

Hello Hire-Up Help Centre,

**YOUR WEBSITE AND THE NDIS: THE CONCEPTUALISATION OF SERVICES, THEIR OUTCOMES AND RELATED COSTS**

I am sorry to be seen as quibbling about your website from your point of view, but I argue that the Hire-Up Help Centre conceptualisation of mental health is wrong in its conceptual account below. This can easily promote endless and unrealistic cost increases in drugs and surgery which is not good for people's health and safety if their problem appears environmental. Specifically, the Hire-Up Help Centre website states:

*Before getting into the details, it's important to understand that mental health, like a lot of things to do with health, has a very broad spectrum. At one end of the spectrum, we experience positive and fulfilling emotions, while at the other end, we could experience a diagnosable mental health condition, like depression or anxiety.*

The single life may be treated along a spectrum according to its length. However, it appears foolish to pretend that emotions can be intelligently analysed along a spectrum, rather than in a total environment the individual shares with others. Yours is the medical model of health whereas a better one, as described below and attached, was established by the World Health Organisation in 1946. It was better because it focused on development of the environment in which the sick or apparently damaged body is located. At 76 I will die soon, for example, and I am old enough to choose when I want to go for my own financial and related reasons. Tell the idiots to stop increasingly pushing me around like I'm their property with financial strings attached, demented or not. See below and attached for further information.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank Street, Glebe, Sydney 2037  
[www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

To the Aged Care Task Force, Australian national and state representatives, the Property Council of Australia and others

**NATIONAL HEALTH CARE AND COMMUNICATION PRINCIPLES IDEALLY LEAD REGIONAL TEACHING AND MANAGEMENT FOR LAND AND HOUSING REHABILITATION AND DEVELOPMENT (I HAVE EXPLORED THIS CONTENTION IN LAND AND HOUSING SINCE MOVING HERE IN 1994)**

*Pardon me if I'm sentimental when we say good-bye (Elvis)*

*Jogging along with a heart full of song and a rifle and a volume of the law (Sugarfoot)*

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank Street, Glebe,  
Sydney [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

**IF YOU WANT TO SEE A LOT OF ANGRY DYING, YOU AIN'T SEEN NOTHING YET (NO DOUBT ON BEHALF OF SOME SISTERS OF PERPETUAL INDULGENCE SOMEWHERE ACROSS THE GLOBE, ONE RECOMMENDS THE REGIONAL PROJECT AND RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH TO LAND AND ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDED IN CARING AND RELATED MANAGEMENT MATTERS FOR DEVELOPMENT AND REHABILITATION).**

In July 2023, millennial aged care and sports minister, Anika Wells, addressed the National Press Club on ABC free to air TV, about the lack of ambition in aged care service policy and delivery. I totally agree and argue in attachments that death is a hugely important and poorly developed trading opportunity for regional improvement in the quality of life in Australian regions and in others related globally or not. I read that former Labor leader, Simon Crean, has just now died at 74 and wonder why and how it happened. Did he want to go? I guess that his going early saved his children and the government a packet. When Bob Marley died at 36, however, he had so many kids he knew about or had no idea about, that he never bothered to make a will. Religious culture, such as we have, leads to more and nastier gang culture.

I address indigenous people in related historical contexts later to argue against a Voice in the Constitution as it sends stupidly wrong messages. At the National Press Club this week, former Liberal Senator and now Age Discrimination Commissioner, Kay Paterson, pointed out the estimate that when the Baby Boomer generation is dead, \$3.5 trillion in property and related assets will be left for the next much smaller generation to use. Throw in the dead body and it could be much more in my rule of thumb. (Unless, one can only assume, the old die in debt. God knows what happens then?) Kay Patterson apparently spent eight years trying to get state Attorney's General to come to agreement on harmonizing their powers in national standards and related matters and thinks it's vital. She wants harmonisation of power of attorneys to shake things up. I've been there and it's a big waste of time and money that will go on forever if you let it, holding you up, like Taylor Swift and her related ticket scalpers, who Bill Shorten has now attacked for key reasons, I guess.

I spent ten years in NSW government bureaucracy in the 1980s and 1990s trying to achieve harmonization in industrial relations matters, supposedly supporting occupational health and safety, workers rehabilitation and compensation, supported by pooled insurance funds. I concluded harmonization was wrongly wasted time, let alone trying to get it, because the particular interests in the land which is under State Constitutions will just quibble on at great expense. Equally, I concluded AG or other harmonization involved a "one size fits all legal approach" which does nothing for the more grounded. Only the lawyers and their financial mates win as usual and they will hang onto their power at the state levels and extend them with every particle of breath, while silencing every other person as they like by their stupid veiled language and concerns. I address better regional operations later. Commercial in confidence procedures have never been equipped to tackle them honestly for people.

