am not sure whether he considers himself to be a patriot or a parrot in his latest guise, following Present Trump. One wonders if he has affiliations with the Tory chicken in Britain. Perhaps this speculation is a total waste of time and we would wish he hadn't been asked as it doesn't help any of us as usual. I have often shared Senator Lamby's logic. I know former Minister for Disability Services, Bil Shorten, has too. He has now become Vice Chancellor at Canberra Uni. and is reported to have said that if President Trump increases US tariffs, Australia should do the same. PM Albanese has sensibly replied that two wrongs don't make a right and Australia has been reducing most for years. Services leading goods, rather than the reverse, are addressed in related regions later in the hope that candidates and their supporters in the coming 2025 elections will be influenced.

President Trump dubbed himself a patriot who loved his country. He quoted an earlier US President in stating on free to air Australian ABC TV that he who saves his country does not violate any law. A US commentator branded this as "swamp talk". I find my life as an Australian grandma has been more like "swamp writing" so I can certainly identify with President Trump's demeanour to get it through our heads, which the lawyers have rightly deemed thicker, in my experience. (One tends to find the nods and winks are merely more confusing.) On the other hand, from the Australian perspective, this US stand of President Trump on patriotism, appears to leave native Americans with no conceptual voice or existence on land. US men from the President down, appear linked instead in a kind of brotherhood of patriotism for the takers of land from native Americans and others living in particular legal conditions, with more vulnerable or protected populations, or not. Goffman discussed behaviour of inmates in comparatively closed institutions, and later I do too. Can people get in or out? How?

This is a question conveniently missed or becoming more expensive in the maintenance of everything in Australia. Should my neighbour's security and insurance costs be mine? I was taught by Medicare and by the CFMEU in the 1980s, that guaranteed basic support should be made available to all strongly affected by disaster, but that top-up insurance should also be available on a voluntary basis of extra insurance being taken out in private sector coverage to the group or individual taking out insurance. (I address direction, not quantum, later.)

WITH PRESIDENT TRUMP AND HIS SUPPORTERS NOW IN US OFFICE, I ASSUME MORE CURRENTLY TARGETED AUSTRALIAN HISTORY MIGHT ASSIST OUR NEW WORLD ORDER CHOICES

Former PM Whitlam's father was a federal public servant who later was [Commonwealth Crown Solicitor](#). Whitlam senior's involvement in human rights issues was a powerful influence on his son. Bjelke-Peterson, on the other hand, preferred "feeding the chooks". This was his term for putting his originally confusing spin on land development and construction deals or other political events, to the media and others. His administration also characterised itself as highly interested in obtaining better law and order. It cracked down on student and other protest against the draft of young men into the US war on Vietnam, for example. Queensland was notoriously gerrymandered electorally and finally found corrupt in its administration. According to Wikipedia, Bjelke Peterson claimed Australians were *"as rich as the sheikhs of the Middle East"*. The Guardian patronisingly states *"his was a rustic eccentricity, charming a gerrymandered and naive electorate"*. Up to a point, Lord Copper. It continues: *Bjelke-Petersen's solipsisms, found an echo in a hillbilly region which considered itself distinct from the world at large. But while his syntactically fractured speech and mixed metaphors amused the press and the country, his comments on minority groups appealed to underlying racism. He once referred to an Aborigine as "Mr Witchettygrub" for example.* It was my Queensland youthful experience of perhaps aboriginal boys, that looking, learning and acting white was open to many more. Some of us leave our closer community origins and construction or not, as the cases may be. The French "folie a deux" may also apply more broadly.

Kevin Rudd, who was elected Australian Labor Prime Minister in 2007, came from a big Catholic family on a Queensland dairy farm. Today he is the diplomatic choice to represent Australia to the US. Former Australian Commonwealth Minister, Bill Shorten, represented trade union and industrial interests aligned with legal interests in Melbourne. These urban and outer manufacturing forces put the Labor lawyer, Julia Gillard, in power as PM, after Kevin Rudd, and before the next federal election, which Labor lost. As PM, Gillard brought into being the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) in 2012. After the long loss of Labor power before government under PM Albanese arrived, however, Bill Shorten was NDIS Minister. He is now Vice-Chancellor at Canberra Uni. I address higher education direction and certification, assisted by artificial intelligence (AI) later. This is in an international new world order which loves competition, as long as it isn't too much for them. Too much sport is never enough? I address the article **"NDIS system is failing my son Dan in his hour of need"** (SMH 19.3.25, p.23) later. One wonders why the 95 year woman writer makes no mention of her will, housing or other relevant associations. From her writing capacity I guess she's probably spent her life somewhere helping and being helped by those already dead who remembered her in their will. Is she rolling in money yet seeking more from government sources? If all of us are competing for funds, why wouldn't we all decide that we or our loved ones weren't up to what we consider higher standards of behaviour, funded by government employing sufficient people to provide a relevant service. Is this approach to government expenditure sustainable? God alone knows. It often seems irritatingly convenient when

people forget to mention their existing means of support. Julia Gillard's Office response might be compassionate but it wasn't at all helpful. Is this approach of much use? No. Better help from Fair Trading is addressed attached along with the frailties of the key strata management law and its expected administration. This makes costs largely ungovernable for those expected to manage them at high risk to themselves and the strata plan, while both are being pointlessly pursued at great cost. A better, cheaper, management model is suggested attached.

In work, however, I guess people should undertake to find and accept their own chosen risk, aside from basic levels of protection commonly expected in government created law or standard setting at any particular historical time. That law should have a clear aim is vital because standards related to it are normally mandatory for all related classes of building, for example. The dangers of asbestos have been known about in Australia since before 1900, when a Dust Diseases Board was first set up for workers at BHP in Broken Hill. This was to assist early and regular disease detection for relocation to alternative work away from the mining interface; or to enable the man to quit work with continuing or lump sum compensation for lung disease. However, the collective employer and trade union interests acting on behalf of well-paid workers, meant that state mining acts didn't change in terms of preferring high work risk and high financial compensation, until the 1980s. After the Hawke Labor government achieved power, state occupational health and safety acts provided for duties of care at workplaces, including information. They might be more rationally extended elsewhere in place and project management and accounting. Those building and managing housing in growing strata and other regional land contexts look very poorly equipped for any undertaking, for reasons later and attached. However, a code of practice is too confining and demanding in its application if treated as a law, in spite of the common desire of many professions following lawyers to make it so. This is addressed attached in relation to the management of land and strata housing in NSW. The kind of education for services that was that was thought necessary for child care workers, to serve children and their parents well, is addressed later. A related case is made about the management of building in NSW, discussed attached. There are over 45000 strata buildings in NSW and the number is growing.

Australian business now exists in a global financial game which is fast increasing inequalities between regions and individuals, globally, regionally and locally. US-driven communication technologies can be used to pursue traditional US interests in making money in 50 states, as well as in more traditional English-speaking, or European colonial and state interests around the world. I hope others in the sector help Bill Shorten get his broadcasting mission and directions right more broadly and cheaply or free, in Australia's competitive development interests and others. However, the legal and financial Commonwealth and state understanding and disciplines appear too narrow, slow and expensive on their own to provide better and cheaper learning and performance services to the Australian people and others. When lawyers start claiming they are not the normal sort of lawyer, the profession has serious problems with its supposedly secret and adversarial data collection and use, as far as I can see. One turns more to the Australian Broadcasting Commission and Special Broadcasting Services which were expanded when Kevin Rudd was PM and when the single longitudinal patient record, from birth to death, was introduced in Medicare. A lap-top computer was also made available for every school child. Good start. It has often been found that students at the "best" universities often complain about them most. As Catherine Livingstone, Chancellor at the University of Technology Sydney states, in the article **People are not stupid or bogans, it's us, Shorten tells unis (AFR 26.2.25)** "We're selling a product with an embedded promise of a graduate premium, which arguably is itself diminishing, and

we're selling it on deferred interest-bearing payment terms''. Ending rather than advancing copyright is addressed later in this light because in spite of the way MP Leigh appears to be acting, it makes a lot of sense to open up online and to free to air TV. Increasingly in higher education they appear too frightened of any substantial judgment of the content they should present openly and freely. They far prefer the comparatively mindless tick or tweet. Horses for courses, of course. I address the relationship between humanities and science technology engineering and mathematics courses later to agree Nobel prize winner, Peter Doherty, who wrote **The Knowledge Wars** (2015). He writes: *"If public tax dollars pay for most scientific research, why aren't the findings broadly available to any interested citizen"*? I agree and centrally pursue it for all.

I guess a lot of people used to feel the same ignorant contempt for President Trump as I did, judging the US largely by the wall-to-wall crap that passes for news on US TV, compared with in Australia. (In TV and other news there is a big race to the bottom in 50 states while blaming the punters?) Australians may get much better news TV and education from their US entertainment or serious books, like those movies based on books by Michael Lewis about financial and other regulatory numerical trends, for example. Currently, it seems more necessary to draw popular attention to the requirements of a new world order which includes China and the rest of the world in more peaceful, better planned trade which is open first, for example. I am an old woman who supports early direction of the World Health Organisation in their regional and historical views on work in particular environments. See more on this at www.Carolodonnell.com.au including the courses I taught and lectures I gave at Sydney University before I retired in 2007 at sixty. I address future housing direction in this service light attached. While rich entrepreneur, Elon Musk, acting for President Trump, has set his cap against US government mandated diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) programs, Australia has a completely different history. Its benefits should not be lost by those apparently determined to keep aping US idiots. Merit judged by certificates rather than task or job performance is often poorly judged in my experience. This is partly because theoretical and practical work would often like to be behind closed doors, calling the law confidential. Related reasons are that theoretical work tends to separate the student from the expected tasks for completion for far too long before the normal rigours of any paid work occur.

There is a growing national and state tendency to ram expensive things down one's neck according to law, while calling it continuing service, for example. I address related regional policy matters attached to open and cheapen the management of funds. Australian voters and workers have paid and voted for this regional approach as necessary, in education and certification; health care and commerce; particularly in regard to treating land and housing. Fairer delivery of local services to regions often suits us, particularly now, when we must cross borders openly or not. As around the Baltic coast, for example, I guess the differences between border regions about how to treat production waste and water are hard to tackle. Nevertheless, when asked if I would like to see our current Labor representative, Tanya Plibersek, Minister of Environment and Water become ALP leader, I've thought it would be a horrible and risky position exchange between two Catholics of European extraction. We already have a great female Catholic Lord Mayor in Clover Moore so this is not a particular diverse power grouping for federal purposes, especially to an atheist, feminist grandma who grew up in Queensland. God knows we are limited enough. Many talented women have run and been elected to all levels of government since I was young. Plibersek is a highly respected and long-serving politician. She should openly work more broadly with women from all areas. If another woman thinks she can

run and beat Tanya Plibersek, why not? She is hardly the Virgin Mary and can then find something else to do with somebody's money. Ask Andrew Leigh for a few tips and perhaps ask to borrow some of his books, unless he hates to lend them out, in case he doesn't get them back, of course. It's always good to know our Canberra government is modern as tomorrow, following the usual US directions while preferring the PhD in service? I think something much more diverse would be in order as a rule.

