

WAITING FOR WEAVEN: HOW CAN MAIN STREET RAMP UP AGAINST WALL STREET AND SILICON VALLEY IN AUSTRALIA? GO OUT WITH PROFESSOR MICHELLE SIMMONS AND CHINA AT UNSW? SHOULD IT? (SEARCH ME AND ASK SCIENTISTS LIKE DR KARL)

She's always a woman to me (Me too Billy)

It wouldn't be make-believe if you believed in me (Nat King Cole)

I make suggestions after the referendum for an Australian aboriginal Voice in the Constitution and to parliament discussed below, while confessing I haven't a clue what bonds and yields and related assumptions and practices are all about and I've been trying to understand them for years. I have naturally called Gleebooks for Gary Weaven's new book, **Weaven Words**, on superannuation. I sure hope this guy is not just pretending to know like the rest of them, while raking off big money while trying to buy up and sell a lot more stuff. This is supposed to be a stable welfare state with everybody included in it. Fat chance.

I address Australia in this local, global and US context to point out it was the referendum process more than its particular outcome which mattered for broader regional development, including for aborigines living alone or together with others. I learned a lot and am grateful but decided to write NO LAWYERS on the ballot. However, I spend a lot of time lying flat on the couch, not eating since 2020. This is my choice and voice, on behalf of some in our dying white races. See more on these global matters below and attached.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St. Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

ADVICE AFTER AN AUSTRALIAN REFERENDUM ON GIVING ABORIGINES A VOICE IN THE CONSTITUTION AND TO PARLIAMENT FAILED (SEE COMMIE LOVERS AND JEW LOVERS UNITE ON TV WITHOUT LAWYERS LATER)

ANY AUSTRALIAN POLITICAL PARTY OR PERSON MAY GO FORWARD OPENLY REJOICING WITH CHINA AND/OR ANYONE ELSE THEY CHOOSE. THIS FREE OPEN CONTRACT IS LIKE A GAY MARRIAGE PROPOSAL BUT DIFFERENT PERHAPS TO A TREATY. DO WHAT YOU LIKE AS I WILL BE DEAD COMPARATIVELY SOON. (I CAN'T WAIT FOR BABY BOOMER PEERS LET ALONE THE ARTISTIC KIDS IN CIRCLES WHO NEED MORE ADULT SUPERVISION)

The recent referendum on the question posed by an aboriginal community and the Labor government, of whether Australian aborigines should have a Voice in the Constitution and to parliament, has now ended. The Liberal Party and others opposed the Voice but supported "*closing the gap*" between indigenous Australians and others regarding common standards of living. I summarize the referendum outcome as shown on ABC and SBS TV and as a daily reader of the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH) and Australian Financial Review (AFR).

This is to suggest future direction to journalists, Australian political parties, elected regional representatives and others, whether this direction is taken with aboriginal people or not.

They will always have a voice, as have I as a mother of one and grandmother of one. However, I assume it's usually not a platform unless sanctified by the usual legal circles. It isn't good enough to serve the Australian people, let alone others, and I show why later.

The referendum provided an authoritative picture of how Australians voted by each electorate. It was lost. Roughly 61% of the total population voted **No** and 39% voted **Yes** to the propositions for an **indigenous Voice in the Constitution and to parliament**. I guess nobody needs another one like that for at least ten or twenty years. Press reports show the only territory or state population to vote **Yes** was in the Australian Capital Territory of Canberra, the national capital city. State election data showed comparatively wealthy inner-city electorates led by independent teal, Green and Labor representatives in capital cities or other major towns voted **Yes**. Those outside these comparatively charmed urban professional and educational circles, voted **No**.

As the AFR article by Aaron Patrick pointed out, it seems most Australians didn't want a new black elite because *they couldn't see how it would have got more kids to school in the Kimberley, stop street fights in Alice Springs or reduce the 13% indigenous diabetes rate*. Like me, perhaps, many fear and project onto others their hatred of what new IT does to many of us. I find I prefer to try to avoid the lot before I die but see the potential of reality TV for financial education by going for the tops. It's Halloween now so I'm baring my teeth.

The article '**Strong support for Yes in remote communities** (AFR 16.12.23, p. 12) shows that the ten regional seats with the highest aboriginal populations were also those that voted **Yes** most strongly. Surely, this is the best outcome we could have. **What do they or others want to close the gap or not? Why and how?** This seems an opportunity to address a lot of concerns related to "closing the gap" in some social indicators of key living standards. I know the top closed professional circles are particularly keen to do it, or so they assure us. These regional opportunities have now landed in the related laps of Australian representatives of these communities and individuals. We would learn from this pursuit in which every adult individual need not necessarily be involved, as law often requires.

There are only 24 hours in a day, however, and I spend a lot of them watching, reading, sleeping and lying flat. I spoiled my ballot by writing **NO LAWYERS** on it, and explain why attached. I address the International Declaration of Human Rights and the Liberal Party and other forces later. I also address the ABC TV broadcast of the **Boyer Lecture by Professor Michelle Simmons on the Atomic Revolution** later, as she seems a natural open global development platform in co-operation with people like Chinese billionaire, Jack Ma, for example. Since 2020 we have all been engaged on the World Health Organisation (WHO) revolutionary direction, I guess. Scott Morrison and I have seen plague, fire, flood, war and pestilence in our time so we hope that the end days might be here now. Hallelujah?

All I got to guide me is historical experiences and the internet, with national free to air TV, books and newspapers for any broader daily, rather than specialist or other knowledge that any particular chosen stars may follow or represent. I feel socially disengaged now and more often like Upsy-Daisy on ABC TV. (I love her simple relationship with her bed and green environment without even a clock radio. It reminds me of **Gardening Australia**, which so many have led so long, so popularly and so cheaply, and also **Landline** on ABC TV.)

SBS TV opens up the world which is truly an amazing place, even on the North Shore, although with the bus and train transport the way it is now, I try to avoid going there, especially on weekends or at night when I prefer lying flat to parking. I address my failed efforts to see **A Little Night Music** during the day time later to make some suggestions that I hope serve both of us better. (God alone knows we need it.) Here one wonders how the US and others could keep it all up so long with their stupid Constitution and roaring gun trade, plus lawyers and the encouragement of over-reproduction and desertification elsewhere. I will address related sports issues later. On the other hand, if people are going to be crossing borders from now on, I want to see rewards for a one child policy and contraception, as well as taking away their guns. (I've loathed any family pets that get out to be pests as well.)

I pointed this out to World Vision in 2007 after I got my Xmas card of Baby Jesus and a letter from a new teenage South American kid. What do World Vision adults think I am myself, a baby Christian? (No reply as usual. They like to send their questionnaires, and put them through the computer as usual, I guess. (Look Mabel, numbers, science to you, fast at last.) I consider the movie **City of God** and Sydney theatrical circles later to point out that in both cases the kids appear to have taken over the asylum and it appears to be bad for business. Unlike in the **City of God** in Rio de Janeiro, they aren't murdering each other on the North Shore yet as they are not reproducing like rabbits with guns under God over here. I address competitive sport and what it has done to kids later in a related light. Never mind, if they don't kill you by the way they teach swimming nowadays, you can join the disabled games and thrill the guys whose only other allowable outlet seems to be with fat food or gaming.

Recreation is the ideal regional concept, especially for people like me who hate competitive sport for crippling so many so young, while freezing or buying out more enjoyable and less regimented greener activity for many others. I've never been a fan of former Liberal Treasurer, Joe Hockey, for example. I thought he stood for the worst elements in rich kids' life and sport, catering to US law and drug role models, for example. However, I digress and address this later. I prefer comparatively good world books, TV and Australian newspapers to other, more outside knowledge which is usually gained through work, paid or not. I don't use radio, preferring my head without it. I've ditched my car and I've lost all my driving and parking skills which is just as well when considering the expensive idiocy of the electric car before cheap or free public transport. (Trust the boys to favour their own nasty habits as usual rather than anything more reliable, cheap and going through beautiful country, like light rail.) My compliments and thanks go in this simple context many of us occupy I guess, to billionaires and Jews like Bill Gates and garbage legend Andrew Pratt and Christians like Twiggy Forrest. Good on you guys, especially Bill and thanks for meeting all those people socially!! It would drive me nuts if I was a wife having to ask them for money in person. (I never found a man who would do it for me, as Holly Near so aptly sang before she died.)

THE REFERENDUM WAS A GREAT SUCCESS. CONGRATULATIONS ON THE GOOD WORK

Seriously, I think this referendum was a good and necessary research service to inform us about ourselves prior to further and more informed action. This includes any action led more openly and consultatively by indigenous Australians alone or in company with others of any other faith, making their various choices to improve their lots. I think it was good. I give free tips in this open email I hope you might pass on regarding shared global direction.

Email has replaced the letter for me since the day it was first introduced in the 1980s. God knows what the rest of you are doing but I guess a lot of it wastes a lot of time and money.

Participation in any service, like financial donation, should be a personal choice, but is the opportunity to participate really a service or just a selling device to advance the producer or financier or investment manager and their particular penchants for making money? One wants or needs to know this, perhaps. I address related matters of faith, trust and charity attached to take the position that we may all work freely till death if we want. What I write is my intellectual property and like a committed spy, I will also give it freely to anybody I like. These views are justified as being good for Australian service with China and others later. If there is any body or thing you want knocked over, secretly, bigger guys will advise as usual. I support the **World Health Organization (WHO)** position as a natural bridge to prefer development in the open, starting with children and others as this is so hard.