Competition is addressed later in this light and with the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission attached, hating the Macquarie bank and their mates. I never understand the lots and their alignments, as I tend to avoid the lot for self-protection. (You can easily see how great the home and its environment may be if you enter my townhouse in Glebe. Only the neighbours' count?)

This Aged Care Taskforce has been set up by the junior federal minister from Queensland, to advise the Prime Minister about aged care development in future, during 2023. The minister's mother was in the National Press Club audience on TV and apparently worked in aged care nursing for many years. I bet her family learned together about the caring industry very well, compared to most of the men sitting back to manage the financial benefits or losses and related operations for nursing home shareholders, residents, fund members or others in representative management roles. Workforce shortages, according to the minister and her mother are always the biggest issue.

I'm not surprised. if construction industry interests don't have power to start a project how they like, they may stop or drag it out, including in construction and management of aged care. The health and safety of the building comes first and the bigger the contracts the better. The rarer one's skilled labour is, the more money one can make. Unions, like most of us, like to see competition but not for the jobs of others here first? The trade union movement exists to serve the collective workers of the state. Their actions have helped produce a comparatively broad and wealthy welfare state. However, many basic principles, such as *'last in, first off'* lack merit in any better development to serve the people. Let the chips fall where they may and personally, I hope some of them fall on Kim Beazley. (A lot of these guys aren't too bright?)

However, understanding the characteristics of people with dementia is important for making money or just saving it for yourself instead of having others manage it for you. Then there are those like my sister, who is now bedridden, because of lost capacity and strength in both hands and arms as well as legs and feet. It is important to ensure that adequate and appropriate care is available as Australia's older captive population is growing rapidly with time. Forty percent of people in nursing homes have no visitors and I can understand that. It often seems a cruel waste of time. The demographic trend in all the most productive economies, is for the old to live longer and for the young to have fewer children, assisted by medicine and surgery. One wonders how an indigenous Voice in the Constitution or parliament should relate to family planning, law and order policies and other caring applied in indigenous populations or to legal or illegal immigrants. As an atheist I can deal with that. One often wonders exactly what has made the Australian Labor Party so gutless, stupid and obnoxious in terms of gaining any capacity to serve its own population better in the truer terms of its own history and people. Was it rural Catholic lawyers and others working on the railways who were the greatest problems? (There's nothing I would rather be than an Australian aborigine always springs to mind.)

The Australian Bureau of Statistics states that dementia care is core to aged care with more than 50% of people in residential care living with dementia. The disease, (including Alzheimer's disease), is a collection of numerous symptoms caused by disorders affecting the brain, leading to health complications and death<sup>5</sup>. In 2017, dementia was the leading cause of death for women and the third leading cause of death for men in Australia<sup>6</sup>. The proportion of people living in residential aged care with dementia increased with age, from almost one quarter of all men and women aged 50-64 years, to around half of those aged 85 years. One needs to have made one's will before one becomes demented, or matters will be left in the hands of lawyers, however encountered. For those who shudder with horror at *Gotcha for Life* and similar organisations, as I do, death is a great trading opportunity.

We must be enabled to die when we are old and want it. Death should be faced because it's all downhill from here. The technology which is a delight to many men and women is deeply loathed by many others. I am one. The best way of facing death, in my opinion based on myself and my affairs, which are relatively simple, is to get yourself an Enduring Guardian and also give them your Power of Attorney. This can then be reflected in the will you make. My trust is evidence based, rather than blind, and given to my daughter. She knows I want to die peacefully when I want. Who is going to help us is the current problem. Who helped Bob Hawke die the night before the last federal election Labor won, for example? It's easier on the North Shore and Eastern suburbs?

My sister is ninety-three and without dementia. She entered aged care as she could no longer cope physically in daily life and is now totally bedridden. I tell you about her particular situation in the context of the assisted dying legislation recently passed in the states, including hers, in Queensland. Basically, she wants to die but the law does not allow anybody to kill her without two doctors certifying, for some reason they have found (presumably by opening her up) that she has less than six months to live. In the meantime, she rages violently and I guess will need to be sedated. My sister is far from demented and at 93 is sharp in many ways. Jesus, however, is she angry about her condition and I am too, on behalf of myself and her three children who are in their sixties and still trying to keep their own family lives together.

I refer in this context to rural politicians first, and to the greater and more important opportunities gained from facing death openly with any comparatively trusted and enduring guardian, like mine. Federal teal MP, Kylea Tink, drew the Nature Repair Market Bill to my attention. I reply in an email later, as the legislative problems outlined briefly below sum up my view of legal shortcomings – a lot of them and their methods are stupid, whether they are human or machines. As a former NSW public servant who dealt with a lot of legislation, I make the following brief comments about the Nature Repair Market Bill. **I guess this market approach will only be taken up by very big interests who already employ lawyers and they will use this in their own financial business favour, rather than that of others. Like former PM Tony Abbott, I prefer direct investments in particular projects on land in particular regions for particular reasons. (In my view, to trust in operations of the market to deliver species related outcomes is to be caught up in unworkable legislation like this Bill.** Seek death to show Satan, which I am hoping for all the men who got rid of Kevin Rudd as PM and put in Julia Gillard instead. Was it Simon Crean who did it?)