ARE PROFESSIONALS PROPERLY MEASURING THE OUTPUT OF VALUE TODAY, WHEN MORE OF IT LIES IN POSSESSION OF THE OLDER, FEMALE AND RICHER OFFSPRING, DOING PAID WORK OR NOT?

As a Marxist feminist grandma who sees China is Australia's top trading partner, sharing our need to diversify our trade better with other regions; I hope our continuing and openly shared direction of peaceful development is ideally considered more important than particular cheques and balances. However, the accounts now appear delivered far more regularly and at much greater cost to us all in strata housing. I blame the Macquarie Bank and strata manager associations acting wrongly in related monopoly contexts of bad design and over-regulation at the state and industry levels addressed attached. The effect, as it is with a lot of new technology, is to make more money for the producers and their US head offices, effectively draining us (the Australian swamps?) at will.

In my old Australian woman's view, Donald Trump and Americans should have better answers than concepts such as **checks and balances** can normally provide, along with other professions and groups with narrower interests in pursuing their goals locally or more broadly and deeply, one way or another. They wilfully employ various popular forms of propaganda to encourage their supposed goods purchase, through changes of heart and fashion services, for example. Science, however, is made of sterner stuff. This is addressed later in reference to Peter Doherty's book, **Knowledge is Power (2015)**. He is a Nobel Prize winner in medicine. The book encourages evidence-based approaches to concerns and states there is something in it to offend everybody. I am mortally offended later.

Investopedia states, however, that **checks and balances** are rules and procedures to reduce mistakes, prevent improper behaviour, or decrease the risk of centralization in an organization. Peter Doherty and climate scientists, one assumes, would wish reduction of mistakes or prevention of improper behaviour (according to each discipline and its rules) would occur in more commercial pursuits. Fat chance? **One wonders what the risks of centralization in an organization are expected to be. I assume they are part of the ideology of market operation that thinks too much competition is never enough.** Such people speak according to macro-economic models of how societies are expected to work, without assuming they must have good practical knowledge of how things are bolted to the ground and reach people, to serve them. Rules and procedures to reduce mistakes or improper behaviour, however, lead one into the realms of morality. They appear particular cultural and personal creations, rather than more scientifically bound to provide a consistent quality of building material product, for example. I address the church and women, in their regional and historical land or property owning and accumulation contexts later, and with children attached. This is to point out that it is no accident that poorer women have more children. Some of the typical rural and urban churches and farmers are addressed in related voluntary contexts with no idea of how these links are made. The Minister, Andrew Leigh, has seemed a little strange from afar on the couch so God knows what he is doing to help the Treasurer besides writing books the Treasury doesn't have time to read. I guess the property portfolio of many churches is particularly old and richly associated, although I've really no idea.

Please stick with me here because this is the new world order, with the attempted creation of new management structures to support social and geographical environments better, including in historical religious belief, however held or observed in mythic and ritual terms. These mythic forces which are part of our cultural construction and connection may hold us together or drag us apart as usual, or not, as the particular cases may be. For many people, especially women, this has started first with children and a world which transcends its current theories of development, control and distribution in male interests. Matters of accumulated historical capital and how it has been managed are part of this. To read the works of Trollope is to receive helpful lessons about the 19th century English church accumulations and hierarchies, related charitable works and the emerging power of the press. So what? Search me. Yet church school education has been a feature of Australian life, politics and funding for a great many years and so has hospital or other care led by the churches until the states and Commonwealth caught up with broader and more inclusive expectations of service in many cases. Surely Minister Leigh should be given something better to do with this.

The current perversion of better services to people in NSW, occurs through poor legislation, over-regulation, poor management structures, and poor sales and trading methods, for example. In this context of increasing regional and global inequality, any female search for equality with men should also give one pause for thoughts. Many new demands for the comparative privileged already, may seem anti-democratic and increasingly expensive for the Australian people, for example. This can be overcome in Australia but drags us back again instead to US views and projections about market power and its goods, whether delivered legitimately in secret or not. I would start with megaphone diplomacy, locally now if I wanted. I have done so since long before I retired in strata housing; living further down the status ladder as a free observer, on the couch, retired at sixty. Fine by me. I metaphorically spit in your face because thankfully we have often been operating at increasing distance with increasing excuses since covid came along in the service of the fighting sick in 2020.

CONSIDERING PRESIDENT TRUMP AS THE MESSIAH WE HAD TO HAVE OR VENTING AGAINST HIM AS A US DEVIL GETS BORING AND EXPENSIVELY UNPRODUCTIVE, LIKE LAWYERS

Our historical transitions globally have been from food hunting and gathering; to living as herding or peasant people with children and animals; then living in towns and cities. Trade, travel, manufacturing and sales grew up together with various forms of insurance against the failure of ventures and the resulting penalty. Under the historical domination of the priestly warrior castes of Christian or other feudal and trading empires, many people have increasingly engaged in all kinds of mining and manufacturing, and services, freely rendered to each other, or not. Today in Australia, the accumulated wealth of generations allowed more of us to expect that our former family treatment should be supplemented by even better gifts from the common state. Fair enough too, as we and our ancestors produced them. We may now stand reasonably broadly together as individuals with various responses to US President Trump. Particularly if we are comparatively content and well-fed, one assumes that financial risks of expansion are not what one seeks nearly as much as psychic satisfaction. I have felt this way as far back as I can remember and considered free time a wonderful luxury, for example. This may be unmeasurable, in love or peace or contentment with the present. Here one may also feel more responsible for one's own choice in freely caring for others. If a lot more of us prefer free time to money today, I think it would be good if many were less reticent about it.

As the National Disability Insurance Support system is rapidly expanding, I turn to housing in related contexts to draw attention to the kind of concerns Australians should have when they write an article such as **NDID system is failing my son Dan in his hour of need** (SMH 19.3.25 p. 23). The writer is 95 and seeks greater disability support rather than lesser government benefits for her son of 65 years. He has the benefit of a disability support pension and is apparently entitled to the Aged Care level 4 benefit package for home care later. He is disabled from a “*permanent acquired brain injury*” after he received treatment for meningitis. According to his mother, Dan will always need to rely on carers, although he has aspirations to work usefully in certain communities. One assumes Dan or his mother already has the carer’s benefit from government. The most obvious questions to ask, if one doesn’t want to read a wasted newspaper article, are financial. What is the value of her house and/or any other assets, such as superannuation, savings or related investments? What are those of her 65 year old son, compared with those of his siblings or other potential claimants on her home and will, for example?

Acting for the Minister, Julia Gillard’s Office responds to the old woman and her son’s plight with a compassionate letter completely without reference to any potential value embedded in any housing property and other assets or will that either of them might have made at 95 and 65 respectively, before death or dementia overtakes the lot with the expected probate actions. Surely this is not good enough for the Office of the Minister who introduced the system, as well as in regard to the other letters the old woman wrote. To deal with queries about disability support as if this does not and cannot relate closely to health, ageing and housing portfolios is likely to cause all ignorance, discontent, and taxpayer or other cost to rocket unequally. As usual, those with comparatively free time and capacity to pursue their case are most likely to find it met. This is addressed in regard to child care and child care centre certification later. In the past, I often found women less certain of their own work value than men, however narrowly or broadly defined, and found it a reasonable and useful attitude for helping others. Americans have advised women to be more financially grasping at work for completely understandable reasons, because they are worth it, for example.

The US, however, increasingly prefers a view of multiskilling which privileges encouragement of new, closed, expensive technological operation. This is billed as assistance to the comparison of any or all performance and cost. However, this also makes life and business more confusing and expensive for many by encouraging change which has never been thought through from the perspective of those supposed to be giving or receiving any service, whatever it is. Surely, women and men should stop lying, ignoring and hiding about the extent and nature of their dependence on significant others in production, particularly in management, economic or status terms, is my normal advice to advance. In the relations between a 95 year-old woman and her 65 year old disabled son, one would have thought knowledge about her will and estate, as well as his, is vital if she is going to write about her personal discontent with any aspect of government assistance, for example. The sob-sister rather than helpful response of the NDIS made me equally sick. Can’t these professional women writers see beyond the width of their disciplines, or ends of their noses? Is it a personal choice not to do so? (I dunno. You’d have to ask the guys and Julia Gillard?)

Those still seeking career advance or money for election, however, might easily help President Trump to meet his limitations in global understanding, so he may become the new Messiah we had to have and also the theoretical devil to many Christians and women like us. Investopedia, however, goes on with its theoretical suppositions to suit the dominant classes of men, whether Democratic and bringing

women along with them, or not: According to Wikipedia, ***Checks and balances prevent any one person or department from having absolute control over decisions and force cooperation in completing tasks.*** When they refer to co-operation, the writers appear to mean competition. On the other hand, perhaps Investopedia assumes secret competition is somehow resolved at the top, from which secret orders supposedly emanate, as in the Papal and religious lands or armies still, for example. (I dunno. Ask the guys and Pope Francis about the Encyclical on the Environment. (**Laudato Si**) I have no idea how Encyclicals are expected to proceed, for example. Perhaps that is part of Andrew Leigh's interest. God alone knows but it seems reasonable important in Australia. In my view, if an inquiry form can't be produced which is cheaper, clearer and better for general understanding and service to the public than the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), with all its lawyers and economists, I will eat my hat. At least the Australian Law Reform Commission or Productivity Commission have often showed the assumptions and related industry structures of legal, financial and other professional and industry thought and practice to anyone ignorant but wanting to know what service to people is like, or should be like, perhaps. It is hard to detect how the ACCC implements the Hilmer report on **National Competition Policy** (1993) which it was supposedly established to do. Hilmer defined competition beyond the expected court operation (for sporting trophies, or women, or status, for example). It has always been very hard for me to understand why ACCC operation is seen as value for money in comparison with cheaper and more clearly targeted approaches to serving the Australian people than assuming that competition for money is the greatest conceptual good since sliced bread. Let Andrew Leigh write a free open report!