You may consider supporting, for example, my Chinese film documentary proposal **Woz I an Oz Spy or Woz I just Kidded?** See www.Carolodonnell.com.au for this earlier suggestion in joint direction. If a Canberra diplomat's kid got into North Korea filming, so should you. On the other hand, they don't trust everybody with these vital matters. If you want to see closed shops at any reasonable levels of direction, why not start here with theatre first. I feel sure that Melbourne is not the only place where the closed shop flourishes to the potential detriment of broader communities looking for an afternoon stand rather than long and diverse association. The more I age the more I hate the sticky nature of IT and feel determined to be like Scrooge McDuck. This is hard for us all as IT is primed for selling the long continuing association on one hand, while encouraging constant switching of the person or product on the other. No wonder a lot of us make ourselves sick trying to win, and think we might cure it with drugs or self-cutting. (Go home Yank? They are at home.)

The Referendum was a great success as the vote did not matter as much as the process of revelation about who we are and how we feel about our country and its people. We have learned a tremendous amount since the covid 2020 beat-up about borders and the issues to be considered in crossing them, especially with large or dodgy numbers of people, even though it has given us the shits. We don't need to be united to take action but I send this in the associated light of the **International Declaration of Human Rights** and the US Constitutional view that people are equal under God. I do this because these documents have had such powerful influences upon a lot of new law and each new generation since. Any government which doesn't understand their operations in this globally historic context is in increasingly dangerous global territory, I think. The money you give the guys in secret can't compensate for any apparently stupid risk to customers and others affected badly by their proceedings. I hope to learn more from **Gary Weaven**, Peter Dutton and the rest.

HOW BROAD OR LOCALLY FOCUSED IS OUR VISION? SURELY, WE MUST ALL BE RACIST IF WE ARE SEEKING TO MANAGE WHAT WE CONCEIVE OF, RIGHTLY OR WRONGLY, AS RISK TO OUR OWN HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT FIRST, AS WELL AS RISK TO THAT OF OTHERS.

After the referendum supported by the Labor government was lost, the response by some leading aborigines was that the majority of Australians had committed a shameful act.

According to the article **No vote 'shameful' say Voice leaders**, the final statement of these leaders is that *"First Nations people have always faced racism, which increased during the referendum campaign to heavily influence the result"* (SMH 23.10.23, p. 6). I can't see how the expression of any honest view is shameful unless it is ignorantly and purposely designed to provoke an unreasonable and violent reaction in tit for tat. In that case, I might try to escape and keep my mouth shut, to think and act to support myself and my kids better elsewhere, away from the boys. (My own ignorance, for example, is one reason I took to the couch and TV, instead of real people. Like Lennie and his rabbit, I never wanted it to hurt.)

Aboriginal activists are pursuing their options comparatively glumly but I can't see why. The referendum gave clear and informative data about the feelings of the total population. This was not just the chatter of a comparatively few friends on Facebook or some other platform starting out with funding from US or other sources with generally unknown backing and use. These are some culture wars played out with lawyers in our particular neck of the woods.

The markets can turn on a dime they say. Globally, however, we all must appear racists now, as prisoners of our inevitably ignorant views about each other, as seeming representatives of communities, organisations and individuals, depending on our old school ties or the colour of our collars, for example. We humans are clothed apes, not naked ones. However, we've had more tools and better songs and movies and rhythm, especially in the US as far as I can see, from my life filled with songs and films and documentaries as well as books and reports. Risk management is inevitably about making educated guesses about an unknown other or future to improve or change one's own earlier situation. Mathematics appear far bolder in their laws. They appear often also to be the key producers of the masters of war, with more new kids added later. I address an ABC TV broadcast of the Boyer Lecture by Michelle Simmons on the **Atomic Revolution**, later, trying freely to help because it has increasingly angered me to see so many kids sold unlikely life expectations. If many are quiet quitting since 2020, in order to lie flat like me, I find it hard to disagree.

At 76 voluntary death begins to look like a good rest compared with trying to go on for long so later I address the medical closed shop which appears intent on ensuring the recently passed assisted dying legislation won't happen in NSW. We may conceive of ourselves as the centre of our small worlds, while being ignorant of others with whom we may practice, or those outside, for example, like me. I cannot escape my own ignorance unless trying to find out for some reason of my own or others whose interests I share, for some reason. One often wonders at the medico-legal and military state which keeps killing and maiming innocent people who don't want to die or be disabled and homeless, for example, while keeping the others who do want to die, alive for as long as possible. They seem evil nuts I guess I will hate more as I grow older, unless we understand each other better, which I have the wisdom of experience to doubt. I don't have the IT skills to travel much now, so I largely focus on contacting those who think writing is important. Have others only got 2 fingers?

I am the new talking monkey in the story an old story-teller regularly told to boys, siblings and other kids in his African village, according to Ishmael Bean, former boy soldier. I found **Ishmael Bean's** memoir **A Long Way Gone** (2007) in the free library outside Tranby, the Glebe college for aboriginal gatherings and have now passed it on more widely with other

free books. The story is: A boy went out to kill a monkey that was eating and the monkey paused in its eating and said, “If you kill me your mother will die, but if you don’t kill me your father will die later”. The old story-teller asks the kids what they would do if they were the boy. The kids knew it was just a story and didn’t want to hurt either of their parents by their replies. But Ishmael Bean knew in his heart at aged 7, that he would kill the monkey. There is a moral in this story for me as a would-be materialist. I wonder if the press can find it yet.

The referendum was a good consultation, baseline research and education exercise for any region that wants to see itself as democratic and peaceful for any reason, rather than armed and warlike to protect the home and business it has built or conquered partly by rejection of past masters in foreign lands. The Australian people have made it clear through voting, nevertheless, that this country will not embrace open borders unless the infrastructure is there to support and continue what Australians have judged as our best way of life.

It has been said that in God we trust but all others bring data, and hence the prayer for the plane. Data is nevertheless vital for the effective identification and management of risks to health and safety to humans and to the natural environment they inhabit with others. From an Australian view which seeks to serve the Australian people, the US should inform us rather than lead us. However, the WHO view on the promotion of health in particular environments is more appropriately advanced in support of population and environment development. This is far from the professional one seeking occupational closure to advance its career position through mandating its own control and scarcity in law. Jobs don’t have to be paid. However, races to any tops seem to create increasingly unstable and unequal places. As Renee Guyer sang, “It’s a man’s world”. Open it up before they murder the rest of us. You will need fewer kids to do it unless your daddy is fairly rich and stable, I guess.

Australian government is now intent on closing loopholes as well as gaps. I agree that this only seems proper. The Closing the Loopholes Bill is ***‘for an Act to amend the law relating to workplace relations, work health and safety, workers’ compensation and rehabilitation, certain independent contractors, unfair contracts, the road transport industry, the Asbestos Safety and Eradication Agency and registered organisations, and for related purposes.*** The bill raises the need for plain aims and language to serve the particular regional context through better global understanding as addressed attached, perhaps.

CLOSING GAPS AND RE-SETTING DIRECTION WHILE CUTTING ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL COSTS BY 50% IN YEAR ONE. DO SO WITHOUT LAWYERS (DO WHAT YOU LIKE WITH DOCTORS, ETC. IT’S A FREE CHOICE JOYCE, UNLESS YOU’VE GOT SOMETHING INFECTIOUS)

In regard to *closing gaps* in Australia, one normally wishes the wealth peak were brought down to serve the people more broadly in more stable ways. Fat chance because big guys make money on market fluctuations, also talking markets up while trying to get out before the markets crash. The alternative is to keep fruitlessly trying to raise those who will seldom or never catch up through their losses, whatever they were or however they got them. I believe in personal choice and personal responsibility. I address risk management matters briefly later, in the light of the apparent theoretical direction of Liberal party luminary, David Kemp. His direction is wrong as it is led by US interests that appear wrong for the rest,

including for US significant others. I guess half the world hates these guys and half the world would love to be them. But what is the real story about partners leaving kids long before they've popped their clogs? The funeral is chicken-feed stuff in comparison, I guess.

As an atheist, I propose another referendum and debate before the next federal election.

The evidence that atheists are numerous but ignored for their views in Australia is attached. People of the books roll over. This is a big land bed surrounded by sea. In it we should vote on whether we are **idealists** or **materialists** first, because this would educate us further in the same manner as this one on a **Voice** for aboriginal identity in the **Constitution** and parliament. Being determines consciousness and the unconscious which lies beneath, to me. So, I am a would-be **materialist** Jew lover I guess, following Marx, Engels, Freud, Weber, Popper and the Tavistock school which began by looking after kids in England. Their families had been killed in war as usual. **Idealists**, who may have clearer and more dominant standards, on the other hand, have usually been paid to work in theoretical places and I have too. It is hard to practice as a good Marxist under such circumstances and I confess it freely. As an atheist, I've often had a soft spot for a few Christians too, spoken for or not, I'm afraid. However, I've never worked in the markets, only the legal, behavioural sciences crappy box.