As a former shorthand typist, teacher, public servant and academic I start with the international trading context too, believe it or not. The City of Sydney Wellbeing Survey 2023 appears concerned only with those who are young. They should teach them how to understand and kill the old who want to go. We are old enough to decide for ourselves. Our land, houses and dead bodies are a gigantic wealth bonus which will otherwise be drained in longer torment of the old who only want to die fast in comfort, when they want. Use money more usefully. I have often looked in vain for Julia Gillard's famous speech to Tony Abbott as PM. As far as I can see she said nothing because she knew she couldn't. This has never been just a joke to me so all the ALP politicians standing behind 'the moral majority' can get stuffed.

Watch the old die and help them get their death wishes. The alternative is a thoroughly disgusting, anti-democratic, painful, expensive approach to me. (It makes me want to kill you all, and at 76 I guess you are most likely to want to sedate me and call me demented. You are the evil ones to me. Anybody who makes their wishes clear to as many people as possible is to be commended in the public interest in my book. Spread your will.

This submission to the Taskforce and others addresses international and Australian trade and development in community health, housing and environment portfolios, normally on land and using water. Supporting global and World Health Organisation (WHO) trading direction with China, our largest trading partner, is addressed to promote socialism with Australian characteristics. This is to serve our key stakeholders better, faster and cheaper by opening up trading in more informed, co-operative or competitive ways, depending on choice. Whose choice? These matters are discussed in regard to our death and with the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission in regard to bank deposits, insurance and related private funds in submissions attached, for example. Projects are addressed primarily in relation to land, building and person rehabilitation or development, as well as in their related maintenance directions later. This regional route of analysis and proposed further action is different from the historical routes of professional and collective self-interest which many have been born into or adopted through higher education.

It seems more productive now to support those medical forces led by the WHO since 1946, when personal health was usefully defined in global development terms rather than in those of lawyers marching under increasing ranges of anti-discrimination and other commercial banners. Proposed product funding, production, promotion, sales, transport, storage and general management and dispute operations still depend far more, however, on older professional, state and voluntary associations for family and individual administrative and financial advances in practice. History and geography appear as the necessary foundations of the WHO place-based analysis for action which may seek to cross many human or natural boundaries which have their own laws or armies and weapons of war and peace. Going open with any analysis and action can comparatively easily be designed to be more educational and cheaper than normal services would otherwise be.

The Aged Care Taskforce seeks ***to review funding arrangements for aged care***. I address the Housing Australia Future Fund and other funding in this global financial context. I hate and fear Macquarie Bank, for example, because of its poorly designed, anti-competitive, increasingly opaque and seemingly inefficient cash payment and administration systems set up with strata managers. This is a huge, scary and expensive professional association as the bank and its strata managers appear to have the power to extract behaviour and money from owners and renters of housing at will, without explanation of reasons for its hugely increasing financial demands. As owners of housing, we expect to have strata managers working for us, not to have them collecting money from us in any way they want, with little or no explanation of very costly behavioural demands. This problem is addressed with the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission attached and I fear there is plenty more to go on these matters. I will keep you informed because if strata managers and lawyers develop alliances they will be against the public interest and create increasingly large, unnecessary matters of harassment in a lot of trading. I address Macquarie Bank practices later and Price Waterhouse Coopers also springs to mind when writing about how the usual boys' games with numbers and secrets are dumb. Baby, these guys aren't dumb, it's just that they like to have a woman with them so she can do their small talk or just look good.

The Taskforce will ***develop options for a system that is fair and equitable for everyone in Australia***. Continuing concerns about the reasons for increasing *inequality* are addressed in regard to self-determined choice of death timing later and attached. Off you go Kim. You and your dad have always known God awaits you and politicians like you and the rest. Why should so many atheists like us, however, have to wait for a God we don't believe in to finally take us, if we think we could get a longer rest and do better service for ourselves and others by an earlier death with cheaper government help designed to promote better organ or body donation or trading? This is your chance, Kim, bugger off. You and your long-term political mates are delusional about facing the things that matter for the welfare of women and children on the land.

*Inequality* is a measurable concept, associated with material wellbeing – in land care and education; health and safety care and longevity; financially supported or unsupported work; housing; or prison, for example. Water may have been better known as a mining, construction or manufacturing drain or dump. This is the global context, for example, in which Coca Cola has become cheaper than water in Mexico. The difference between *inequality* and *inequity* seems vital to discussions of Australian community concern about “*closing the gap*” or not, between aboriginal or other social groups and individuals here. **Equity** (fairness, found in court?) is briefly discussed by Marcia Langton, an aboriginal professor and associate provost of the University of Melbourne. A **Good Weekend** interview with her states she was appointed an Officer of the Order of Australia in 2020 (17.6.2023, p.30.) Migration is addressed in related numerical birth, life and disability contexts later as 30% of our population was born overseas and the state assisted dying legislation needs to accommodate global death wishes much better.