Discussions on the essential differences between services and goods are attached, because regional management approaches overturn the idea that services are just products dealt with later. Following the dominant intellectual and professional traditions in universities studying others here, I advance Marxist feminist theoretical conclusions later. Don't brush us off because you can, would be the first thing I would say loudly to President Trump if I were many women, including myself. Try it next time something offends you rather than keeping your mouth shut and then running to lawyers for changes in the law and compensation. One finds a more openly recommended approach less expensive for the rest of us. Theoretical reasons normally given in law, economics or financial services, about the desirability of secret producer competition for the general advancement, are attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au .

On the other hand, the American people and president, starting now with Donald Trump, have been much bigger and stronger for years so think they know better, while we lap it up. The show-men and women win the day again and the US ones have knocked the rest out of the ball park in my humble estimation on the couch, over the years, or singing along in the car. (Remember **Mad Men** on TV and in the box-set because it was so great.) To put these things in mythic as well as charismatic or more historical and cultural terms of the American Dream, I find I must refer to Mary Condren's book, **The Serpent and the Goddess: Women Religion and Power in Celtic Island** (1989) again. This is about continuing rape and control of reasonably peaceful lands and agriculture overseen by nuns who worshipped the goddess Brigid, in ancient Ireland and Britain. Brigid overlooked the goods of children, cows, lambs and milk, for example, while facing a newly arrived Christian church, accompanied by war and trade outposts.

Before Christianity, which has a substantial history in Australia and its key political parties, the nuns of Ireland worshipped Brigid as giver of children, associated with managing cows and milk better in

everybody's minds. When Christian clerics arrived from abroad, Jesus became the key symbol of a patriarchal church, as a baby who sits on Mary's lap. Jesus as a baby becomes a symbolic good, (or football) taken from the nuns of Ireland to show that they and their lands, women, children, cows and lambs are under new male Christian management by the priestly and warrior castes. Others may arrive elsewhere before or after trade, as missionaries, perhaps to help balance it, for example. In this mythic context, I have preferred the serpent to the goddess in every sense yet not known why. However much it pains me to write, I think of Jesus now as a football between sets of nuns organizing girls' sport. (I wouldn't take an interest in a million years, especially not now, when the grave looks more inviting.) Nevertheless, the common view today across the developed world, that polluters and destroyers of nature should pay, must lie in the laps of us all I guess, starting with the current Minister for the Environment, Tanya Plibersek and her opposite numbers, from every part of the political regions. Whether the Labor Party is led by a woman matters far less than hearing from women across the board. Help President Trump be the better Messiah than he could be in Canada and elsewhere. Anthony Albanese was born illegitimate, looking after himself and also his disabled mother. As a boy he was helped by the Catholic church, the Australian Labor Party and football clubs. His is not my cup of tea but it's better than the normal thirst for the allied warrior president US forces normally showed us in the past.

This new world order is seen as much bigger than the normal US one and Australia has ditched many of its own tariff protections since Whitlam came to office. However, the US Constitution and people now appear more anxious than ever about wanting to maintain their checks and balances, rather than protecting their regional interests by any more abnormal means. To treat war and investment in the wartime economy as being as good as any other development for investors and voters, seem mutually losing global strategies. This is perhaps like war for most except the lucky few who made a lot of money killing other people as usual. However, this may suit the leading lawyers and financial interests dealing increasingly in multiple closed and adversarial market directions as if it was some kind of competitive virtue, instead of another vote for the normal big brothers. This is until they start shooting or dropping more and more bombs on the rest of us, while trying to protect their soldiers or not. Would soldiers be better off cleaning up and rebuilding after disasters, whether man-made or possibly acts of God, or organizing in more normal business? What would key insurances look like?

I assume a new world order is unlikely to emerge effectively in the absence of better recognition of the management benefits for populations and individuals of global reach, reliability, consultative power and cost containment in program or project work directions. This appears better than following market ups and downs which all cost money better earmarked for more open development. From better planned regional service directions led by governments, those broadcasting services and products which are available through comparatively stable and trusted open state and participant monopolies seem true goods. Superficially, they appear to have worked to inform us more reliably, effectively and cheaply for years than many more products bought and sold in markets designed to raise or lower standards at top producer will, with US or related financial backing. (These goods are not good, etc.) More regionally balanced steps are addressed attached in any arena where better informed community and individual choice is expected for more democratic and health or safety reasons. Mine is a comparatively opaque contemplation of life on strata plan 10775 in Glebe. (What in God's name is going on here? Who ordered it and why are we paying for it through our levies? Are the guys who

are doing it even getting paid on time? From the owner's view on building construction and management, law is wrongly directed and unnecessarily costly, discussed attached.

Advance instead with free to air ABC or SBS TV or radio; Medicare, and key education or national superannuation and other investment funds that want to be more directed towards better, cheaper, service as well as more sustainable development. Think of government or university and other teachers' management of land, superannuation investment, building, grounds and insurance pools or related funds, for example. Personally, I would say to strata managers that there is little point in embarking upon research, especially if one seems too ignorant or contemptuous of the more open educational advance before-hand. The idea that everything should be managed according to the desires of more particular specialists, in search of bigger continuing jobs, with management vacuums in the middle, seems a bad future omen.

The evidence is that people have been winning in Australia, following much of the peaceful world so far, and seeing the rest on TV. As an old Glebe woman, I make much the same theoretical and intellectual case today as Alice after the Caucus Race:

Well in our country', said Alice still panting a little, 'you'd generally get to somewhere else, if you ran very fast for a long time, as we've been doing. "A slow sort of country, said the Queen, now here, you see, it takes all the running you can do, to keep in the same place. If you want to get somewhere else, you must run at least as fast as that. I'd rather now try, please, said Alice. I

Through land, housing, transport, utilities and construction in Australia, the mining, energy and manufacturing states have transformed this island so that offspring have now become voluntary consumer goods, rather than producer goods for their parents and families. The carers will not inherit the American Dream as much as one melded with Chinese and other dreams before we all die.

WHAT DID THEY LIVE ON? ASKED ALICE (TREACLE WAS THE ANSWER SO THEY WERE OPENLY BOUND AND SICK OF IT AND DREAMED OF MANY RISKY UNKNOWN OPPORTUNITIES INSTEAD)

The recommended direction begun by Australia under former PM, Kevin Rudd in 2007, was quickly snuffed out and reversed later in favour of greater regional and generational inequality driven in US markets and beyond. Regional, rather than closed and sub-contracted professional approaches require better coordinated and informative public and private partnerships for development. These are ideally based on more openly coordinated and evidence-based approaches than ignorant numerical guesses. Wikipedia explains more about US notions of **checks and balances** in more familiar legal territory to Australians, however. **Checks and balances** are theoretically obtained through three top government groups: the legislative, executive, and judicial branches. The US, like Australia, has a constitutionally [limited government](#). However, the US is bound to principles and actions authorized by outdated powerful founders. Let the buyer beware, give way to cheaper informed consent.

The US Constitution is aspirational, with supposed standards more honoured in the secret breach than the observance in life or court, whether visible, punished or not. President Kennedy, for example, was keen to support efforts to kill Cuban leaders and forces, as long as they couldn't be traced back to him, according to Dallek's book, **Camelot's Court, inside the Kennedy White House** (2013). The Australian Constitution of 1901 merely set out an administration direction for six earlier state constitutions. They built on land where tribes of aborigines roamed and many assimilated in tight labour markets operating under courts and lawyers linked to an English Crown. A white Australia policy adopted in

1901, was followed by the concept of a male wage large enough to support a wife and two to three children in "frugal comfort". Since the Whitlam Labor Government in the 1970s, the Constitution has again been increasingly challenged, particularly by lawyers, politicians and "the chattering classes", including me. The section on the Judicature appears particularly old-fashioned, for example. Australians, however, have often been doubly blessed with the capacity for a comparatively well-paid job and a second business out the back, run with families or others working freely to build some extra income or amusement on the land at the time. The earlier white Australia policy has been revised to become one based on the desirability of island border control, not the free but secret flow of products and money in or out of the country through its six states.

The advent of the internet and smart phone have encouraged greater global accumulation and demand for more weapons, drugs and money, as well as other legal or illegal product from business. Secret locations have been normalised since the telephone book was considered obsolete. Such trades appear increasingly dangerous for communities around those losing or benefiting from these changes. President Trump is worried about the US preference for illegal rather than legal drugs destroying law and order and Australians are too. Australians however, have shown themselves keener than US and many other forces to keep out guns and violence as well. Let the buyer beware rules more today than it ever has, with the smart-phone and all its secretly interested hangers on around a multiplicity of extra costs, which one discovers, drip, drip, drip. I would be very surprised if this multiplication of secret sales behaviour is better than demanding more intelligent regulatory behaviour from the state, as we used to expect. This is addressed in Zoom and strata management attached. What one gets if one takes checks and balances seriously is gridlock with lots of expensive lawyers who have increasingly mobilised monopoly control over multiplying secret matters together, as if this were protective and not destructive. In the 21st century, the online communications technology has evolved to render us increasingly in service to faulty machinery which serves other unknown interests, emanating from God only knows where.

Communicate more directly and honestly in writing with Microsoft Office and email, guided by clear and honest websites lead by legislation and policy directions to achieve openly consultative and shared aims, rather than comparatively narrow legal prescriptions. However, many Australian professions continue to ape the US veneration of market-based competition as being better and cheaper for the good life than good government, assisted through faster technological innovation or not. The rise of China and Asia after years of feudal and family driven war and escape from it or not, suggest governments may now become as good or better than markets for obtaining peaceful, cheap and equal trade, for growing population and individual wealth, health and wellbeing. What happens depends on how offspring look after us.

I address problems of the Australian legal monopolies over the nature of services attached. One wishes the state would take more reasonable and fair leads to reduce the rising cost of housing, education, research and some supposedly caring insurances and other funds, to provide incentives for improved service design and delivery. I believe the carers will inherit the earth but that this prospect looks increasingly unequal and prone to common discontent, unless all of us, including younger people, see we will die soon. We will leave a lot behind to accumulate with the rest, while others will be dispossessed of the little that they have, without common action like more effectively planned migration. The aim is to help open matters up to broader common scrutiny to gain more effectively broad and particular choice for populations and individuals as a result of better and cheaper planning

globally and locally. This is also to enable the increasingly known and convincing views of political economists such as Stiglitz, Piketty, Varoufakis, and many others to emerge, as addressed attached. Today many more of us who care about such matters personally or not have seen and read how economic development and politics leading eventually to war and rebuilding have been repeatedly conducted throughout our centuries and lives. How will it happen in Gaza? No idea.