But what about you and Jean Paul, Joyce? Don't you always like to have a choice too? I admit that even as a risk averse woman I occasionally do. I hope this is clearer to us later. For the artists, I stress our understanding is that **Idealists** do it up in the air first and **materialists** do it down on the ground, before switching to send in more clowns. Former PM Turnbull could fill you in on figurative details. I often believed him in spite of his "*bonk ban*". I thought his proposal to ban fornication by politicians, public servants and members of the media was wrong and stupid. Where would all the poorer girls like me be without it? Prostitutes transformed the normal land and property relations in Hawaii, for example, when the place was a forces brothel. I couldn't care less if Ita Buttrose bonked the boss, because she has lasted among the best on offer. Knock revolutionary powers of sex at your own peril. Otherwise, they work best for all the lawyers who met and married each other at university. (I wouldn't blame any old parent who took cancer or dementia options instead, like former Labor Premier, Neville Wran. Did he finally find peace in becoming a loony?)

AUSTRALIAN LIBERAL PARTY LEADERSHIP AND THE NO VOTE WHICH DEPENDED ON THE US AND UN VIEWS OF HUMAN RIGHTS ARE WRONG BECAUSE THEY COME FROM THE RICHEST PART OF THE WORLD ALREADY. I ADDRESS KEMP AND OTHERS LATER.

I question the Liberal Party development view expressed later in David Kemp's article **Enlightenment ideals defeat the identity politics of the Voice** (AFR 18.10.23 p. 47). I can only conclude he is joking or seriously nuts. This guy wants to harm his party for reasons I address as a Marxist. Don't go there but work more effectively and cooperatively with any Labor Party or related moves to pull down the top communities, while lifting the bottom communities up more broadly. Restructure bureaucracy to serve the people better by including a broader range of interests in a global context of regional, place based and personal decision, rather than legal and professional analysis and ruling. Let it start career-

wise from the top first. Usually say good-bye to the oldest and richest in an organisation as they can work freely openly if they want, and baby-boomers here have had a lot already.

In David Kemp's article **Enlightenment ideals defeat the identity politics of the Voice** (AFR 18.10.23 p. 47) he rejoices in the **No** vote as a former Liberal Party minister and author of a five-volume history of Australian liberalism. I've never read about Kemp's work, except now in on-line reviews and in related notes on him in Wikipedia. I address his views on **Enlightenment ideals, identity politics and the Voice wondering where the Liberal Party will place its faith now**. It appears wrongly placed as long as it cannot come to terms with the inadequacies of the US Constitutional positions also driving lawyers and others like Kemp here and abroad. I address these matters later as a voice advising other people, whether they bother to take notice or not. Mine is free advice now but I used to be paid for it. (What lost its value? Fuckwits trampled on it as they went to embrace box ticks instead.)

The proclamation of the **UN Declaration of Human Rights** in 1948 led to anti-discrimination legislation and support for it in Australia and elsewhere during the 1970s and increasingly later. The UN Declaration is composed of two legally enforceable covenants. One deals with Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. The other deals with Civil and Political Rights. This UN "bill of rights" represented the world coming together after World War 2, with the position that *"all human beings are born equal in dignity and rights without distinction of any kind such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status."* Rights are considered *inalienable, (God given)* to us all in law and can only be secured in court. This is a pre-scientific and pre-democratic discourse without soul, operating under a monarch who might as well be propped up dead. In Australia however, the seal of the Crown rules on in market relations in housing, etc. On the other hand, money has been encouraged to whiz across borders far faster than people.

I agree with Ronald Sackville's expert legal opinion (SMH 23,9.2023, p. 33), also discussed attached, that the Australian Constitution is an outdated administrative document, although Chapter 3 (The Judicature) contains the constitutional guarantee underpinning the rule of law in Australia. He also points out Americans revere their Constitution. It supports freedom of speech, the right to bear and trade arms, and the view that one has a right not to speak if accused of wrongdoing. Say whatever you like and shoot whatever you like while letting lawyers go on forever? The US Constitution appears dangerously nuts to me and they keep killing themselves and others with guns or explosives by mistake or on purpose, especially if they are comparative kids. Nevertheless, US communications technology has effectively exported US views in entertainment and sales globally, while ignoring or demonizing many other practical ideologies, at least since WW 2. Many of us besides Jack Black and his mate admit the US devils have had nearly all the best music and movies.

Ideally, the US and the **UN International Declaration of Human Rights** approaches should inform, not lead Australia. Nevertheless, one often needs some global vision to serve the local one better, according to the choice of the particular individual or community of interests in partnership. (It's your choice, Joyce and Jean Paul recommended it too). Instead of taking the supposedly universal declaration of human rights route, (led by lawyers); take the route championed by the **World Health Organisation (WHO) in 1946**. WHO analysed

human health in holistic, regional demographic and environment treatment terms discussed attached, not just in medical diagnostic and treatment terms which focus on the individual body and its reaction to drugs or surgery after various tests. This is not a world that is ideally led by lawyers and their ilk unless seen and aligned as just one of many interested mobs in broader development first. See more at www.Carolodonnell.com.au in lectures on health and other service development at the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University. I often found my views were unpopular with students and staff who engaged, but kept it up. My experience of life is that we often learn through disagreement first. Bugger promotion for maintaining the boundaries as well, because it easily leads to intense stupidity with IT.

More recently, the global approach of the comparatively rich has been fuelled by Silicon Valley selling product while moving fast to break the old expectations of communication, service and pay. I guess the acquisition of land and property for rent before getting out of the market comparatively early is an associated regional direction making many younger people angry. I'm very grateful they have been so well taught not to show it, especially with knives or guns. They may prefer to be sick or unavailable more often instead, perhaps. Fiona Simson of the National Farmers' Federation recommends talking to farmers earlier about potentially including their lots in more sustainable development. So do I in Sydney. In travels I have met many keen young people eager to use their green skills from university, only to find out that in business nobody cares what they think. I know that feeling at 76 too. See the file attached on strata management and insurance matters in Glebe, for example.

Kemp's article **Enlightenment ideals defeat the identity politics in the Voice**, refers first to '*the new Marxism of identity politics*'. He seems to misunderstand Marxism and how "identity politics" relates to it, (in support of protesting Hong Kong students recently, for example). I am a Marxist who first embraced identity politics while poorly trying to help build socialism with Australian characteristics. Kemp's *new Marxists of identity politics* have always been my kind of kids. That doesn't stop me appreciating other kinds and we are all still living in a world without much Chinese or other knowledge and practice with others. I address Kemp again later as these issues appear equally important for liberals and Liberals, as well as for Marxists or Labor, Greens or independents, etc. We just don't want to go there to live. It seems too dangerous and unlike us in old age. We don't even speak the language, and we prefer kids in peace to violent, nasty guys with guns as usual, let alone lawyers. The WHO direction is addressed in a related free analysis from Sydney at www.Carolodonnell.com.au I digress now in my old habits of social inertia and withdrawal.

Kemp allies himself and former PM John Howard with Andrew Breitbart, the US right wing commentator who was born in 1969 and who died from a heart attack already, according to Wikipedia. Breitbart said '*politics is downstream of culture*'. Whenever these guys refer to downstream, I wonder what they think is upstream and can often only assume it is God in a nation of supposed equals under God, still supposedly following US standards and lawyers as usual. If not US lawyers', then whose? Lawyers have strange feudal views of evidence, driven by many more secretly related associations. These are often designed to keep out more recent ones, like 18th Century ideas about the importance of clear dictionaries or glossaries for developing common understanding to bring about change, for example.

So-called *'identity politics'* have normally been carried forward by anti-discrimination acts and related assumptions or actions following the UN General Assembly proclamation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. It is also called the bill of rights. It has been partially and ignorantly led by increasing numbers of Western lawyers encouraging many more commercial in confidence operations played out in the comparative dark. The court process is partially defined as the application of justice under the Crown and it costs huge amounts of money being paid to duelling lawyers by everybody else after the particular event of complaint. Technology like Microsoft Office, email, Wikipedia and honest websites raised the potential for much faster, cheaper understanding, nipped in the bud by lawyers' IT.

Kemp states *"Australia's culture has been built on the great streams of thought from the 18th century Enlightenment: a belief in the common humanity and dignity of all people; the requirement to govern in the public interest rather than in the selfish interests of the powerful few and in the productive and creative power of personal freedom under just laws.* Whatever one thinks about laws and which of any appear just, outside court, this view of freedom is unlikely to be of interest to Chinese or other global authorities. Getting rich has been seen as glorious since Deng Xiaoping proclaimed it so in 1978. Australia's largest customers for mining and other Australian products or services, appear to be in China, whether this effectively serves broader ranges of communities and individuals well or not.

In this regional analysis for trading, a product is more naturally conceptualised as a service or imposition on people first. In referring to the *selfish interests of the powerful few*, Kemp seems to assume that the powerful few are more selfish than the rest of us. Why be so rude to them if you believe all people are born equal under God, or a US or other Constitution or not? It seems a comparatively uncharitable view of rich people which seems unlikely to be true or to do the Liberal Party any favours in Australia. I certainly would not share it, for example. Neither would Marx or Engels. They studied and understood the market they worked in better than Kemp or I ever could, because they lived with it and its challenges to the older aristocratic relations of production. Kemp got his training at Yale and it shows. He comes more from theoretical, not practical origins. His standards, like mine, are theoretical ones which are insufficiently grounded in particular reality or practice.