To review aged care funding arrangements, the Taskforce will focus on the following matters: ***supporting a sustainable system; equity for older people needing aged care now and into the future; making innovation the sector default***. (What is envisaged by the idea of making innovation the sector default? No idea. However, I address the importance of the particular rather than legally dominant approach to grounded matters. This comes from seeing their place in the larger regional, historic, cultural and institutional economies they inhabit. The Australian is lucky to have had a comparatively excellent free to air TV, radio and newspaper choice available to everybody in the country. There is nothing wrong with the increasingly common understanding that may occur through related debate as this often appears the best means of the broadest population education in many fields.

I hate and fear the multiplying approach of writers about “*innovation*”, like Larry Marshall, CEO of the CSIRO. (I'd tell you what the letters actually mean, so you could understand more, but that's not the normal business innovative practice, designed for more selling, old mutton tricked out as lamb again, or not). Larry Marshall's newest venture is addressed in his article “*Scientists hate asking for cash yet make great chief executives*” (AFR 16.6.2023, p.7). I guess many scientists and others in Australia might better recognize a WHO regional approach to development. It makes more sense than US alliance pushing the lot towards war with mates as usual or just an expensive IT muddle because none of the idiots and consultants who put it into service realized it wasn't there just to make money for the state and them. (No worries, Baby, the courts will settle it if your pockets are deep enough and the lawyers kick in?) One addresses such health issues later and attached, with particular regard to mental health in general development and related research terms, including for those left behind in any migration.

I address WHO land, housing and infrastructure construction and maintenance in regional capital, labour and community terms attached, for the attention of regional community or business representatives. Mine is the comparatively urban development focus generally learned in comparatively large, rich, teaching and research institutions, like government or some professional university and alumni blinkers, topped by lawyers as usual. As a woman and grandma, I commonly begin my story about population or cohort interests with family and professional association and individual freedom of association matters. As a Marxist and atheist, I do so in the agnostic and uniting light of the views on sexual health of WHO writers instead of religious ones. This is because they appear more realistic for making social and environmental improvements in many cases.

In the journal **Health Promotion International** (1990, No. 5) Nutbeam and Blakey followed WHO in defining sexual health as *'the integration of physical, emotional, intellectual and social aspects of sexuality in a way that positively enriches and promotes personality, communication and love'*. This seems a useful and potentially uniting force for good that may be particularly applicable in marginal states or related religious contexts addressed attached. I believe each adult person has the right to choose their sexual and marital or other partnerships themselves, rather than having them arranged by anybody else without consent. This seems the basis of a state for women and kids freer from violent coercion, especially if coupled with birth control methods and regular paid work so that the increasing gangs of men and kids don't increasingly fight and exhaust the dwindling resources that others created for them. The AUKUS alliance, the National Disability Insurance Scheme and housing policy are addressed in our normal medico-legal state contexts, very wary of their control.

Having construction, manufacturing and their technological forces determining our Australian fate is like the tail always wagging the newer and more developed services dog, in order to try to outguess and kill it. Knowledge is better constructed in related plain language contexts of regional and other place-based social interaction. The stupid alternative lies in many comparatively closed and unequal communities of financial or related professional knowledge and operation, trying to trick us so they can keep on taking our money and fobbing us off with their silent control. I have long championed the role of Australian national free-to-air broadcasting in this context, for example, led by ABC and SBS TV and radio. I mainly learned this theoretical position on state operation while working in NSW government for over a decade. I then tried to introduce these views of public administration more broadly by teaching program and project management principles also according to Popper, in the many separately run professional schools and centres of the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University.

See more about my background and work on [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au) and read my autobiography **Power Loving: Everything you didn't want to know about sex and lawyers**. I wrote it in the covid virus shutdown in 2020 to clarify key national and regional directions which we all may help continue or not. This depends on the conceptual development of better regional programs and projects to achieve the global health and environment goals necessary for more sustainable development anywhere. To understand global and regional orders serving people better now and in future, however, I will first go to Gleebooks for Keyu Jin's "**New China Playbook**". I learned of the

book's existence in the Australian Financial Review (AFR 19.6.23, p.14). Where would we be without good newspapers instead of the usual and increasing US schlock trying to dumb us down to their liking in their obnoxiously boring and multiplying IT (information, selling or innovation) cultures?