SO MUCH HARD AND PRODUCTIVE WORK HAS BEEN DONE BY EARLIER GENERATIONS, THAT TODAY'S NATIVE WOMEN MAY EXPECT MORE FREE TIME AND FEWER OFFSPRING CARING FOR OTHERS

I watched three women economists at the Australian National Press Club on ABC TV of the "*they say cutback, we say fight back*", variety. This might be seen partly in the aftermath of pronouncements signed into law by President Trump and enacted by his supporters, including Robert Kennedy. This is the traditional Democrat President and family claim, along with many who succumbed to US bullets or drugs as American as apple pie. President Trump will naturally be challenged by many lawyers in many cases which will cost everybody in the US a lot of money, unless significant US institutional restructures occur to meet the rise of Asia and its effects on cheapening products. Watching three women economists singing from their song sheets of Australian wellbeing and how to protect it in future I was well reminded of the maxim that if you can't beat 'em you should try to join them, putting the interests of women more to the economic fore, along with greater career success for a start.

Leonora Risse, thought economics can often lead to careers where decisions are made to optimise wellbeing, often as a result of political decisions. She asked whether economists are properly measuring the output of value. I don't think economists or psychologists can do this if they rely on pseudo-scientific ideologies about the benefits of market competition when crunching their numbers. The novel (or story) has been rightly called a lie in the service of a greater truth. I address many personal or emotional stories and concepts in books, film, music, dance and other artistic or performance entertainment in this light, as well as in the broader work light of government regulation. This is done to validate their utility in all humanities subjects in education, as well as for the subjects related to science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). The girls become influencers and managers for sales while the boys are the STEM as usual in US thought? Given their common history in war and domination in any century, this leads to questions about the education and protection of children first and how investment in particular learning and communication technologies appears ideally made or used, especially in Australia. These matters are addressed here and attached to present the view that the technology already exists to make many services cheaper and better by defunding lawyers while expanding police and related project monitoring and emergency services, for example, voluntary or not. President Trump wants to save money through institutional restructure after related regional land, housing and insurance questions of particular note. As an Australian feminist one naturally turns now to those activities of God or man which most closely relate to death and to the situation of children and others left behind as usual. In regard to the Philippines, Maria Ressa said on Australian free to air TV that technology now allows democratic nations to become authoritarian. I agree this is true but suggest how the reverse is more available in Australia than in many other places, and that this seems more broadly notable and cheap.

Gross domestic product is addressed in a related light to point out GDP measures ignore work that is performed for free, according to custom. Women's role in looking after children, the aged, disabled

and sick has often been gratefully received as the very best on offer. The value of GDP in any nation also depends whether it is funded by goods or bads (like war); how many people the GDP is divided between and how unequally it is divided between them. As a result of past private and state wealth accumulation (through saving as well as investment) more people today, of all ages, are wealthy or feel cared for enough to do what they want. This may now be caring freely for others in many cases.

The market approach, which is central to traditional economic treatment, has produced many accumulations in land, housing and other fund investment, during centuries of wealth for some, through war, peace or popular revolt as well as election to office. Any one may start the global financial cycles again with new or the same big market players and their supporters or opponents. Personally, I agree with the BBC TV commentator who thought that President Trump's position is that the rest of the world will logically and increasingly become the raw materials American business will live off in future. To the English speaking-world, which usually owns the language of science and investment, wars are no longer seen largely as sources of boyish career advance, self-esteem and fun, now most people know more about them through news TV, movies and books as well as through more personal or local productions. The foreign wars the US has consistently been drawn into, have often been to protect their national energy interests, like buying and selling arms behind closed doors, supposedly to protect other people as they kill and reduce them or they do it to each other. In Australia, as in many places around the world, we may feel we've seen this US movie many times before. In Britain, for example, the English government took the retirement and other funds built up as part of Keynesian economic policy and direction to invest them in far easier and more lucrative armaments build-up for war instead. Then the boys, usually centred in the US, which has the best research environment for the misery some may want to bring to those they dismiss, improve their scientific research and testing career paths, in major international universities and communities as usual.

The management of land and housing, starting with open consultation and building are addressed personally, nationally and regionally in related lights attached. In part this is to help improve risk management based on the study of the particular place in its geographic and historical society, state and service institutions. When I was young and employed in schools, universities and government the concepts of **coercive control** on one hand, and **science, technology, engineering and mathematics** (STEM) subjects on the other, didn't exist in Australian policy language. I champion words in writing and pictures before numbers. They are vital for bringing about better research than was ever possible before the Internet, Microsoft Office and associated tools made many broader and more inclusive forms of personal choice more potentially accessible, making them cheaper. We are going to die soon, and somebody else will inherit. I would like to die with state assistance and thanks for being so generous with my body sooner rather than later. Death and its relationship to retirement, disability care, travel and personal choice in housing are ideally better addressed than in US norms. We may all be far more easily perceived as disabled by sickness or something else today as far as I can see. For example, I find myself mentally and physically disabled by new technology demands. You may think I'm kidding but I'm not. I often consider never leaving the country alone, for example, because the increasing technological demands today make the travellers life far too stressful for me. (Fortunately, there is almost no stress which cannot be reduced or removed by throwing money at a problem to try to make it go away, in my experience. How do NDIS incentives work in comparison with normal financial expectations? (I think of myself as one of those educated to a higher standard than those normally doing comparatively unpleasant work available to them, for example.)

Law and practice remedies which improve the throughput and quality of education and care at reduced cost, especially in housing, are addressed in the state of NSW attached. This is to help improve service directions and save money by undertaking more broadly regional analyses than market operations normally allow. Please don't mix services up with products for a start because government should include products in their services to those living in regions, not the reverse. Including services in analysis as if they were products, on the other hand, seems the sign of a comparatively ignorant and authoritarian professional mind which sees, promotes, protects and counts the interests of producers in many environments, without considering consumers or the rest well. The NSW Treasurer appears intent on growth in the Sydney Morning Herald article, **NSW faces 'grim decade' if growth isn't made a priority: Mookhey**, for example (SMH 8.3.23, p. 10). Gross domestic product and growth may well be outdated concepts for many in a wealthy multicultural state like NSW. Conventional market assumptions and measurements put goods before products and assume there are no bads from an economy where growth is assumed to be produced only in markets, whether their actions are regulated and visible in law or not. This ignores and belittles the value of free work done by people everywhere, but especially by women and children. (Men also freely helped in many building concerns, etc). Past accumulated wealth, without having it destroyed in war or other disasters, has allowed growth of new wellbeing and mutual support in any states which have supported people better, more inclusively and freely since World War 2. Australian states have sought to serve everybody more equally, depending on their need and choice. Don't let US forces rip this regional fabric apart with a mindless desire for constant expensive technological fiddling with expensive stuff they want rammed down our throats in legislation, rather than being adopted through individual choice or common sense. The general expectation of choice is that it should be reasonably informed.

Guys like the NSW Labor government Treasurer, assume everything of value is produced in markets and there are only goods (rather than any bads) in any reasonable economic view. In a wealthy and ageing state, free work seems as great or greater than ever for us. Wars seem obsolete for many of us in Australia, unless self-chosen aggression or following and defeat seems part of our make-up. The historical US glorification of their supposedly righteous wars, have been increasingly supported by an economic analysis which continues to tear families apart and encourage continuing violence. This has also been born in the stories of children who felt the effects of their parents' violent dispossession somehow or other. That is a lot of angry offspring who are mostly boys. Coercive control is treated separately from violence in related contexts later, to support more honest and informed speech and writing. Surely everybody should seek more broadly responsible voices than lawyers. I hate lawyers and am surprised so many others continue to follow in their courtly wake when newer, better, cheaper technologies like Microsoft Office exist to disrupt them and cry out for adoption. The US and Australian obsessions with competition to encourage even more sales technologies designed in US client and bank financial interests, often seemed wasteful and expensive to me and former Liberal Treasurer and lawyer, Josh Frydenberg. He came from the wealthy Melbourne inner-city electorate which has now produced a teal woman with a medical background to represent it. One naturally hopes to see her policies soon at the National Press Club, because a similar North Shore Sydney electorate has produced an independent woman lawyer, Allegra Spender. Good on you ladies.

Government is supposedly in the role of providing services to the people. However, the NSW Treasurer's theory starts with the creators of products. Alone or with other producers they are not capable of providing the services to people in which goods are ideally embedded, ideally to give people

more equal choices. To get the role of government backwards, as the Treasurer does by putting products before services, is to ignore the duties and potential of government. It could help provide services to advance people while protecting their health and free choice rather than destroying it. This is addressed later and attached in housing to improve flexibility and choice at lower cost for people in public, private and voluntary jobs which are normally bound by related knowledge and standards.

The AUKUS alliance relies on the production of weapons of destruction so US or other allies, for example, can meet their growth targets by making killing machines they then know they want to test or sell or both. the US has produced so many murderous products (bads?) they frighten a lot of us in Australia. The big powers have sold their bombs, guns, mines and sourced the knowledge of how to make them from big universities around the world. One thinks of men like Oppenheimer, who joined and supported the Manhattan Project to drop nuclear bombs on the people of Japan to stop their feudal leaders and soldiers going further, for example. Boys and men have always wanted more things to keep them and their own safe in theory, and then killed themselves or others instead. Do you really want women equal with men at the top? I don't because women often choose other pursuits, which often seem better. Would you want to help any of the bigger brothers make land mines or napalm again, so that South East Asian peasants and fields could be burned and poisoned and so genetic mutations last for generations in babies and animals? Would that seem good to guys again?

There is already far too much wrong overregulation, as first addressed in the rising price of housing attached. It irritates me that Liberal politicians always bleat about the problems of over-regulation, when they must surely know that their brothers and increasingly sisters following them have the job of adding to regulation too. They are lawyers complaining about themselves and their families and top clients as usual, but failing to do anything much about the situation that suits them. Through a study of living in strata in Glebe since 1994, I argue that the current direction of supposed fair trading with AI gets worse and serves lawyers and their largest clients or friends best. This is a pity because NSW Fair Trading and clear plain language website design and content seem better than anything similar that I know of in the private sector, for example. The strata management act appears to establish unaccountable, unfair, regulation for the owners' corporation and owners forced to spend an increasing amount of strata plan finances on the paid work of specialist consultants, whether we want or need them or not, while living or earning from strata investments at the particular place. Encouraging by-laws is another clear example of encouraging over-regulation which is bad for the plan and its costs, for example. I address related organisational matters later, attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au. This is to help provision of better and cheaper services, rather than with lots of lawyers supervising or working with AI systems sending money to the US legally or not.