Whereas the **Declaration of Human Rights** sees rights as "inalienable" or given by God and interpreted in court, Marxists generally believe rights are forged in human struggle. In seeing people as having equal rights, obtained by lawyers in court, a partial aspirational standard is presented which often has little to do with real life, in which people may be valued differently, depending on their own or others' particular grounds, for example. What Kemp refers to as *"identity politics"* is better understood in terms of communities or individuals openly assisting attempts to broaden or reform older party and professional or industrial and community interests to accommodate newer ones. The institutions that are created in this process, however, appear increasingly led by lawyers and the most powerful of their associations. They normally profit most from their multiple, closed associations. Thus, they often appear increasingly unable to achieve their own institutional reforms to meet diverse Australian and related goals better and more fairly. How many houses do many politicians own, while amassing their typical rents, for example? Perhaps a No vote is a No confidence vote in that most common of Labor leaders, Labor mates and lawyers.

Kemp's views seem more related to supporting US legal and political institutions wrongly, than to liberal ideology as it may inform or improve the chances of the Liberal Party in Australia. As a Marxist teacher I've seen many who have adopted "*identity politics*", including me. Because of the comparatively ignorant nature of the particular positions, we often seem ignorantly unwilling and unable to come to any close terms with market relations. This is fatal for any would-be Marxist. I have often been an example of this problem, and remain comparatively happily so in retirement, with plenty of time and money, waiting for death. We are often products of our earlier, more narrowly privileged associations, under earlier Labor laws and professional or family associations or those trying to reform them, for example. Australian origins of current development include a "bunyip aristocracy", ruling with comparatively well organised labour and clergy in the lower orders. This means it is stuffed by multitudes of complicating and comparatively narrowly partial lawyers standing between any better, more openly direct and cheaper agreement. One seeks more open questioning in all its operational aspects. The sooner Australians can trade better and cheaper in global regions to advance a wider range of people, especially where risks of life disruption appear greatest, the better. This is the antithesis of secret "services" and expensively ongoing ones for consumers, especially if encouraged into entrapment.

Following more regional operational logic, like that of WHO theoretical direction, one also seeks to advance the WHO view as globally better for trade. In comparison, the bill of rights is a vote for control of markets by lawyers bent on catering to the control of their richest clients as usual. The on-costs are huge and frequently come at the beginning of projects, far ahead of any wider and better consultation in the field, particular or otherwise. The nature and effects of UN bills of rights have been to increase global inequality and disorder since the 1980s. This has occurred through the leading edge that has increased international financial trading expectations led in fintech markets and by US lawyers who operate easily in the interests of rich, secret, family or other associations. They have increasingly defended and multiplied commercial in confidence expectations and costs, until organisations exposed to markets and their related government or other supporters crash, and lawyers pick up the pieces to start again with new names for the same old fintech products, perhaps. I have repeatedly resisted an Australian bill of rights as just another invitation to support ignorant, unreasonable and expensive legal control of affairs, against the interests of serving the widest possible groups of people better in any region. This is not a good service. I address these matters attached to suggest ways forward with or without lawyers, rather than under their more powerful and wasteful control of speech and activities.

The **AFR Power Issue** on Australia's most powerful people (AFR Oct. 2023) states Shemara Wikramanayake is Australia's highest-paid chief executive. As CEO of the Macquarie Bank, she took home \$23.7 million in 2022. I guess her mates on the board are higher paid still. How do people like this publicly justify their hugely increased pay differentials, when it was Paul Keating's government who helped set them up in the 1980s? Perhaps Weaven can tell us how. Deng Xiaoping said to get rich is glorious and we now learn women should have it all at once while enjoying our work. When I saw **Flashdance**, however, her efforts seemed very tiring and bound to increase social inequality. She married her money before her tits dropped. In these culture wars we have been so steeped in the US dream we don't see it. God knows we need a better Australian dream. (Tell the Godfather of Australia's 3+ trillion superannuation fund, Gary Weaven. They call it a movement. They're too dumb to know.)

Like the internet, Microsoft Office, and Google search engine, Wikipedia has been a revolutionary development for bringing us all better knowledge, held by government, research, education and development institutes, newspapers and other broadcasting and library locations. The market is there to sell us stuff and they increasingly like to avoid any questions or contact while taking the money, and preferring the phone call unrecorded. Every time I use Wikipedia to read about something I know nothing about, I am blown away by its potential power for more effective global understanding and advance through email communication by any intelligent beings who are decidedly cheaper than lawyers. I've known many girls who could write better letters at high school at sixteen, for example. This comes cheap through comparatively clear and reliable orders, along with others that are as reliable or questionable in writing, or not. Comparatively good order has a slow press but is vital for good public administration. I always wonder what the guys who are paid so increasingly much at Macquarie Bank are doing. I think the Treasurer, the Reserve Bank, the former PMs, the journalists, the pretenders and the rest should give us a better idea.

COMMIE LOVERS AND JEW LOVERS UNITE (FROM ONE LOVER TO ANOTHER WITH DOLLY)

THE ESTIMATION OF RISK IS FAR FROM AN ACCURATE BUSINESS SO LANGUAGE ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS ALONG THE PRODUCTION WAY SHOULD ACCOMPANY MATHEMATICS. (I HAVE BEEN BITTER SINCE THEY REJECTED MY COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS TO DO NUMBERS.)

THE GREATEST RISKS TO OUR SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT ARE PERHAPS FROM FIRE, FLOOD, CONSTRUCTION OR PERSONAL COLLAPSE, OR OTHER SOCIAL DISORDER. THE GREATEST RISKS TO LAND AND ITS NATURAL PRODUCT APPEAR LEGION. FARMERS MAY GO FORTH AND FREE THE EARTH FROM UNNATURAL PESTS SO THE NATIVE SPECIES AT LEAST HAVE A CHANCE OF EFFECTIVE REPRODUCTION RATHER THAN DISAPPEARING FROM OUR KEN.

TOURISTS OFTEN LOVE TO SEE FEEDING THE KANGAROOS, KOALAS, WOMBATS, CROCODILES, BIRDS AND FISH THE BEST OF ALL NATURAL HABITAT SIGHTS. I KNOW I DO, BEFORE THE GUYS DESTROY THE LOT WITH KIDS. STAND UP FOR GOOD ZOOS AND EXTEND THEM WITH SINGAPORE AND ELSEWHERE, FOR GOOD INTERNATIONAL EXAMPLE (DON'T TAKE US TO THE LOLLY FACTORIES AND SHOPS IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA AGAIN)

As a woman I have always taken the wonders of science and technology for granted, so probably appear particularly stupid to many today. I take the stability of a bridge, or switching on a light, or flushing or running water from a tap, for granted. I am a fearful fool about the scientific and technological powers and have only ever met them in mathematics at school and in working for a living in various forms of administrative and legal endeavour. I am guided in my views on science historically and simply, by **Churchill's Bomb** (2013) and by visiting Hiroshima and by books and movies like **Oppenheimer**, for example. We know that scientists from all over the world spread the knowledge and experience of how to murder millions of people to shut them all up fast and that this weapon and other war construction has been the primary wealth and scientific endeavour leading to many new careers in the normal state and its shadow funds. I feared and ignored maths all my life and know why. They are the world's most elitist, dominating, controlling discourse and we are beneath them. Just ask Adam on TV why he dropped out of maths. He won't talk?

We know that in war, commerce and law, the benefits of secrecy are taken for granted and code-breaking becomes a major pre-occupation, rather than democratic surveillance and treatment to protect populations and improve land. By historical comparison, Australian aborigines haven't had a bad historical trot and Marcia Langton in particular should lighten up a lot. I later address the work of Professor Michelle Simmons and potential Chinese collaboration in related international contexts of development following WHO directions. Traditionally, men have used their women and kids like their cattle or shield and it isn't hard to show this is so. As a real anthropologist as distinct from a grey ideologue you should perhaps try it, Marcia. Otherwise, it seems to me a gift is too tailored to legal limitations.

From this perspective, which is global and regional first, the referendum was to me a great success as I learned a lot more about what I find interesting. Just for the record, Australia has had some great Jews in government and competition matters. I admired Peter Wilenski and Fred Hilmer for example. The former brought equal opportunity legislation and practice to the Commonwealth and NSW public services, after the Whitlam government. Hilmer wrote **National Competition Policy** in 1993, ran newspapers and was an academic who ended up as Vice Chancellor at UNSW. He is dead today for all I know and will probably not be nearly enough missed for the typical reducing band of Jews and family descendants.

Hilmer memorably defined competition in economic history terms which were soon lost again to older and more mysteriously entrenched views of the court or tribunal about the natural joys and laws of competition in trading. In my reasonably economically literate opinion, Microsoft, the US people and others were robbed of better service, when Microsoft was broken up by anti-trust law, instead of broader service deals being done by government with Microsoft Office for plain language communication related to law or not. Microsoft Office and email were natural platforms for government and industry to give better service to populations everywhere, instead of the shower of legal shit that we have ended up with since. The Competition and Consumer Commission and Macquarie Bank anti-competitive practices were drawn to earlier attention in this global service context of stressing managed competition. Ideally this is government service to its people first, supported by competition.

On the other hand, if indigenous Australians want to see some dying races, they need look no further than Aryans, Jews, Japanese, South Koreans, Russians and others like us who have stopped breeding comparatively unsustainably, at least in some comparative terms. Some of us are comparatively rich, healthy and living in cleaner and greener environments already and as a result, perhaps, we don't want to change much. The idea of racial identity has long been questionable, however, as we have been genetically getting more mixed up for centuries while usually keeping it quiet. Are Japanese, Koreans or Russians any more racist than us Aryans, Jews and Australian aborigines? I dunno. What do aborigines think?