At 76 I tend to feel I've seen it all before and if I were sick, I'd be better off dead. I discuss the proposed Australian aboriginal voice to parliament in related regional terms, asking what makes a person indigenous, because it seems important to know this for any sensible voting and related regionally exclusive numbers. Keyu Jin apparently did her BA and PhD at Harvard before moving to the London School of Economics in 2009, according to the AFR article "*Insider reveals why West gets China wrong*". I bet I could stand right behind her. I address global economic directions later. See more on the nature of any services to the place and person attached in a reply to the Productivity Commission PC report 'Introducing competition and informed user choice into human services' (2016) or at [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

A recent article entitled '*Talk is cheap for nation's best paid vice-chancellor*' addresses the global need to make goods and services cheaper or free for poorer people, rather than catering increasingly to landed family or political elites (AFR 27.6.23 p. 36). Apparently, Australia's best paid vice-chancellor, Duncan Maskell told staff and students at Melbourne University that making studies free would "*fundamentally be about the population we want to shape for the future of the country*". The AFR points out that when Australian universities were made free under former PM Gough Whitlam, it didn't affect the diversity of the student cohort, but instead provided outsized benefits to upper and middle-class students. A lot more middle-class and mature women came through that window. I taught a lot of them at Macquarie University. That was long ago, before more effective ways of reaching large numbers of people were pioneered by internet and other developments. These appear able to be reliably and widely coordinated, for example by Australian national free to air TV and radio, as well as in other teaching, research or related places where communities and employers may require particular volunteers, paid the same as others or not. By some accounts of global universities, Melbourne University ranks best of Australia's group of 139. It is also ranked last by students for the quality of the experience. The richer or more vocally needy one is the more one expects to be pampered by the staff, perhaps. Many related considerations about regional intellectual property, teaching and research matters are attached and at [www.Carolodonnell.com](http://www.Carolodonnell.com)

I address the proposed referendum on the aboriginal voice to parliament in this Australian regulatory context of increasingly partial and complex law, to which the place and individuals may appear increasingly unequally subjected. Others fall between the legal cracks in common circumstances of regional and particular descent. Our approach to law is made unnecessarily confused and complex by our common Christian religious origins, with legal and supporting professional design and practice, under Commonwealth and earlier state Constitutions. These advance the land, construction, accommodation, health, safety and injury interests at the heart of state power and comparatively safe direction. I oppose the legally adversarial lot, however, as they often appear too dumbly blind and stupid to operate well in global or regional arenas, where such blinkers may be cast aside or different. I address this to support the article by Mike Zorbas, Chief Executive of the Property Council of Australia entitled *Any addition by government to housing supply is welcome* (AFR, 13.6.23, p.39) and address the Real Estate Institute of NSW, the Macquarie Bank and others in related regional approaches. The Taskforce seeks to build on the Royal Commission



into Aged Care Quality and Safety recommendations. (My submission to the Royal Commission into Aged Care Quality and Safety in 2019 is at [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au) under the Heritage Way side bar, addressed in related global, regional, local and personal terms.

#### **SOME RECOMMENDED INNOVATIONS IN GLOBAL AND REGIONAL TRADING:**

*We are all going to die rather than endlessly get better, and this is ideally reflected in any professional and cultural analysis or programs aimed at wellbeing, including yours and mine. Secondly, white Northern Europeans (like me) appear to be on the way out early as a global grouping. Is this good riddance to bad rubbish, or are we facing genocide? This seemed the case with Australian aborigines before they began to breed a lot faster than national rates of reproduction, after the successful referendum of 1967, for example, when health services became more available to aborigines in areas remote from towns. Birth rates went up and kids were far less likely to die at birth or from neglect in Western terms. I dunno, but for the purposes of cultural and democratic protection, does anybody care? This seems a question, for example, put by Anders Breivik in 2011 when he murdered 77 of his fellow Norwegians. The book *One of Us*, (Seierstad 2015) brilliantly explains the point he sought to make to as many as possible and why. The joys of personal choice, consent and duty of care are addressed as personal services later and attached. The idea of democracy or truth as tick-a-box numbers games has comparatively limited utility. Take broader historical, geographic and related cultural and personal views with China and others. When they go closed, we go open and free (Just like mum and dad?) I feel that putting an aboriginal voice in the Australian Constitution would be encouraging some people to speak against their neighbours. How would we cope and what about all the ones not taking part? The vital importance of freedom of choice with open conduct standards is addressed later (just like mum but with dad it's less likely?). Too many products and services in competition seem stupid killers. As a woman my risk management rule of thumb has often been that men may be nasty, women may be stupid, or the reverse, but less often. Even it up?*

**COMPARE PUBLIC, SOCIAL (MIXED), AND COMMERCIAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT FOR THEIR EFFECTS ON ASSOCIATED LAND, POPULATION AND INDIVIDUAL SELF-MANAGEMENT. HELP THE MANAGERS MANAGE DEATH BETTER.**