The current lack of bureaucratic and ministerial understanding about the nature of better regulation and fair trading have badly affected my situation as an owner of property on strata plan 10775 in particular. It has thrust the management burden onto a vulnerable strata committee group which is taking me to court, whether I want to go before any tribunal or not. **To treat me, the owners corporation and others better and fairer, please see that I own my dwelling outright, but you can come in and have a look around to advise me on its treatment.** My growing resentment against the actions taken against me on strata plan 10775, are described attached with some alternative fairer and cost cutting directions presented for more accountable, democratic and cheaper treatment of work orders and related financial matters and standards. The attached emails have been increasingly prompted by the view that many of the currently dominant regulators and politicians don't have a clue

what they are expected to be doing from my perspective as an owner of land, property and funds. Strata managers and the Liberal Party in particular instead appear historically to have been serving other industrial interests, such as those of their top clients. This has led to poorly designed but growing regulation and costs from the perspective of any supposedly democratic government, which serves all its people equally first. I speak from the views I first learned in the 1980s under Labor and Liberal state and Commonwealth governments alike. These views, about the importance of more openly regional and individual management and service recipient choice, are addressed again later with kids, women and lawyers. Making us into sex objects to grade us, has worked poorly.

Poor ways of regulation have been typically taken forward by legal tactics at key political and party levels, operating in traditional adversarial ways. Legislation and practice should instead reflect the vital role of strata managers and widen the nets to catch good ones. Sometimes it isn't easy being good enough to assist the owners' corporation to make good decisions collectively and as individuals, without good help. Certification may not matter so much but the law is not secret in democratic regimes or how else could we know how to follow it properly? Our strata managers, however, have recently briefly emailed their law and policy, with CONFIDENTIAL written on every page, and then snatched it quickly back again. With these consultants and now their AI research, apparently, one is increasingly reminded of the old saw that a consultant is somebody who borrows your watch to tell you the time. Then they keep your watch while claiming it is theirs. They then charge an increasing amount of money for telling you their view of the time as often as possible, while getting their big and small friends to assist in advancing their institutional matters as usual. Then they Zoom.

I found I hated the distance Zoom meeting for our strata owners' corporation, strata managers and the consultants, to discuss a potential new strata manager. The problem with my learning on Zoom I often find is that I can't **watch, listen, read, write, understand, notice, remember and record what others have said, (while handling appropriate changes in the technology) all at the same time.** I feel deeply sorry for any young people with a similar problem and wonder if we all should consider ourselves disabled now as well. (ADHD anyone?) Fortunately, our strata committee had been sent key documents related to the meeting by email and I can read and write. I had already responded to them with great interest, as discussed attached. On the usual basis that I only know what I read in the papers, this is in praise of the use of more broadly open Microsoft Office and email because writing is often better than speech for learning and revision of material, recording and storage. These are the key elements of regional and personal learning and general development pursued regionally, locally or personally. Google search engine also gives our historical research and writing a special expert lift. I am amazed how we ever lived without it. I supposed we asked a likely human who was close and who couldn't find any reasonably good answers in the time available either.

I would argue the goals of gross domestic product and productivity increase are less important today than in the past. We rest on the accumulated land, building and laurels of past generations, especially if we are male and the first-born, in some cases. Women may do so to a lesser extent through education, marriage or inheritance. In the modern education, career, welfare and housing stakes, however, women compete with men on far more equal terms than in the past. At the National Press Club, Melissa Code, from the **Mandarin**, asked what speakers would say to younger people who thought they would never be able to save up enough to own a house, while they were still paying off their loans to go to university, with disappointing results in terms of their career expectations, or not, as the case may be. I address related service matters here and attached to point out that once the

oldies like me die, younger generations or others, carers or not, will leave a comparatively huge amount of land and property behind for younger and fewer children and other relatives, either close or not, or professional care givers like lawyers and accountants, to fight or do deals over. This is the sign of a wealthy society where people live longer and have more freedom to choose than ever before. To young women I say for Christ's sake stop whinging and get on with it. However, a great disservice is done to any society if primary research design related to the interests of parents is left out of the picture by those who are the age of their offspring. Basic requirements for wellbeing across the lifespan are addressed in this context to conclude that interest rates and attention to what Americans commonly refer to as checks and balances appear particularly necessary now. Having watched Donald Trump as the showman he has been for many years, I think Americans are now less divided in many cases that they were before. They are as sick of their own turf wars as we are.

YOU MUST REMEMBER THIS? (MORE ON THE ESCAPE FROM THE INSTITUTIONS WITH NURSE RATSHIT. WAS JACK NICHOLSON DANGEROUS OR WAS HE JUST NUTS? YOU TELL ME, DOCTOR?)

The advent of President Trump has meant a lot of chaos in many institutions, with many let go, I expect, but invited to fight back first. I refer first to the article by Millie Muroi entitled **Domestic violence not only kills, it derails women's education and careers** in the **Sydney Morning Herald** (1.3.25) It describes influential senior academic women's research findings to show that women who say they have experienced domestic violence have worse education and career outcomes compared with others in the research sample populations. Firstly, I'm not surprised because it is very hard in academic work to get a decent-sized and reasonably unbiased research sample for research, especially after the ethics committees have wasted money and time unnecessarily, building others supposed professional research status and knowledge. I would contend that speech is not violent, however much the researcher might wish it to be the case to improve their status and capacity to hide and lie in the worst possible cases. When speech, not physical intrusion into people's bodies is called for or expected in any research, I would argue that professionals should merely carry cards of introduction which they must give out. On one side would be printed, *"If you don't want to talk to me, tell me to go away. If I don't go, contact this phone number to complain"*. They hate that kind of thing in any practice that any supposed professional peers would like to see as important enough to measure, because it makes their pretensions seem less important. On the other hand, where there is smoke there is often likely to be fire and risk management is ideally about understanding the reasons for smoke. This may helpfully be likened to coercive control and spotted early.

Australian National University (ANU) research fellow, Kristin Sobek, who worked on the report with Anne Summers, who is currently a professor at UTS in Sydney, said: *It's really been a struggle to quantify the impact of domestic violence* but it starts with collection of more data. *The first thing that shows you care about something is you measure it.* Are these women joking? (True love and pain are emotions which cannot be denied, dictated or measured, surely. I saw a young man in a Stanford football jumper once, which said, **Courage can't be measured**. I agree. Any emotion is not always commanded effectively by the superior or inferior will in any couple. The article states: *A form of violence called economic abuse has an especially destructive effect.* When I was young, women resented their economic dependence on a man in many cases. However, to move from this to the view that physical violence can be qualitatively made into something else is ideological to the point of crazy. Ideology, as described by Marx and compared with science, is characterised by desire to adopt self-serving beliefs and ideas of what may be good behaviour for more than the normally supposed.

This is never to deny the importance of sales or related regional business studies, including of product types, quality, storage, advertising and related risk management matters in use, for example.

However, the times have changed for women and men since Anne Summers and I were young and honesty is less admired as a policy for making money in global rather than local economies. I can only assume Summers needed the money from the research grant, to put her name on the report, although I admit I have not seen it, but only read about it. Summers is a historian and knows a lot better that this research environment suggests. She shouldn't surely be down on hard times? Why did she do this? I address this article first as it seems like a longstanding example of bad research from women to me. My critique of this increasingly common research direction and a related gripe against women's professional research in modern education, is addressed attached. Surely young men face equal challenges to the women they meet in relations of co-dependence, which cannot see or understand children, money or property in ways that make them happier than they used to be, rather than destructive of self or others. Pollyanna was created for real historical times. No comparatively privileged person should blame her for her attitudes to life in days of yore. Equally, no comparatively privileged modern person should whinge against government policy affecting them without discussing their land, housing and other economic means of support. It doesn't make sense.

The concept of violence should not be confused with coercive control. It seems research was conducted by Summers and Sobek before the vital distinctions between violence, (which refers to the unwanted treatment of a physical body), and coercive control, (which may lead to violence or more economic loss), depending on the views of the receiver or significant others at the time, are considered. If the concept of violence towards women is treated with a broad medical brush, as young women would often appear particularly to want, it results in the estimation of pain along a continuum. This naturally leads more easily to more legal drug treatment and the view that any speech can be called violent, rather than an expression of emotional freedom to rant, or to speak personal truth to power, or refusal to have one's expected operations curtailed, for any reason. I have previously argued many times that the distinction between violence, which is an uninvited and unwanted physical attack upon the body, is qualitatively different from other forms of coercion and control reported as a result of any relationship. If this isn't recognized by the researchers, one is left in the position of treating women's speech as if its value and related estimations of pain and suffering could be believed and quantified effectively along linear scales from 1-10. This rendition of close relations does all women and men a disservice, starting with the care of children of any union, whether marriage is involved or not. To be woke may be provocative as much as any other behaviour.

These matters are addressed in regional contexts where women now are fashionably considered in their roles as the economic supporters of a child or children, as well as themselves, on supposedly more equal terms with men than in past expectations of their role. I guess a lot of people missed out on the internal memos about both sexes having an education, earning money and supporting children with free work and money. The language of women has been medicalised as women's professional tools of pursuing their feelings about their situation. This also justifies addiction as disability which should have professional help. Former PM Kevin Rudd's father was an alcoholic who left his wife and many kids to run the dairy farm while he eventually ran off the road and killed himself by accident. This kind of thing was common in my Queensland youth. I would never stay long with an alcoholic drinking up the proceeds of any business and presenting a poor example to children. I have far more than that luxury of choice. I have always preferred children to men, whatever they think. I guess a lot

more women would think as I do today because they have the economic potential to do so. Children don't have a right to a father nearly as much as a right to caring treatment. Former Liberal PM, John Howard, called for a gun amnesty to reduce guns in communities and police carried it out. This appeared part of a political deal bound up with changing the family court law so that children of separating couples were henceforth expected to be with their biological fathers, as much as with their mothers. Although fathers were expected to contribute to child support, many were defaulting after separation. Governments picked up the supporting role of the father whilst also being expected to follow up on women's complaints. Children became more like the footballs put at risk between two parents or related others disputing about kids, travel, housing, schooling and money.