Covid was a game changer in 2020 and I think it was a great experience in retrospect. Mobility while eating, drinking and popping painkillers while lying flat on the couch watching TV or playing with the iphone, has a challenging, potentially revolutionary effect on many of us, especially, perhaps, if ending up homeless or living with other folks. For example, I explain my continuing life disability by fear and hatred of the mobile phone taking over instead of writing, as speech alone is comparatively stupid for good record keeping. In housing it has been suggested to me, for example, that strata managers will keep their

management data for a place for 7 years before they chuck it in and start afresh perhaps. They must be kidding, as I discuss attached in regard to health and housing insurance. The life and death of Robert Maxwell when his global communication ventures blew up, including the superannuation for the workers' pensions, is a lesson, for example. I can't wait for Weaven and a lot more of the older East Coast kids I grew up with to tell us what they are going to do about it. I bet those in Western Australia have some great ideas. (If you can speak up on TV do so. We can see writing was often hard for men and a few sound women.)

If I were you, I would ask the Treasurer, Jim Chalmers, to ask the Reserve Bank to have some discussions in memory of fabulous Australian Jewish banker, James Wolfensohn. When he was President of the World Bank in Washington, he often said, *"Before you go to market save an egg for the baby"*. I thought he was great, especially in the period when I found Stiglitz and Muet in **Papers from the Annual Bank Conference on Development Economics** by accident in Fisher Library, when photocopying in 2001. I am a woman who quivers with joy while reading a good book or report and this did it for me. The wonders of Microsoft Office for any kind of filing activity cannot possibly be overstated still. I have thought about the benefits of good filing and reproduction since the age of fifteen. Somebody should give strata managers a few tips because God knows they need them. I can go back in my files to places you wouldn't know about here since 1994, for example. Old timers hate you IT kids so much because you haven't a clue what happened before 7 years ago. (That's a fact, Jack, in spite of the counter-factual that we all loved **The Newsreader** because we saw it here.)

I SWOT, IP AND IT: MOTHER OF ONE AND GRANDMA OF ONE ON SOME RECENT MANAGEMENT COMMUNICATIONS NOW RELATED TO THE AFR, ABC TV AND OTHERS

We are the people of NSW and others beyond, one way or another, and want our governments to serve us reasonably well in our roles as community members and consumers, as well as producers who are better organised to pursue their own particular interests financially or not. Regional analysis serves populations better than professional analysis can or will alone in regard to serving any stated purpose or mission, whether our views change later or not. The SWOT analysis, may be part of any organisational plan of action in helping to identify **Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats** in the particular regional environments any government or related bodies are supposedly trying to serve. Development direction may then be conceptualised and defined more effectively to follow and openly estimate the outcomes of the services chosen by the consumers.

As a retired public servant and academic with superannuation for most of my working life, I have avoided a lot. I praise Australian government and schools and broadcasting and the demand for research or writing a lot, for giving me and many others stable, well-paid, interesting work, throughout my life. Since I retired, however, my attitude has been that anything I write is my own intellectual property (IP) and I will freely send it to anybody I like for free, because it's reach is potentially further and cheaper that way. Mothers try to tell the truth for free and so should we if we want. Otherwise, IT (information technology) seems more like selling technology adopted by those rich enough to rig the market in the favour of those who call lying free speech. They advise those without lawyers to shut up. I thought MTV was a great entertainment model, however, as far as I enjoyed and saw the

music performed at the gym. Then the screens were doctored for competitive sports and arseholes threw me out for complaining. (They didn't do that at the pub in Alice Springs when I complained about their Anzac Memorial making me sick, after they'd asked me.)

The regional outcomes of the recently failed Australian referendum on an aboriginal Voice in the Constitution and to parliament are interesting in this national research context, which may also operate equally in many regions, or differently or not, as the particular case may be. I have not worked in the market consciously much, except to want what I thought I had purchased to be easily available, durable and cheap. However, like some indigenous or migrant or sick or disabled or old people or mothers with kids, I guess this now would easily become the chief source of any aspect of my increasing pain and anxiety. Like many others, I guess, I try increasingly to avoid it by lying flat to escape those working in teams, especially on iphones. (Email loonies often use many different numbers to identify the same whinging person or complaint. No wonder the public service is seldom done fast, let alone well. I guess people like Bill Ferris wouldn't know, because they've got a woman to do it for him. (In Melbourne, Gary Weaven has a whole big team, for example, edited by Bill Shannon.)

I refer to the article abridged in the AFR from the professional journal **Foreign Affairs** by Sydney University academic James Curran, entitled **Does China really want to attack Australia?** (AFR 13.10.23, 4-5R). Surely the answer is **No**. The Chinese Communist Party and their normal associations have simply been too busy doing more important things to advance their families and communities of interest. If this were not the demonstrable case, they would otherwise be closer to starving or chaos, like other parts of the war-torn world. They still appear full of potential boy soldiers and more young guys with guns or bombs or landmines they may itch to test or use on something or somebody or not, as the case may be. Border affairs also appear on greatest disaster courses in large desert populations.

I guess Curran agrees with Jessica Chen Weiss, also writing in **Foreign Affairs**. She pointed out that "*peaceful reunification*" is the Chinese Communist Party "*first choice*" and the US aligned part of the world should be wary of the idea of Chinese invasion becoming a self-fulfilling prophecy. Curran writes that Australian PM Anthony Albanese has repeatedly impressed on journalists that Australia's trade with China is more than its trade with the US, Japan and South Korea combined. Australian Foreign Minister, Penny Wong, has apparently pressed the US to do more to reduce the risk of conflict with China and to make engagement a core alliance priority. Curran thinks Canberra should therefore *pursue a regional, economic-based agreement that embraces military, climate, food and energy security and that might be crafted around existing institutions*. He accordingly underlines the need for a thorough, balanced discussion of the "*China threat*" (sic.)

When elephants fight the grass is trampled unless it is desert already and they have left or are dead like untold others before. Big men and others could cut their legal costs by at least 50% in year one, by dispensing with contract lawyers. Their assumptions and relations appear outdated from many global scientific and particular regional perspectives which more openly depend of our variable states and aspirations, for example. These matters are addressed attached in regard to local, state or national operations conceptualised in

regional, rather than professional terms topped by lawyers as usual. Try serving the people better as the elected NSW government tried and failed to do in the 1980s.

Regional direction has been undermined by proliferating new standards and lawyers and their associated compensation costs since. Curran writes collective security can work for the respective states only if the great powers can put aside their national interests. Curran's view of national interests which appear to lead repeatedly to violence and degradation of the lives of others is like Curran and the US Constitution, largely outdated and out of place. I commend the picture of Australian ambassador Kevin Rudd waving to readers, seated with small girls and a boy on the same AFR page. Add our beloved marsupials to this mix and one sees that better planning and care for land which is broader than our own appears on a collision course with many past legal and industrial views. They may appear forced forever in Constitutions which appear no better or worse than the more changeable laws beneath.

Gary Weaven is sometimes credited with being a key architect of the Australian industry superannuation funds. He originally came from the large and powerful transport workers union. Senator Sheldon has taken up many related transport concerns in Labor government. When the national superannuation guarantee legislation was passed in 1992, it came in through enterprise bargaining agreements in major trade union shops and beyond. All employers were henceforth expected to pay and invest for the retirement welfare of the ongoing members of the industry fund, and its related beneficiaries. The key stakeholders (major beneficiaries) of any funds collected are expected to be the fund members, their dependant family members and others in Australia. This is the future of funds management for more stable investment directed in the service of Australians and others across the world led for a long time by China and the US, with the rest, I guess. Operational risks appear best managed openly and together and without lawyers because those who wish to serve the people of any country can do better than that you can bet. (Peter Cook's coal-miner and I knew that was true of a judge and it would get a laugh.)

Nixon Apple, who was my partner for ten years in Sydney, until he went to Melbourne, to continue his work with the Australian manufacturing workers union and the Australian Council of Trade Unions (ACTU) surfaced to my consciousness again, after I lost him at Christmas in 1993. In 2019, I heard the Queen had bestowed honours on Nixon for work to support superannuation and trade unions. In 2020 he was the national honours pick for services to superannuation and trade unions. Why won't Nixon Apple talk to me about this current land service and manufacturing stuff? It is not as if he hasn't known me. Doesn't he think I'm worth speaking to? Why not? I think he should speak to me about ongoing affairs and would be very grateful for anything you can do to facilitate a meeting between us in Melbourne or Sydney. Doesn't he want to learn more of what the truth may be for himself? I would greatly appreciate anything you or others can do to resolve this before Xmas 2023.

Greens, teals, and other rich Labor and inner-city dwellers, (black or white, or brown, for example), would know many old left-wing mums and dads. (Commie lovers and Jew lovers unite for a filmed examination looking back, near water. **Who won in real life?** I wonder and address this later in our continuing examination of trust and the culture wars. Can they be trusted to do what? We materialists may want to ask the pollster about the trust issues.