**PRACTICE AND RESEARCH IN CONSTRUCTION AND ACCOMMODATION MANAGEMENT REQUIRE BETTER PLAIN ENGLISH MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE FROM THE START. (I'M SICK OF YOUR BRAIN-DEAD DISCOVERY LEARNING APPROACH BECAUSE ITS SO FUCKING SLOW AND STUPID. I'VE BEEN AT ST JAMES COURT ON THIS GLEBE PLOT SINCE 1994. I'VE LEARNED A LOT BUT HARDLY REMAIN MUCH WISER.)**

**IF YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT SEEK GUIDANCE FROM PENPA SERING ABOUT HIS BUDDHIST LEARNING OR TEACHING. (HE SPOKE AND ANSWERED BRILLIANTLY FOR BUDDHISTS REPRESENTED BY THE DALAI LAMA'S COURT IN NORTHERN INDIA ON ABC TV)**

*Death is inevitable and a huge opportunity for public and personal learning and development. I attend to our deaths with related regional and financial concerns that may be applied to any left behind who feel our loss or liberation, for example, financially or not. A discussion of the rights to the self-determined death is attached, in recognition of the fact that Australia is one of the most multi-cultural nations in the world. One's democratic stance is thus developed through assisting more information, consultation and self-determined choice. Take the attached discussion of birth control, disability and death planning, for example. One follows in the light of regional directions originally led by the WHO, and centred first on services to improve child spacing and vaccination for the good of all in their surroundings, starting with mothers of children who must be kept, even if fathers seem largely ill-inclined. The human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccine was invented in Australia and in recent years made available to students at high school. It protects youth against a range of cancers and other sexually transmitted diseases. As a Doctors without Borders representative pointed out on ABC TV news, unless the rich nations plan better together to accept and settle the travelling millions now searching for a better life in paid work, increasing chaos and destruction of more shared and developed expectations will result. The origins and fruits of comparative want have lain and been constructed, like the goods, in historical and geographic family associations globally, regionally and locally. (Lie back and think of Bob Marley?)*

*I address some key state and economic administrative mentors, like JK Galbraith, Mao Zedong and Thomas Piketty in this global context. I am woman, roaring a bloody long time before Katy Perry that the fewer children one has, the luckier that all the rest will be when one is dead.*

I always wonder why famous Australian Communist eye doctor, Fred Hollows, and his wife had so many. They kept hoping for more old-fashioned boys I can only guess. The state analysis and remedies of JK Galbraith urge states to coordinate and use their regional and institutional core and peripheral development capacities better for those they were elected to serve. These strengths are addressed here through life, death and disability services in place and recipient terms, rather than in more common Western terms of legal and professional organization and research for broader conquest. These matters are normally addressed in terms of the goods of increasing competition in trading and donation. In spite of the thoughts of Peter Singer and other ethicists like him, however, the approach seems not working well.

In professional state and industrial terms this faith in the future has often inhibited better regional development using past or new law to serve the key stakeholders. One wonders when any kind of tip is legal or expected and whether it does any good for others outside variously connected cones of silence, for example. How anybody votes on the proposed aboriginal voice to parliament is largely immaterial compared with development outcomes which result from any vote. Fat at the centre often builds up fastest because everybody wants to scramble up from the dirtiest jobs facing the public on the street or in smaller communities living in the villages and fields left behind. In this related research context, for example, one wonders about the traditional death practices of aboriginal people. (I speak of the days before missionaries, missions, roads and cars, when travelling to sport and funerals became increasingly marked pre-occupations for all, I guess).

This analysis contends the typical US development principles based largely on advertising and association power are what Australians need to avoid, to ensure good living in future. The nature of truth in trading in life, disability and death products across the human border regions and the human life span appear best found in discussions with China and the rest. As an old and ignorant foreign friend of China, I was first guided in my Australian youth by the **Quotations from Mao Tse-Tung** (Zedong) and a visit to China in 1976 made by teachers and students from Sydney and other regions, organised by the China Friendship Association. I was not as naïve as many in the group as I had already spent two years as a teacher in Northern Nigeria, after a year of travelling around

Europe and India as a tourist. I forget from where the following Maoist quotation came, but it seems still to provide a useful historical guide to policy development, including in the Australian approach to policy enacted in law. Penpa Sering's illuminating address on the history, geography and development of Tibet at the National Press Club on ABC TV reminded me recently that in times of downturn, as in the "Chinese cultural revolution" of our Australian memory, youth may return to help the villages bring in the harvests and develop. Many more try traveling to wealthier centres to find work now. Mao said, however:

*"Only through the practice of the people, that is, through experience, can we verify whether a policy is correct or wrong and determine to what extent it is correct or wrong.....Therefore, before any action is taken, we must explain the policy, which we have formulated in the light of the given circumstances, to Party members and to the masses.....If we actually forget the Party's general line and general policy, then we shall be blind, half-baked, muddle headed revolutionaries, and when we carry out a specific line for work and a specific policy, we shall lose our bearings and vacillate now to the left and now to the right, and the work will suffer."*