Legal drugs on top of the usual lot paid for with the taxpayers' help can't often help the professional make predictions in court. This is not necessarily to condemn any language as much as to put it in the regional geographic, historical and institutional or cultural perspectives of its times. In common institutional terms I belong to the group of researchers who characterise violence in its political and economic terms. Clinical and other professions often do so in terms of their effects on whatever mental health or disease characterization appears fashionable for more open career advance for either sex. This acceleration of expenditure on the concept of disability and related legal or illegal drug treatment; especially since the advent of Medicare as a universal health care system under the Hawke Labor government; has been driven partly by the growing expectations of professional tertiary education and its costs, as part of the culturally expected career advance for either sex. One might easily characterise the general shift from negative reinforcement to positive reinforcement in management affairs of any place as being due to the increasing power of women under male regard. However, I understand the Chinese government is commonly more concerned to classify work, its benefits and its risks, in terms of whether it is normally performed inside or outside. That may be very useful because outside work may be affected strongly by seasonal or environmental risks in a way inside work is not, for example. The tendency of development seems towards more inside work and services.

As an editor and contributor to the book **Family Violence in Australia** (1982), I still seek better regional risk management systems where communities and individuals appear better consulted and protected than seems the continuing case in NSW and other Australian states. See more about the protection of children, vulnerable lenders and others involved in couple separation of assets and liabilities attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au The book by Camilla Nelson and Catherine Lumby entitled **Broken Children Parents and Family Courts** (2021) and the family court advice to separating couples is particularly addressed for historical and legal research and advice attached. Issues of concern in changing Australia housing requirements in global contexts are also addressed attached because women's position and standards have changed a lot already through their expected education and career advance, compared with men. The latter may be confused, along with their women, about whether they are expected to be their traditionally supposed protectors, in marriage, or not. Perhaps they should rather see themselves as children not fully grown up but rooted in co-dependent passions which may suddenly explode in violence, usually by men, whether typically preceded by words, offensive or not. A woman once said to me that marriage is a licence to act badly. I think there is truth in that claim which goes unacknowledged by the kind of young woman who still yearns for the romantic proposal and marriage. Like self-cutting, it seems a backward fantasy which appears

comparatively self-defeating and too expensive eventually. (On the other hand, I've never worked in entertainment so have never experienced the pressures of that kind of life.)

The major aim we often share today is to protect and give people more choices more fairly, especially to benefit offspring of any marriage or other sexual union. My personal family circumstances and expectations also showed me apparently better and cheaper ways to operate than following family court recommendations with lawyers, addressed attached. The development of children's services was addressed in Australian contexts here again already, in reference to the book I wrote with Deborah Brennan entitled **Caring for Australia's Children: Political and Industrial Issues in Child Care** (1986). In my view, we should not avoid teaching children to take proper responsibility for their own bodies and speak up for them on their own account. Views about who is to be touched and how or where, however, vary greatly, in spite of the woke. (I have never minded being patted on the bottom, for example.) This is yet another reason why I think it proper to distinguish between violence and other forms of unwanted behaviour, whether anyone has ever been told they are unwanted, or not. This is not to assume, as became fashionable with arrival of greater concern about face protection, however, that people should be encouraged to hide or lie to get ahead. Speak up early and often if necessary. Sexual harassment is everybody's business. To complain to lawyers leaves education department lawyers and those representing the accused, for example, endlessly engaged in writing silly bureaucratic letters to each other about sports, art, or English teachers who have come too close or said rude words to students, for example.

I love Nurse Ratshit because she was a smart professional although I didn't see it as clearly as the time. **One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest** gets better and more important every year in regard to transforming institutions of any size to meet needs which are not violent, secretive and tax-avoiding first. If anybody thinks about what happens when one opens up US institutions to scrutiny leading to action, one is glad to keep at a long distance in Australia, while trying to help President Trump and others with the US critique by deploring the US Constitution and its support for secret weapons, illegal drugs and other trade. From my view he is a very brave man who opened Pandora's box and the most useful thing I can do is respond to him and his view of stuff on TV. I never understood before why US people were so reluctant to vote. I guess far too many thought others had the game sewn up already with their continuing family and related dynasties, who keep on donating as a desirable way of life, like ethicist Peter Singer and key chosen others who found welcoming US places.

I have tried instead to put the case that Australia could be a better place with better free help with potential for leading into better state standards and related services to people. The best, easiest and cheapest way to do it is to eliminate as many lawyers and the comparatively irrational expectations of their adversarial behaviour, as possible. They often act from the perspectives adopted before the 18th century scientists of the Enlightenment, and many more popular and democratic people later. Have a chat to Katy Perry for example and see if she can do anything with the army as well as in disaster relief with children. Chinese and North Koreans have been singing and dancing, painting and nailing, etc. for years since the cultural revolutions. The South Korean leader is facing impeachment as I understand the daily news. President Xi and the Chinese people might love cheaper or free access to more Korean and US films, because sport unfortunately seems more associated with fighting, bribery and corruption. Murdoch's should love it.

In my view Australian films often provided beautiful and thoughtful stories of the Australian life and people, with some harrowing storage. I address business, commercial and communication studies later. In Australia, I thank God first that we women who want to be wombats or something like that have moved on from talking about violence as if it could be conceptually related to speech and measured by asking questions for the research development of pain scales. (Here, baby, have more legal drugs for your pain but let me give you a good diagnosis first? If black lives mattered, black men would not have so many children they can't support effectively surely?) If children are to be well cared for and the sexes are to end up equal, they can't increasingly be the objects primarily of professional desires and career advance, never mentioning money. (I address housing research in this context attached.)

As I pointed out in my **2021 Australia Day Speech at Callan Park**, attached, I thank Legal Aid NSW for their free **2021 Legal Topics for Older People Calendar** but write to correct them on key associated matters for those of us closer to death every day. Under **Helping Out Your Family** advice in October 2021, the Legal Aid publication sensibly states:

If you don't have a written agreement, it can be hard to get your money or home back.

Too right. You will forget what you said or thought before and argue about it a lot later if time and circumstances change anybody's mind, as they may always do. Honestly open writing is the best policy because others may then check it and offer potential corrections to it for consideration. The free Calendar wrongly states, however:

'Independent' means you do not use the same financial adviser or lawyer as your child.

Check the dictionary to see that this is another self-serving, expensive load of lawyers' rubbish. One needs an updated Justice of the Peace and good witnesses or help in a plain language, one-page, simple contract. This is addressed in relation to individual wills, loans, and the increasingly unequal banks of mums and dads attached. Expectations may typically differ in who is to be caring for whom.

The 2021 Legal Aid NSW Legal Calendar accepts and reflects old professional interests designed to gain more money and prestige for professions through secret legal associations following courts. They are designed in professional interests in more medico-legal research and enclosures based on the wrong assumption that we all want everlasting life. We may want state help to die when we want instead, as in my case. I don't see myself as part of medical experiments and am old enough to decide for myself. My daughter may seek medical guidance but she is my named enduring guardian. I hope she has the guts to help me get death, not just act as somebody to hold my hand while pretending they are going to cure me, while letting the abject horror of my life drag on unnecessarily.

You and your child or anyone else may prefer to make many open and dated agreements, using the same lawyer, or another person who can write as well or better, in a manner which is also open to understanding through broader understanding, scrutiny and witness. This is otherwise pointless, multiple secret handling of multiple matters where lawyers take expensive control. Owners and Tenants in dispute should get better and cheaper help to resolve matters through NSW Fair Trading rather than be referred back to lawyers and the NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal, as the 2021 NSW Legal Aid Calendar on Legal Topic for Older People expects.

It upsets me when government and their bureaucracies are turned online towards sending people with complaints or questions to lawyers, after first trying to collect a lot of personal information for

themselves, from their own point of view, drip, drip, drip. This often appears to have little or no relevance to our concerns or to those of our neighbours. This wastes everybody's money and time unnecessarily by multiplying more expensively stupid busy-work every year. It provides jobs. However, different ones seem more needed instead in any better new world order. Higher education is addressed later again in this broadly common light, beginning with pregnancy and early education in the individual life-span up until death. Formal education, however, has often been historically founded from top priestly and warrior classes, rather than from the young or old dames at the bottom. It still is in the material and related cultural world here, for example, with former Minister for Disability, Bill Shorten. Only one disability is allowed, and his is that he is a lawyer who has now become Vice-Chancellor of Canberra University, charged with helping to create the new world order. Following President Trump, I advise popular megaphone diplomacy rather than Shorten's usually attempted zingers. I say this partly because the great virtue of academia is that it shouldn't matter what people think of you, as long as you are trying to stir them up a bit to learn, think, speak and write their minds, not silently click or play the numbers. With good colleagues, whose works are cheap or free to students, Australians may return to the era they lost when former PM, Kevin Rudd was deposed by Bill Shorten, Julia Gillard, etc. Kevin Rudd, the new Ambassador to the American people, is naturally supported strongly by both major political parties, although in the past he has been critical of President Trump. (I have learned better since so I bet that he has learned more too.)

'ALWAYS SPEAK THE TRUTH, THINK BEFORE YOU SPEAK AND WRITE IT DOWN AFTERWARDS,' SAID THE RED QUEEN TO ALICE.

There is a difference between violent action and speech. The latter may be considered wrong and bad speech, but it is qualitatively different from unwanted intrusions upon a body, with bombs, mines, guns, knives, syringes, penises, drugs or anything else. President Trump is an exemplar of this material reality like no other US President before him. Now we can pick up where the Rudd government leadership was deposed in Australia, apparently because the associated legal and industrial wings of the Australian Labor Party had the numbers. You can forget Julia Gillard and the numbers from Slater and Gordon in my book. She has gone to where all the good loonies go, working in mental health. The first sign of madness is that they call it health not illness but you get tests, diagnoses, drugs and talking therapies on a regular basis instead. Others and I challenge the normal professional directions in state management of our housing later and attached. Do we have a choice in being alone or together? This is the first question I would also ask of aborigines and others who are over-represented in Australian jails and crime. This is because the technological standards required in modern life appear harder for some of us disabled folk to handle every day, especially if we hate the new and rush to family for support, like I do. This is why I truly love my old-fashioned Sony TV and Sony Videos, plus free to air TV or radio news broadcasting and entertainment products. All these have given me faithful service for what in modern parlance must seem like forever or worse. I thank God for them as the future looks bleak towards death. (I am one who has felt increasingly disabled by new, horrible technology I can't understand or make work. I hate Zoom for many related learning reasons later.)