If we trust (or deem) our father and community good, is it because they are good, comparatively speaking, or because we are too stupidly inexperienced to know the difference between good and bad fathers and communities, comparatively speaking, for example. I address the **Andrew Olle Media** lecture that Leigh Sales, ABC TV host, gave later and her concerns about the journalistic life. If she thinks I will trust a person just because they read news on ABC TV or present the 7.30 report, and can differentiate between fact and fiction she is loony. I need more than one person to trust anything they put before me and we know the media work with a government agenda which comes from the US, because otherwise media wouldn't spend so long discussing stupid topics as if we were too scared or stupid to go beyond openly. I agree with the common Australian sentiment that the voters always get their voting right, at least in the case of the census or last referendum on greater aboriginal representation in law, because this is the product of helpful research. That is why the next referendum should be on whether we are idealists or materialists.

In love we may trust; or while having great confidence in the particular capacity to perform the particular task, comparatively speaking. That is why I call an electrician or plumber, for example. I can't fix it myself and I might be able to find somebody who can. They are likely to be male. Otherwise bring data, and I've absolutely no problems with bugs, emails, etc. I write as an old woman who loves watching the **National Press Club** on ABC TV, both for the specialist expert speeches on topics that I usually know little or nothing about but which are of contemporary concern. This is vital news. I evaluate the presentations and general potential of the performance and performer by how well the great questions of journalists are answered. The tendency to shy away from any questions in university or related community gatherings is anti-intellectual and elitist to the point of idiocy in my opinion. Tweet, tweet yourselves silly by all means, if you only have the confidence of disruption. However, the numbers for TV are less vital than the knowledge gained by the comparatively few, perhaps, who like their entertainment with meat, rather than the usual sporty fluff.

One goes forward often by explaining and sharing knowledge of what might or might not be best in regard to Australian direction as a whole. I am sick of guys who I think should talk to me freely as academics or practitioners, hiding so as to avoid it. I can never avoid asking the question of why they palm me off on a woman, when I'm asking them about something important to me. There is a reason I tend to approach men before women. It is because I don't mind it so much if what I say upsets a man, but I feel awful if it upsets a woman. This is because I knew how much my mother had to deal with already. This feeling might be summed up popularly in any generation as bearing a certain joy in sticking it to the man. (I guess Joe Aston knows the feeling a lot better than I do, but he's just got the AFR boot. They need more tough and intelligent women on that paper, even if it's only Amanda Stoker.

This has happened to me my whole life and I guess you too have this big problem with key men all over Australia. What do you suggest? (This goes to the heart of how a lot of conflict may be best avoided or at least held up for longer. I address these matters later in regard to the assisted dying legislation which has recently been passed in NSW. These guys are just liars as usual? In this connection, I suggest the new reality TV show **Who Won in Real Life?** This is a comparative life assessment with numbers by the already old, totting up numbers.

From regional, as well as the above national perspectives, the Australian relationship with China appears to be a development opportunity, rather than a threat. The notion of global relationship and mutual service obligation globally or regionally entails recognition of the rights of others who think differently to exist, to serve the lot better. This is a pragmatic would-be materialist view which is perhaps like that of the cockeyed optimist depending on the weather. It enables beneficial trading, not destruction of the lives of many to advance the interests of the comparatively few, commanding the legal state with arms or not.

Chinese people in Hong Kong, Taiwan and elsewhere have pursued development together for many years, as well as in US relationships. Wikipedia outlines the huge global achievements of Chinese billionaire Jack Ma in this global light. His interest in communication began with learning English and he understands global and Australian culture. These appear to me to be the kind of associations we should try to make early, with people like Professor Michelle Simmons. I only saw her Boyer Lecture on the Atomic Revolution on ABC TV. Ask Dr Karl about it as he grew up in Wollongong with BHP, etc. Andrew Forrest might give some free tips about blue and green carbon along the coast.

IN BETTER DIRECTED COMPETITION, I LIKE GOVERNMENT AND QUANTUM COMPUTING AT UNSW. (DON'T PRESS ME ON THAT.)

I switched on the ABC TV broadcast of the Boyer Lecture by Professor Michelle Simmons on the **Atomic Revolution**, and was swept along in fascination. I had thought I would find the matter too obscurely frightening and irrelevant to my life and interests, as I see them with kids. However, she was brilliantly clear about what she and her team were doing and why, and what benefit she thought could come from this. She is the CEO of Silicon Quantum Computing and the Director at the Centre of Excellence for Quantum Computation and Communication Technology at the University of New South Wales. She was the inaugural Editor-in-Chief of Nature Quantum Information. I am pig-ignorant but that seems good.

To quote the ABC website, Simmons believes we are in the midst of the “*space race of the computing era.*” She is a pioneer in atomic electronics and a global leader in quantum computing. Her achievements include developing the world’s first single-atom transistor, the world’s narrowest conducting wires, the ability to encode and read information on single atoms and the world’s first integrated circuit made with atomic precision. She is a natural open role model with Chinese and related scientific and service interests in miniaturisation for storage purposes, I guess. On the other hand, I’ve seen who is in control of the communications in nursing homes and what they might be like. You will have to kill me to get my library of DVDs and the trusty Sony TV and DVD players I have loved for ages. I’m not just living here with **Aliens from Mars Attack** as grandma and grandson role models.

As a comparatively traditional old woman, without any knowledge or love for science and technology, just expecting whatever there is to work easily and well to support me, I found Michelle Simmons hugely refreshing. She was wonderfully clear in her explanations of the relationship between the science, the technology, and its application, put in global historical context. I’ve only ever seen or read of this being done before with the atomic bomb in World War II when scientists all turned up to share their knowledge with each other, on how to destroy everything below any plane dropping bombs. Then more arseholes got it.

Her presentation showed her pleasure and respect for the importance of the work to idiot girls like me everywhere. I almost wished I'd done science instead of shorthand typing and English. I never had any science but I did mathematics and the result was never particularly pretty. I always knew boys had the edge. Let science serve the people and not the boys.

In summary, from an Australian view which seeks to serve the people, the US should not lead us. The WHO perspective seems better, which may be that the more broadly open, reliable and cheap or free a service is, the better it will serve people. Microsoft Office, Wikipedia and many Australian research institutions, such as the Australian Bureau of Statistics or health department websites, provide good examples of the human potential for more informed service around the world through better statistics on social and other environment nature and service. US courts and lawyers broke Microsoft up in the supposed interests of greater secret competition supposed to serve us all equally. The reverse has instead been the case. On historical evidence give us more openness without lawyers.

Paradoxically, the guys who built the atomic bomb for love and money then shared their common knowledge with the most powerful regimes for murdering whoever any purchaser wants, on a money or career path. In killing and maiming life beneath for generations, they also worked in the interests of elected governments and funds serving many more people. This is instead of maiming, killing, poisoning or starving them and their lands and kids. As Marx saw, each movement bears the seeds of its own destruction so bring them up nice. Writing **Capital** in London, instead of working for the **New York Times**, Marx spoke, unfairly on our historical evidence now, of the comparative idiocy of rural production. Henry George wrote on land ownership and its production rents as sources of exploitation in any country. In my view these twin streams deserve a strong revival in our current times of change.

From this perspective, I would have thought that liberals everywhere would applaud when any lowly woman uses her particular gifts to elevate herself in marriage to the boss, or through someone similar. It's far quicker and easier for a lot of girls to reach the top that way in commercial terms, so why knock it, especially if they are honestly in love? Gee, I don't know. I guess the Liberals will have to ask their smart women like Julia Baird as usual. Corruption is often a moveable feast depending on the ideal or material ideology backing it. According to Marx, rightly or not, the difference between ideology and science is that the former is an inevitable justification to advance our particular being, but science seeks truth. On the other hand, I would be the last to regard propaganda as a dirty word. We would lose so many US movies and songs celebrating myths of rich career and promise in personal love.

In journalism, I address Leigh Sales performing for the Andrew Olle lecture on TV to question the distinction she makes between fact and opinion because it appears comparatively naïve to me. She called for journalists to have an open yet sceptical mind as if a good distinction can be made between fact and opinion. This seems to assume we all start from the same place (if not Oxbridge or New Yorker associations, then perhaps the Sydney North shore or Eastern suburbs private school environment and assumptions) which we don't. Our evidence is gained from our particular experience of the world. With democracy, one always has to start the analysis somewhere else, apart from institutional lawyers. Good crusading journalist are always the kind I've admired most because I'd hate to go there. (I'd rather sit at home and eat cake with supportive friends.) I don't think

journalists should worry so much about being popular because there are only 24 hours in a day and I think trying to attract a lowest common denominator produces unsightly schlock. Let the other channels who want it have it, by all means, as trust appears sensibly limited. I think that trust, like respect, is something we had for daddy when we were suitably little, and the more apparently trusted and respected dad was, the harder it may be to grow up.

Send in Kevin Rudd, the Australian ambassador to the US, who also knows more than the large majority of us about Chinese relations. I back him up with this analysis. I wish I could say I shall back him up but as an Australian I can't. What I will say is that I suggest inviting King Charles to Australia to address us on his future vision for the earth. By all means invite the Pope. Take them both to the Canberra Botanic Gardens, to advance the World-Wide Fund for Nature, the Encyclical on the Environment, (Laudato Si) and research in Australian and global interests openly and cheaply or free for future generations. Fiona Simson, formerly of the National Farmers Federation and Tany Plibersek, Minister for Environment and Water, independents, research scientists and others would love it, I guess. PM Albanese always looks suitable in company and I can only assume that Andrew Forrest and other Australian mining and sustainable development flagships would approve. One must take advantage of one's opportunities because doing so also reduces many threats to oneself. (Joan Wilder knew that in South America in the 1980s and others know it too.)