I have followed this practice as a public servant in Australia, trying to improve knowledge of law, to make it more relevant in the lives of ordinary people, rather than encouraging all of us to leave the field to specialised lawyers and their rich associations, after dumping matters in the hands of cops who sat on them, or not. During the 1980s I learned that law must have a clear aim bent on serving its key stakeholders, who ultimately are the whole people whose interests and wishes the state should try to represent. If we all have clear aims of serving the people embedded in law, why should we still hide behind lawyers who only use our knowledge to enrich themselves in many cases? A lot of law just contains lists of prescriptions which may or may not become stupid and dysfunctional over time, while contradicting other laws which may or may not be called upon to rule the particular agenda. The legal approach is closed, adversarial and far from good evidence based in any later scientific or democratic and consultative understanding of any voice, denied or represented. I address land and housing later as I stand most by the basic Green political demands of the youth, even if some of us may talk a lot of drivel from older points of view. I discuss the reasons I opposed the Kyoto Treaty for example, at [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au) I thought it put big money in the wrong hands and "measured" its use in ways which would do absolutely nothing to improve environments faster than they were being downgraded.

Definitions should be helpful in supporting the service aims in law. The dictionary, which is an 18<sup>th</sup> century product, is now superseded by wonderful Wikipedia which can tell one a lot about nearly any big issue. The law, on the other hand, often has unbelievably dumb definitions which tell one nothing much, at great time and expense in many cases. This encourages all of us to abrogate our responsibilities for caring for ourselves and those around us. I believe in exercising the responsibility for speaking what one sees as the truth, whether or not one changes one's mind for any stated reason later. The concepts of freedom of speech and duty of care should not serve the dumb or tricky liar and his adviser on the one hand, nor relieve the responsibility of the individual for his or her own life and death, including self and other management. I address the rights of atheists and others to state assisted dying when they want if they are old already, in related trading contexts.

Nobel prize winning economist, Paul Krugman said on the back cover of French economist Thomas Piketty's book, **Why save the bankers?** (2016) that the author '*transformed our economic discourse. We'll never talk about wealth and inequality the same way we used to*'. As a grandma, one often wonders what newer words they find next for doing the same thing, which is making money and buying up more land for building something bigger and better in their eyes as usual. These guys appear lacking in imagination about what many might want. If the richest 1% that Thomas Piketty found, altruistically killed themselves, for example, a huge number of women and kids in the next generation could benefit in every way, whoever they are. Think about it for a change because there is huge money in death which should be considered by those who really know a lot about it, rather than by an idiot old grandma like me. Come on boys, you're so good at dictating life and death terms for other people, show us how you kill yourselves instead of others for a change. These guys have controlled the reproductive lives of women and kids for centuries. It has been to the increasing comparative detriment of those left behind, especially by men too poor to treat their women and children effectively as consumer goods, rather than as early producer goods, often used to help the older men financially.

Like any comparatively well-developed country, China has an ageing population. (If you want to have a worry, it's a good one as you can solve it with immigrants.) As Picketty notes in **Why Save the Bankers**, however, the growing influence of private fortunes in the National Peoples' Congress of China is objectively worrying because of the potential for a Russian style evolution with mounting capital flight and a pillaging of the country from the outside, by oligarchs comfortably ensconced overseas. The problem is a good part of the political elite of China doesn't have much to gain from transparency in wealth, from progressive taxation, or the rule of law (p. 184). In his National Press Club address, Penpa Sering drew attention to the recent US Magnitsky laws encouraging investigation and control of personal wealth held in richer nations at the expense of poorer ones they were supposed to have served in elections or not. Land and housing investment, as well as its related wealth and income treatment, may also be better addressed for us in consideration of Australian land, housing, superannuation and other service delivery and investment. Many members or families' particular goals, dealings and acquisitions, however, appear increasingly invisible as they age and accumulate wealth or loss, whether goals are purely financial and price based or not. Open operations that are necessary for better regional understanding, should not be sacrificed to social media and fake news helping disrupt better order.

China is Australia's largest trading partner, followed by the US and Japan. From a regional perspective on law and order, which follows the general WHO direction states may follow, or not, I consult **Xi Jinping: The Governance of China** (2017) if wanting an authoritative text on China's policy direction. My copy is the 6<sup>th</sup> edition from the Foreign Languages Press in Beijing. Its focus is on policy since the 18<sup>th</sup> National Congress of the Communist Party of China in 2012, (after the global financial crisis in 2008). In '**Why Save the Bankers**?' Picketty calls for currency unions based on broadly shared approaches to achieving low interest rates and more openly planned investments where they appear needed most. He notes loss of monetary sovereignty with the Euro currency has not been compensated by access to mutualized public debt and a low and predictable interest rate. Yet waves of speculation on interest rates as well as currency rates can also have huge and devastating effects on public finances. I address related legal and financial trading matters in ways I learned mainly in NSW government.