President Trump has led the pack for a serious change in international arrangements to support new world orders, depending partly on the restructure of key government and other institutions. In retrospective contrast, former "new" Labor PM Tony Blair, who I had at first trusted and supported, was the usual secretive, lying, ignorant British lawyer, helping to promote US entry into colonial wars as usual. To the reader of history, as well as to my father, it seems increasingly difficult to admire

Churchill for anything much. Ditto the US generals urging war and family damaged President Kennedy onward to more war and self-delusion with drugs, legal or not. China and President Trump, one hopes, can bring more peace and security now, especially with help to avoid the usual generational tit for tat, with lots more young men and children now more easily involved in violent protest against others, as well as each other. As a feminist I think I understand more about President Trump now than I did before, because US news TV seems such truly nasty, cheap and awful rubbish. In Australia, and I guess more broadly, land and housing matters have been historically set by state governments. I address bad management in these areas led by poor legislation which results in comparatively unaccountable management attached. Solutions are offered attached and here.

Structurally, it appears harder to serve US or Australian voters and non-voters in equally inclusive service terms today, or to treat poorer people more fairly. And yet people are taught to revere the US flag while pledging their allegiance to it. Well, you would do that if you had a lousy Constitution, and a lousy set of assumptions about democracy which were clearly a load of US rubbish, bought with more powerful financial or other speech and action, wouldn't you? In Britain this cynical observation about continuing feudal power is known as the Mandy Rice-Davis offence. Young ones may not have heard of it but oldies have. Check out the people at **Little Britain**. I bet they know and remember. From the Freudian psychoanalytic view, on the other hand, this might be seen as a perfectly valid defence which would only have been a lot better if it had come from more highly paid associates of more highly paid lawyers.

There is comparatively good and openly recorded Australian evidence, that this island state, churches and administration have played comparatively benign roles in many lives by stressing the Australian individual's freer choice to go to war to help some English-speaking big brother, or not. The industrial importance of the family wage and conditions, as well as greater freedom of choice for individual men and women to fulfil their roles in more work or hobbies, paid or unpaid, has also been important. In contrast, the US President has traditionally had to contend with the view that good business is most rightfully conducted in secret, striving to sell products in 50 states or more. In this way, the view of good service to the maximum number of people has been replaced by an increasing multiplicity of lawyers called to act for secretive organisations; or for individuals acting alone; or with all their other unknown or secret silos for making money. Networking and fundraising appear to be typical ways of making US sales which have been pursued in fostering US and other rich interests against many others around the world since smart phones came in fast in 2008. Knock off the tops and let them work openly and freely on other accounts. This has so far largely added to general inequality and violent disorder where disability is a growing response. (We're up all night to make money is necessarily now the increasing approach to a lot of work, perhaps. On the other hand, more of us have found more government supported ways of living in mutual supported caring with offspring.)

University and other education are conceptualised in this skills development context later and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au China is now Australia's largest trading partner. However, the origins of its social organisation lie mainly in controlled British settlement on aboriginal land. This was so from its convict settlement to the gold rushes of the mid 19th century which made those in Melbourne and with other trading interests, comparatively rich and equal. Much of Australia was formerly grazing land, agriculture and desert with minerals beneath and coal near the coast. Australia gradually opened to emigration from other nations after the second world war. Checks and balances require independent auditing. Open consultation on strata housing now raises questions. Can auditors or new

consultants brought into the business of strata housing management be called independent with any accuracy if the methods of their audit are not available or are impossible to understand or control from the position of the strata committee or owners' corporation of group housing in Australia? No; for reasons discussed attached. The amateur is the only true independent? (I dunno.)

RED AND EXPERT GRANDMA SPEAKS ON CURRENT HIGHER EDUCATION TO HELP POOR BILL SHORTEN IN CANBERRA, PLUS GOLDEN GIRLS AT UNIVERSITIES AND ELSEWHERE (LET THEM EAT CAKE?)

This charge against Zoom learning compares it to many other techniques of learning which are regularly used on ABC TV or SBS TV, or in homes or classrooms or workplaces or communities everywhere, for example. I think **Behind the News** on ABC TV, which one assumes is present in every classroom, is a good example of good teaching for secondary school youth. Whether one learns anything much through Zoom meetings also depends on one's particular age and interests as well, I guess. I have always preferred and supported the community embedded teaching and learning approaches used by the Open University in Britain, for example, as well as the movies, books and maps about any culture. See www.Carolodonnell.com.au for an approach which favours more open learning relationships in work and community-based settings, however chosen or recommended to the learner.

I found I hated the distance Zoom meeting for our strata owners' corporation, strata managers and the consultants, to discuss a potential new strata manager. The problem with my learning on Zoom I often find is that I can't **watch, listen, read, write, understand, notice, remember and record what others have said, (while handling appropriate changes in the technology) all at the same time.** Fortunately, our strata committee had been sent many key documents related to the meeting by email and I had already read and responded to them with great interest, as discussed attached. It took me many hours which I never could have afforded if I had normal responsibilities. Fortunately, they have nearly all disappeared.

On the usual basis that I only know what I read in the papers, this is written in praise of the use of more broadly open Microsoft Office and email use because writing is often better than speech for learning and revision of material, recording and storage. These are the key elements of regional and personal learning and general development pursued regionally, locally or personally. Google search engine also gives our historical research and writing a special expert lift. We may laugh at ourselves in hindsight, especially if we are women and kids. These are super powers which should never be lost or taken from us, by US forces who couldn't give a shit about content, but who are in positions to operate by whatever numbers suit them. Strata managers in housing in NSW appear to be in this situation, largely through poor regulation, over-regulation, monopolistic associations with Macquarie Bank, and others at will.

I address higher education and research matters later to suggest that research is usually carried out better and cheaper if it comes after education tailored to open evidence of task undertaking and completion on one hand; and the openly consultative and effective management of identified risks to the place or endeavours on the other. This is the basis of new world orders now addressed by Presidents Trump and Xi, supported by other European and globally popular interests on the other. The cost of services is far more expensive and increasing faster than the cost of manufactured goods. Yet professional on-costs often appear in economic accounts as if they are genuinely of value, even when legally imposed. President Trump wants to encourage the population in consumption of more

services and holiday travel. This appears a powerful potential source of global and regional planning. Lawyers typically drag matters out of reach of everybody else, working in comparatively secret legal monopolies which cost everybody a lot of wasted time and money by traditionally being closed rather than open and consultative. The growing expectations and costs of legal power become more unnecessary and dysfunctional for Australian interests all the time.

How come the influential guys don't notice? I guess it's because many are dealing with technology consultants charged with implementing a product from which they expect a continuing financial gain, in consultation with each other or not. As result of the recent owners' corporation Zoom meeting about getting a new strata manager, however, I have seldom understood so well why I have hated Zoom so much before. Zoom is another technology for making money but not for reasonable learning, acting or recording to assist behavioural change or action. My change against the further proposed strata research is discussed attached because any reasonable education and related certification should be more open and cheaper first. Maria Ressa, a journalist from the Philippines now teaching at Columbia University said recently on PBS Newshour, on SBS TV, that technology is the spark that allows autocratic regimes to become authoritarian. That has certainly been my experience in strata management and university or state practices which prefer to operate and judge with ticks and scales, not reasons particular to the case. The Zoom meeting, was another example of authoritarian behaviour if voting procedures dominate more experienced, knowledgeable and reasonable thought. Voting on strata is right as an indication to assist the strata manager decide, I assume. This is because voting is generally related to concepts of ownership, wellbeing and risk, including the risk of rising costs of living. As women we have often sought out bargains that appear to be low risk to ourself and potential offspring. On the other hand, everything about capitalism urges us to adopt what many have reason to think are higher standards as well as higher risks. Go figure? No wonder Americans are uneasy with a view of drugs and violence which suggests that each person is likely to be his or her own worst enemy because of their narrowly ignorant appetites for comparative success.

The Zoom meeting made me livid because the professional organization running it has the gall to prevent the willing learner, like me in this case, from seeing anything helpful it has then swallowed and charged strata plan 10775 for on our behalf. This Zoom meeting is multi-skilling madness for transferring information unless you want to pretend to do so and then keep it instead and charge for it, preferably more often, like on a monthly basis? In regard to these Zoom meetings in strata, I guess the aim is to learn to use the particular technology before the next lot is added. Under the circumstances, where land and housing, education, health care and insurance costs continue to rise steeply, including through the national disability insurance service (NDIS) the small business person would seem a potential mug to invest in much; unless someone bigger shoved it down their necks somehow and called it a service. Being risk averse, for example, I would much rather have money in the bank or somewhere reasonably safe from predators with machines which want one to shape up to them and their handlers' standards with drugs or surgeries. Forget it, lovely lady, and demand a nice quick death with a lovely last meal if you want it instead. One addresses related matters of organ donation, transplantation and personal choice attached. Australia has comparatively good, fair, cheap or free healthcare, which commercial forces now can more easily undermine.

Can younger people do communication better? I usually doubt it in comparison with old-fashioned meeting email where at least the writing on the screen stays around, or one may take notes. A great big talking head is often good to watch and let the words sink in with diagrams and pictures. Their

meanings are ideally explained and available again freely online and/or in books. However, this learning material should be accompanied by notes easily accessible elsewhere for later personal perusal. In my view, Powerpoint is great for this. However, it was very good to receive so much clear information suddenly about management law and policy in strata housing before our last meeting of the owners' corporation. Nevertheless, this information should not have **CONFIDENTIAL** stamped on every page, because otherwise how could those owning and living in strata know what is considered right or wrong, to observe the legal dictates and remember the others? Whatever happens, however, it usually adds up to more money being requested, unless you do what you want for free, like I do.

WHO AM I? (THAT DEPENDS ON THE DAY AND THE TIME AS I SAID, CONSULTING MY WATCH AND INTERNAL MENTORS WHILE SMILING AT THOSE ON THE OUTER AND HURRYING PAST)

I am first reminded of Leunig's cartoon and poem and of Randy Newman's influential and prophetic album **Sail Away**, later, especially the song entitled **Political Science**. From the Australian perspective politics is not so much of a science as an economic and financial driver of trade and investment. Anyhow, Leunig wrote: *Come sit down beside me, I said to myself and although it doesn't make sense, I took my own hand as a small sign of trust, as together I sat on the fence*. I would do this in more than the next elections, as part of a new world order, seeking better than US information. **The Internationale** or **Solidarity Forever** are suddenly *vieux chapeau* with President Trump. As a woman born in 1947 of British stock I give reasons here, attached and on www.Carolodonnell.com.au. First admit, perhaps, that mobs of peasants have had more fun with Donald Trump than Winston Churchill. I bet my British father in Queensland small business and I would have easily agreed on that.