TRAVELLING GRANDMA THROWING IN THE TOWEL DUE TO STRESSED IPHONE DISABILITY

I love travel but not so much with aged Australian pair-bonds as a rule. The iPhone made everything worse in that the image and its cost takes over from the moment and the poetry of periodic reflection with or without others, I find. As a traveller I am deeply grateful to those who produced the image nevertheless because in my view of the culture wars it has so enriched the world. I have been reading Upton Sinclair's novel **Oil** and saw the movie, **There will be blood** again about inventing the car and finding oil in the US lead-up to WW1. I wished somebody would do the same for **Kangaroo**, or **Coonardoo**, or **Maurice Guest**, not the Oz/US streaming kids' dreams so much. (I mean that kindly.) I wonder how Chinese and other people of **Silk Road** and other ancient trading routes intend spending what I guess should be gigantic land and heritage budgets, fearing not enough is in the pot. Let us try to help fix it with more history documentaries about more excavation and attempted faithful reconstruction. The British, the French and other post-war Europeans bartered their lands for this beauty and I bet they know an enormous amount about it at the **Victoria and Albert**, **Kew Gardens** and all the other archival places we tourists love, particularly in Europe and their former colonies. However, I have no idea how tax works best with film. (So sue me?).

LYING ON THE COUCH RELATIVELY IMMOBILE WHILE STUFFING ONE'S FACE WITH POISON OR CRIPPLED IN BED AND BROKE FROM A LIFE OF COMPETITIVE SPORT OR WATCHING IT

YOU CAN'T BLAME SOME FOR WANTING A REST ESPECIALLY IF THEY ARE WOMEN, BUT WHAT ABOUT CHILDREN? HOW MUCH IS A PIECE OF STRING WORTH AND WHY?

In regard to bank deposit, payment, funding and investment as well as competition and its mathematics laws or not, I have been increasingly complaining broadly about the Macquarie

Bank actions with strata managers. They appear to create monopoly powers for the bank and to force payment systems against the interests of home owners, renters and many small businesses. I complain mainly because all the different IT payment methods and costs are confusing, expensive, and must give a lot of us the total shits. These are sold for whose convenience, remind us again? I therefore complained again to the Australian Financial Complaints Authority (AFCA). I have also addressed the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) on these matters at www.Carolodonnell.com.au under the Eco-development side-bar, under the poster for the Mao-Zedong Memorial Dance in 1978.

China opened up its economy to the US market and globally with Deng Xiaoping as leader. The one child policy also began in 1978. China is now logically engaged on major belt and road transport and infrastructure projects all over the world, openly or not. Australian film and documentary makers should try to help them spend the budget as part of their land, building and related history preservation processes because this is vital, or it goes, I guess. We should have green, watered and historic corridors along all routes as nature intended.

I think UNESCO have done a fabulous job in Mongolia, Cambodia, etc. whatever it cost. This preservation of the world record is the only antidote to big spread **Barbiworld** at Surfer's Paradise, although I haven't seen it, in case I walk out. At least the movie doesn't cost as much as a play or dinner and parking. A lot of us prefer a good documentary or foreign film on the couch. This is a hard story for younger ones to hear but a lot more of us won't be turning up. This is also a plea for more of what Lord Mayor Clover Moore and others have provided, a free good time, with frequent and cheap or free public transport in beautiful country to help all the learning about beautiful country and how to keep it nice by writing. Fishing and eating fish along the beautiful bushwalking coast, following Wollongong and the Illawarra as well as the Victorians spring to mind, for example, since I saw them last night on TV. I am reminded you can't beat fishing for learning about the land and how to care for it.

WHO WON IN REAL LIFE? TRY SOMETHING NEW ON TV BESIDES SPORT (I GIVE FREE TIPS)

The North Shore kids always do apology with such beautiful grace but I guess they will have to wait for older boomers to die off to get the houses and money. I sure hope they don't get cancer or anything else nasty first. However, the way they run their theatres seems unlikely to do good business broadly enough for those who are too sick, tired, or poor to go out at night, or who have lost the will to park. The answer lies, perhaps, in two different casts and performances – one in the day and one at night, so as to compare them. The keener punters might even see both casts without walking out. But I digress and will give competitive sport an equally balanced discussion later. (I hate it because I think it often disables people when they are young and not realizing they may bear the management of that physical pain and the related pain of expected career failure for the rest of their lives. Early career or marriage failure, on the other hand, can be fun and saves us all money.)

I bet dead Labor Party leader Bill Hayden converted to Catholicism at 90 to please his wife for the first time in her life, but what do media think about it? Boringly the same as US forces as usual? As a Marxist, I could have given better free tips to normal US circles than Alan Greenspan, had I ever known him and Ayn Rand, apart or together. Back in the day, I found I had to set my prize-winning engineering boyfriend straight about her first. **Who**

won in real life? I guess Alan Greenspan and all the usual US mates so give a free TV tip, thinking particularly of Dr Karl, the scientist on TV with all his usual funny tricks to cheer sick kids up, and his Wollongong background with BHP and the usual kids who can't do maths. In a global world writing is so last century, unless you're doing the HSC and it's in longhand.

Give me break arseholes. You know nobody can read longhand and it's stupid to do it. The kids have been introduced to the internet like Skinner's rats in a box for coding and winning the numbers for the usual guys we hate so much in gambling financial circles. This is primarily why I always encourage the girls to keep writing because confidence comes from knowledge and practice. Wikipedia has wonderful answers to our nasty, little, stupid, box questions. Tell boys in dresses to give them up? (I don't think so but penetrating skin with infectious blood or semen is a qualitatively different thing from speech and dressing up. I'd hoped all the guys who knew about AIDS might tell you the simple realities of life up front about this but apparently not. It messes with their pain numbers and the girls don't like it.)

However, this is a suggestion to fix it on the basis that we oldies will pop our clogs soon. As a member of **Dying with Dignity** I can't wait and later address the certification of doctors, who might perform under state assisted dying legislation passed recently in NSW and other states, but perhaps only for close family friends in secret, for reasons addressed attached. In journalism, I have genuinely admired Leigh Sales ahead of all the men in similar positions before her, as she was never such a cocky and limited upstart as the continuing male norms. She always seemed meticulously prepared in understanding and pursuing her topic.

But seriously, **Who won in real life?** This is a new reality TV show where old former partners meet up to discuss the topic after years apart while trying to be reasonably honest. Then they grade the outcome by numbers in a variety of informed fields, before writing it on a coaster. (Sam Neill and Brian Brown did this on TV without the numbers recently and I loved **Palm Beach**, but they are all actors, used to it). **Who won in real life?** is more like **You can't ask that** (but more impressively educational as we will use numbers to do it). Episodes of **Australian story**; or my personal favourite, **Kitchen Cabinet** also spring to mind as great but inferior TV products in the sense that they don't have the numbers involved.

Let old rich men and women, if you can winkle them out from their lawyers and fund managers open these personal financial matters up with each other on screen before death, so others watching TV learn something beyond how to get babied and fleeced while moving towards death faster than you'd like because you've made yourself so sick. I know I have. This proposal for a TV reality show called **Who won in real life?** will be learning for Australian self-protection against key hazards of work and play, addressed later. In mental health, hazards often relate to air, road, or sea murder or accident during travel; other building and construction explosion or collapse in a particular place; land mines and the gun trade, gambling and drugs, business collapse, and fire or flood. These losses, including pain and suffering, perhaps from a broken heart, appear as simple key examples of risks that are too expensive to deal through the same old insurance systems and drugs, legal or not. Meanwhile, the rich send their kids to expensive schools in nicer places, buying up homes. (Good on us and give aborigines a chance while calling the others racist? I don't think so.)

Who won in real life is an opportunity for a great cheap new documentary where we learn a about our views of the past and how we should go forward to death. What have you got to lose with cheap open education suggestions like this? Surely you have a right to learn openly and personally from the bank of mums and dads about land, superannuation, safety, health, housing and other fund management? Stand by and watch others film ex-partners talking together to personally evaluate the roles of women and men better after all these years. Just as a matter of interest to those with stone-benchtops, men have known about the dangers of working with stone since the 14th century. (They prefer the money as usual but I guess professionals don't like talking about money because it suggests they are crude.)

Warning to the wise: Don't let them take out your stone benchtop if you already got it. The strata managers now try to find asbestos in inner city gardens yearly if you let them. I can only guess they chuck it somewhere in the bush with all the rest while making another motza close to homes where people really care and are truly bleeding. Ask the guys running the money who set up the funds. How are they doing? Rich and smoothly connected with the passing partners and kids, and/or alcoholic and immobile at the pokies, waiting to die?

I address this later but I think it's a great idea to suggest **Who won in real life?** to the ABC board. I guess many far-flung families help each other or have trusted lawyers and accountants outside the families or associations doing it. Help the old former partners who worked for a living discuss who won. Tot up figures. Ask guys like Gary Weaven how to do it openly instead of lying and hiding what is going on to call it legal and help mates out. (Sorry, if this is seeming rude. The World Vision questionnaire I got today made me throw up.)