Warn friends in higher education, new technology and business schools as well as in law that we are coming for you. I predict that it isn't going to be pretty at the borders and margins unless the tops are knocked off first. I would seek to ditch a lot of lawyers. Replace them with more regionally suitable, less punitive, adversarial, confusingly closed methods in law and contract making or breaking. Key perceptions of checks and balances are addressed in this open regional plea to President Trump and those around him, globally and locally. See more regional analysis of the relations between the passage of law and property through offspring attached and in Nelson and Lumby's book **Broken: Children, parents and family courts**. As the authors point out in their introduction, *no system of law lacerates people's lives like the family courts*. Those who marry these days often appear to behave as if there was no yesterday or tomorrow or unwanted offspring. Are they nuts to ignore the means of their own financial support to rely in secret on the family, or particular men and the state instead? See related discussions above and attached.

It upsets me online when government and their bureaucracies are turned towards sending people with complaints or questions to lawyers, after first trying to collect a lot of personal information for themselves, from their own point of view, drip, drip, drip. This often appears to have little or no relevance to our concerns or to those of our neighbours. This wastes everybody's money and time unnecessarily by multiplying more expensive busy-work every year. It may provide jobs. However, different ones seem more needed instead in any better new world order. Higher education is addressed in this light because formal education has often been historically founded from top priestly and warrior classes, rather than from the bottom.

If one contrasts the two Cold War polar military and Constitutional slogans of **Serve the People** on the Chinese side and **Pro Life, Pro God, Pro Gun** on the US side, it seems Australia must often pivot in the

policy space between these globally dominating trading partners' positions to do reasonably well. Australia's two largest trading partners are now the USA and China, with China rising and supporting us mainly through mining in WA. Australia has UK origins for many of its key institutions, which may require reform to meet the post-war global rise of Asia better, to put it mildly. I propose the following interests to you because I believe that to be on the side of healthy and biodiverse natural environments is the most powerful and only good way forward now, whatever the state. I guess the expectations of the Confucian family in the current international context may mean that more young Chinese may look forward to a freer and easier life looking after the dying members of the family in their own homes, not driving themselves nuts in the market rat race so as to have enough money to marry and reproduce with partners who may be and feel different about everything later. If this is one reason for China's currently falling productivity and high youth unemployment, is it a sign of wealthier, freer development and different priorities instead of something worse, as normally contemplated in US media? I'd ask Keyu Jin, who wrote **The New China Playbook: Beyond Socialism and Capitalism** (2023)

It still is in the material and related cultural world here, for example, with former Minister for Disability, Bill Shorten. Only one disability is allowed, and his is that he is a lawyer who has now become Vice-Chancellor of Canberra University, charged with helping to create the new world order. Following President Trump, I advise popular megaphone diplomacy rather than Shorten's usually attempted zingers. I say this partly because the great virtue of academia is that it shouldn't matter what people think of you, as long as you are trying to stir them up a bit to think and speak their minds and write, not silently sit and click or play the numbers in secret. With good colleagues, whose works are cheap or free to students, Australians may return to the era they lost when former PM, Kevin Rudd was deposed by Bill Shorten, Julia Gillard, etc. Kevin Rudd, the new Ambassador to the American people, is naturally supported strongly by both major political parties, although in the past he has been critical of President Trump. (I have learned better since so I bet that he has learned more too.)

When Australian artists or anybody else gets indignant about protecting their copyright or about racism today, I often think of Cambodians who break copyright to sell product to tourists. Good on them because I learn and acquire what I want easily and cheaply as a tourist, while they make a living, perhaps. Those protecting copyright may seem shortsighted and racist from any regional rather than legal and professional views led by lawyers. I prefer cheaper or free education and entertainment as more genuine services to people.

Amazing technological development has occurred since the Internet. The way many services are delivered and competition is conceptualised now appear expensively old fashioned, including in higher education and research, as well as in a lot of entertainment. One's aim is to suggest restructuring and broadening the reach of government funded services to make them more broadly open and competitive services, rather than just victims of government funding cuts. One may agree or disagree with President Trump on TV. However, one always fears the US consultant coming here to help us out tomorrow. The US idea which has been popular with many health professions here, that pain may be adequately represented along a scale, with lack of feeling and speech at one end and death at the other is most unhelpful to good service and practice. It has often seemed part of the ideology of drug sellers and buyers, whether they are acting legally or not. From the Marxist view an ideology serves the interests of a particular group which accepts and promotes it. Fair enough, but this is far from the normal expectations of operational science. Surely the historical and personal journey from birth to

death in any particular place will often provide a more convincing story of events, whether self-serving or not. (Freud's thought has given way increasingly to the numbers men and women today. I hate this tendency driven by clinical machine convenience.)

Globally, however, think of us old Marxist feminists as birds, increasingly flying in the face of modern advance before death for example, and finding it makes us angrier every year. Following Freud and his more modern masters, like Thomas Szasz and the Tavistock crowd, I address related wonderful findings about the historical nature of all culture, including legal practice, as Monty Python and others pointed out more successfully long ago because they always managed to get a lot more of the numbers with wonderful jokes. As Freud said, "there are no jokes" but we know that Americans have proved us wrong again with psychologists and President Trump, without mentioning movies. Years ago, as an old woman also standing for the subconscious and looking for better science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) help with my things, I thankfully found an old French civil engineer in Glebe and Ultimo, working free to help others use their devices. Together we made a special film called **Carol** with a personal portrait and plea, to the Australian community and Pope Francis, after he released the **Encyclical on the Environment, Laudato Si**. I offer its way to any who choose at www.Carolodonnell.com.au For Christ's sake, do something with the Pope and Laudato Si that is helpful to the environment. He looks like he would like a nice rest in death to me. Go and see the movie **Conclave** and recommend it to Andrew Leigh and others.

For better decision and funding In Australia, one naturally seeks to defund lawyers and widen the cheaper or free pools of reasonably expert or well-trained thought and opinion in regard to any particular place. This is in preference to defunding Australian police. Like responders to other violent and natural disasters, front line police exist in the real (material) world, which puts people at risk of disaster, disability or death. This may be openly expressed through relative inequality at the margins of any broader conflict first. It has been complained that soldiers may kill people in unfamiliar communities, for example, possibly as a result of being comparatively ignorant and fearful themselves. The police are in positions to know more about regional communities than partial, adversarial lawyers commonly are. Lawyers are taught to shy away from broader solutions to protect communities. They are taught to think more narrowly in their feudal concern about winning or losing the particular case for the particular client, under the particular law. This increasingly involves more and higher courts, with ever increasing amount of comparatively partial and expensive genetic, psychiatric, or artificially intelligent (AI) help. What was called information technology (IT) in 2020, is now called AI in 2025. I guess the fact that its normal mission is product sales has perhaps brought about the name change. Words have meaning and are symbolic of culture. However, the view that emotion can be effectively measured on a linear scale, other than as assistance in the dispensing of legal or illegal drugs, appears pseudo-scientific.

I address violence and its relationship to speech in this light, to point out the differences between more and less authoritarian forms of management and voting, for example. As an old woman, I think mainly of trying to influence those choosing death, as well as their grandmas, mothers and kids, who men with weapons ignored or disabled in their rounds with old or new technologies and violence, for example. I hope some kids at the Dendy will pick the message up but because I am old, female, friendless and outside the labour market by choice, I rather doubt it. Coercive control methods differ from violence and are addressed to pursue more uniting aspects of the American Dream, as President Xi and the Chinese Communist Party recommended in the collection of his speeches in the book **The**

Governance of China (2017), for example. It's print run has repeatedly dwarfed anything Kevin Rudd or anybody else in Australia ever wrote about China since, so should be read and used accordingly.

Shakespeare's work has been more clearly prophetic for many in successive generations around the world for centuries. A broader emerging world has now been shown to us in global, regional and historical forms through movies and free to air television or radio, or film and plays, such as those addressed earlier, for example. The mythic came to me only in later life, in free time before death. Reading Janine Burke's great book, **The Gods of Freud**, and seeing his home and study with preserved collections of statues and art objects, purchased in travel, spurred me on. In the new world order, wealth is likely to be associated with more travel more often, for the comparatively rich and poor alike, bearing any continuing unequal burdens in the process of travel or elsewhere. From this perspective the novel (book for ladies) is a lie in the service of the truth. I address children, their parents and their journey towards death, because it may hopefully suggest ideas and actions to some others out there, as they are developed freely beyond many free tweets and utube responses giving wealthier and more attractively popular indications on many matters.

For me, Bob Dylan was the greatest Jewish prophet of our Western times, however, and he didn't deal in numbers or measurements. I have no idea what the Chinese or other non-English speaking people think about him and whether he is loved by the cosmopolitan everywhere, as I love him. Higher education in Australia is addressed to openly assist regional development links between humanities subjects and the science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) subjects. Tim Flannery thinks **The Knowledge Wars**, by Peter Doherty was a hugely important book for those living in the data saturated 21st Century.

Was political science ever a science? (Randy Newman doubted it in his "woke" album of my era, *Sail Away*, and I do too, for reasons later. See my autobiography **Power Loving** and supporting theoretical and practical advice at www.Carolodonnell.com.au These are suggestions for Australian direction starting with an open letter to Pope Francis and whoever hopes to join or pass on from any coalition of the willing. (I'm unwilling to join any coalition as I'm rich enough to only have to do what I like. As an atheist, Marxist feminist, I write about how much I hate lawyers and why. You may think this is an ideological rather than scientific decision but you would have to put numbers on it and see the rest. We are not necessarily drawn to President Trump because we trust him, but because he is so different from what we have ever had before. Following Freud in the US, however, there are no jokes, only drugs and numbers now, I guess. Freud thought they were a lot of vulgarians but I've always loved the movies, especially when there was singing and dancing in them and I was young. I address higher education and trade later in related regional lights and transfers, where services supported by state funds deserves more broadly honest treatment than normally available in independent professional constitutions.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St, Glebe, Sydney 2037

I accuse Christians and their politics in this state of hiding and lying about the truth shown in the last Australian census. It is that Christians appear to have the most ticks, but those with no religion have the second most ticks. Coming from international generations of atheists, what I have found most

obnoxious about American anything is having God so forcefully shoved down our throats in communications wherever we are. Get off my body big fat stupid lying violent bastards – rich or poor, drugs are no excuse in my book. In this town I think we should follow the Papua New Guinea (PNG) lead and bring back the death penalty for pay-back killings of women and kids. (It's the men they are paying back by taking away their chattels, so men can destroy and call it defence as usual). Without the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH) Obituary I would never have discovered that obviously good PNG fact. As an atheist, I naturally fight in favour of suicide and death for those who choose it for themselves. Why should we torture ourselves? Let us save others time and money by donating our bodies faster to the state as a gift with no expense. Baby, I will.