ON PLEASURE AND RESPECT FOR THE WORK OF MAKING MEN AND WOMEN INTO SEX OBJECTS THROUGH GLOBAL STUDY OF THEIR BROADER REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTS

At 76 I have read the **Australian Financial Review** (AFR) and **Sydney Morning Herald** (SMH) on most days since I retired from teaching in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University in 2007. What better news is there? I read them almost daily for decades before the global financial crisis of 2008, the election of Kevin Rudd as Australian Prime Minister (PM), and the smart-phone entered the mass market together. So did many new babies, shitting and pissing and leaving their nappies all over the joint without good bathrooms and kitchens, stone benchtops or not. Globally, more of us escaped infectious diseases by taking for granted more clean water, bathroom plumbing, cooking and lighting power, within good housing. All became an expected part of life with contraception and cars, (singular or plural). Today high risks to our health appear far fewer but we appear more fearfully disabled. The WHO lead immunization in this global direction which seems vital again since so many of us appear to be travelling one way or another, due to wars and related stuff.

It cheers me to think both the SMH and AFR have improved in general quality and coverage of regional, national and international community affairs over that time. I think that should be pointed out to Rupert Murdoch's family and others because the Australian alliance with US Constitutional principles and those which reflect them in the International Declaration of Human Rights cannot serve the people well enough for more than half the world. I'm sorry, but this is heavy stuff related to the views of Republican Jews you can't take seriously. David Kemp and Wikipedia have just introduced me to Andrew Breitbart, another dead Republican

reptile like PJ O'Rourke or Peter Ruehl in Australia. O'Rourke started as a Marxist but found no money in it. I often learn most from initial opposition which is why I may take to it but God know what news magnate Robert Maxwell was thinking before his unexpected death.

Australians are lucky to have great national free to air radio and TV, especially SBS, because of its multi-cultural character and translation potential in an increasingly global society and economy. However, the age of the internet which came into the popular Australian consciousness and usage with the desk and laptop computers in the 1980s, then gave way to the smart-phone and to trading increasingly defined internationally by US and related social media. Technology sale and business management systems which facilitate more individual and associated family or community trading costs, posing as services, have been encouraged instead. This has often occurred through associated private sector, government and charitable backing or contribution, given in private or not. Global inequality has increased and Australian productivity has slowed since, in spite of the supposed potential for personal and financial liberation by smart-phones and financial payment and trading technologies multiplying. They face the comparatively rich and poor customers alike. (If mother didn't say to try to grab a rich man with good prospects earlier, she should have.)

These are now times of greater global upheaval, as growing youth cohorts with internet access to the US dream hear more stories of their historic dispossession and also look where they may go for a better deal. For example, the Australian referendum was on the same weekend Israel was attacked by terrorists from Gaza and is now in retaliation mode. The slaughter of people in sub-Saharan deserts and elsewhere is increasingly routine as boy populations expand with access to guns. As a former NSW public servant now retired, I learned regional approaches to government and serving the people locally and nationally as well as regionally under Labor and Liberal Premiers, in the 1980s and 90s. Give them a chance as the normal professional expectations appear unlikely to produce well enough. What we need now is different upward and downward mobility by those who need to see it.

Back in the day, **Yes Minister** showed us the problems we too faced then and now in an increasingly medico-legal state ruled by commercial in confidence expectations and related numerical assumptions drawn from elsewhere to maintain existing jobs and career paths. In discussions attached. I show how to cut lawyers costs by 50% in year 1 in any project plan or contract. As **Yes Minister** showed, there is too much ugly fat piling up and remaining or increasing at the centres indefinitely in most bureaucratic government and other operation. The writing technology to change is here but avoidance is encouraged for legal reasons. This maintains many associations unreasonably in the light of past public expenditure on the building of empires related for centuries, and how they may appear likely to be carried on. **Utopia** shows a related sets of problems here, since the new technological fix got wilder.

For example, if you want to see a truly objectionable restraint of trade and service led by doctors, rather than lawyers as usual, I refer you to consideration of recently passed assisted dying legislation in this state, as discussed attached. From the official NSW Health website information on the implementation of Voluntary Assisted Dying, each death appears to require three (3) medical doctors to achieve it, and they will need to have appropriate evidence for what they confirm or recommend in the appropriate state

records. It seems to me that the doctor shortage referred to on the NSW Health website, could reasonably easily be solved by allowing appropriately experienced and trusted **social workers, nurses, pharmacists, psychotherapists, physiotherapists, dentists or suitably qualified others** to do the medical and other proof of condition and clerical or record keeping tasks which appear vital for voluntary assisted death, especially in regional areas.

In the regional rather than hierarchical professional context, which poses as team based or not, let us get back to our notions of open service because that is the only civilizing way now to protect future generations. This is addressed attached in regard to the Australian future after the referendum on an aboriginal Voice in the Constitution and to parliament.

I write as a member of **Dying with Dignity**, a mother of one and a grandmother of one. There will be no more of us monkeys writing on this branch, you can tell Ishmael Bean, whether he has now found a good job with the UN and a new rich US mother, or not. I address Joe Aston's leaving the AFR later in this global context, apparently to be with his family, while wishing him all the best. He can work with me freely in future, almost anyhow he likes, preferably with my knowledge. (I see myself as an old-fashioned chimera of the kind he refers to in his swansong social analysis in **Rear Window**, entitled **Signing Off: I've had riotous fun.**) He extends his last column to several pages, for example (AFR 11.10.23 p. 36). I address his family and Mylie Cyrus later to make some points about death. Being a still youngish man, he may not have realised this sufficiently yet. Whenever they write about bonds and yields, I can't understand it, so one of us has to get better. I will be waiting for **Weaven Words** and hoping it is not just largely another load of blether. Surprise me.

As British colonies, the legal presumptions which rule us here are legal privileges which are still established under the principles of the Crown. Australians need to discuss their relationship and opportunities with China more openly and broadly, especially starting at the top. As former PM Paul Keating pointed out, if the British Commonwealth of nations did not exist, we would not have to invent it, but since it is here already, we might as well try to use it. We all appear comparatively incapable of serving the people of this region as well as can be expected but can try more openly so more of us may learn cheaper or free. Canada, New Zealand and those with other histories may learn globally together in citizen science.

On Joe Aston's departure from writing **Rear Window** with others in the AFR, let me admit that the animal in me always found him admirably brave, clever and funny. They are a comparatively rare and dangerous combination so I would truly hate to see him replaced by somebody like his earlier colleague, Peter Ruehl, the Australian poor man's PJ O'Rourke. Ruehl's far less objectionable or funny wife, Jennifer Hewitt, still occupies page 2 of the AFR today, in a newspaper which still has far too few women writers for development interests. One need only watch the **National Press Club** questions and answers to see that. I thought Ruehl, like PJ O'Rourke, was nasty but carefully funny. Then he apparently died suddenly and unexpectedly, at least in the view of an average reader, like me. I wondered what killed him. I think when men die suddenly, readers should know how. I find health and fitness fascinating subjects and nearly as important as death but the professionals have always got the inside running on the lot. Ask them much more about their death management.

Joe Aston writes on leaving the AFR that *'you can't be a good journalist if you need to be loved, at least in an interpersonal sense'*. He then states *all you've got in the end is your family and your health'*, adding that he is blessed with both. He recalls the words of Miley Cyrus as a distinguished philosopher, approvingly, especially when she apparently said, *I can love me better than you can'*. The key difference I guess is that Miley Cyrus could say that because she had more money than Joe and wasn't supporting a wife and children in the style to which they had all become accustomed. With or without Joe the AFR has come a long way but it still has a long way to go. Where is he and Leigh Sales going? Any ideas?

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION ON DIRECTION AFTER THE REFERENDUM

As a common chimera and new talking monkey, I invite any coalition of interests in better, cheaper or free experimentation which I address in relation to aboriginal and broader affairs attached. This is to guard against unnecessary and undesirable risks, which normally involve far too many lawyers of every stripe operating in secret according to pre-scientific and pre-democratic rules. This makes it increasingly hard to help anything or anybody work and manage well. The more one replaces lawyers in an international or local environment, while openly seeking and trying to meet regional and individual needs better instead, the more powerful light should shine on all our service. One addresses these matters again attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au

The Australian state should serve all its people, including atheists like me but does not. The ramifications of this are addressed in the attached discussions, with particular reference to assisted death and why I should be able to get it more easily than doctors and lawyers propose. At 76 it also matters for the treatment of many people in the world who come from atheist or other persuasions, as people of any particular books, or not, as the cases may be. Assisted dying legislation that was recently passed in this state remains a pointed continuing illustration and struggle, in regard to problems addressed earlier and attached. I reject the Jewish or Christian lawyer or service as oppressive to greater numbers of others. It is the lawyers that more broadly cognisant lawyers know I don't like. Let the rest of us sit upon them and unhelpful doctors thoroughly. (I hope Gary Weaven and the kids notice.)

In the past, after Pope Francis released his **Encyclical on the Environment (Laudato Si)**, I widely sought an invitation to him to visit the Australian National Gardens in Canberra because I have always loved what is being produced there, as well as in many other beautiful places shown regularly for a great many years on **Gardening Australia** and **Landline**, on ABC TV, for example. However, nobody replied, including God. The failure of the referendum on the indigenous voice would be an excellent time to invite the King to the gardens to discuss the World-Wide Fund for Nature in this context. We must understand the way the Biodiversity Conservation Trust and related institutions, such as Bush Heritage, ideally might support this internationally. I guess there are plenty of Australian people who would happily work freely, as I and others often do. I address the broadest lot I can see.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, Glebe, Sydney www.Carolodonnell.com.au