In his article "**Australia needs more investment**", regular economics columnist, Ross Gittins, tells the great unwashed in Australia, that *"the reason the productivity of our labour has been improving so slowly is because we haven't had enough business investment in new and better machines. Or in research and development for that matter* (SMH 17.6.2023 p. 3). From regional views, rather than from traditional economic accounting or industrial ones Gittins normally represents, (let alone top legal ones that he ignores), there is probably too much *'peer reviewed'* research and not enough mass development. The most critical global and Australian problem in regard to reducing major global inequality to produce increasingly broad wellbeing is our professional and organisational association. This is designed and treated to operate in cones of dumb ignorance or worse; not in openly sharing better information from the particular regional producers and their surroundings. There is an associated lack of core understanding of the needs of particular regional development now and how to meet it. Better development lies in openly questioning processes and results of particular projects constructed in the interests of those interested and affected over a life-time.

I address the coming referendum on an aboriginal Voice in the Constitution, to oppose it, I guess. To support it seemingly leads to reproduction of more feudal, complicated, stupid operations, which nobody can make sense of, so are encouraged increasingly to rely on the lawyer's personal interpretation which is secret until revealed in court. Under these circumstances only the long-term fat at the centre keeps on winning and the rest of us will be made more unequal in global arenas, I assume. More should be able to make sense of a program or project and how to manage it.

I also address this to Marcia Langton, an aboriginal leader I went to Queensland Uni with in the 1970s. I heard she was disgruntled about her marks then and she also had an illegitimate baby with a member of our University of Queensland bushwalking club. Remember Rick Lambourne? I often wonder what he's doing now. Ask Marcia? I'd like to check out Nixon Apple in Melbourne because he was the Queens and national honours pick in regard to his work for superannuation and trade unions in 2019 and 2020 respectively, as well as my defacto for ten years before he suddenly upped stakes one Christmas and went to Melbourne for good on Boxing Day. I don't know where he is and if he still has the newer and younger ACTU model wife and kids, or is rich or poor or sick or what? We should meet to find out if we were men? Beware of what you want because you'll get it? I address Marcia's views and the Voice attached. What happened to the wife and kids of yesteryear one always wonders and surely one has a right to continuing relations? (Gee, I dunno, you'd have to ask Bob Marley and the boys?)

Since retirement at sixty in 2007, before the global financial crisis of 2008, arising in US housing, I've tried and failed to understand much about the private and group housing sectors in Australia or elsewhere, either in theory or practice. I often wonder how much better off are others and was taught in NSW government to assume that some have a much bigger pot than others to play with in secret and compared to government or universities where I spent my working life, I guess like Marcia Langton. I address related opportunities for the use of body parts in the increasingly global and unequal trade of the living and dying, pointing out where Australians can feel better about themselves and make a mint for others through choosing their own death timing, rather than waiting on God to do His trick. The alternative is an increasingly black economy where a lot more people are taught to feel bad about themselves, for not keeping up, let alone succeeding in supporting themselves and

their supposed offspring. That's what supporting parent and disability support pensions are for? I'm buggered if I know. Ask Marcia?

The proposed Australian Aboriginal Voice seems about speaking out in more privileged ways enshrined in Constitution. This continues an outdated administration for Australian Commonwealth and states, with international or regional operations fixed in the past. We have seen a lot of speaking out done very well by aboriginal people and their representatives already in film and on TV, for example. Frankly, I am up to pussy's bow with aborigines, especially if they don't look black or old and very disadvantaged. The concept of race purity has been shown to be genetically dubious as we are mongrels everywhere and have been for centuries. I have no idea how historical aboriginality and place origin might be shown other than by skin colour, so the idea of an Aboriginal Nation enshrined in law seems foolish and different from noting earlier tribal relations. I feel I've seen far more than my share of a good thing from Australian aborigines in the last twenty years but so what? It's still the same old country with a lot more lawyers and fat in Canberra, better spread to the hubs, as far as I can see. Buddhists seem a lot better bet for helping to turn policy directions around as distinct from complicating them further in comparatively expensive ways that haven't worked. Ideas often classified as 'woke', are usually the badges of identification with particular categories in anti-discrimination law. I often prefer that these categories should be hugged for support rather than those normally represented by former PM Tony Abbott. Who cares?

I come from centuries of atheists like Freud, growing widely across the world, via the services of education, care and entertainment, including in radio, film and TV. Civilised atheists, however, are not yet represented in this parliament of Irish Catholic, Christian, Jewish and other lawyers. We are a wealthy group, I guess, so surely this inclusion matters as well as for real Christians? See more attached. I address atheists like me and Freud and jokes in this regional and historical development light attached, to argue for a better blended approach to God and service to the people who may have included atheists, hiding a lot like us, or not. For example, until I saw ABC TV this week, I did not know that Stringer Bell was Idris Elba in real life and I will always think of him as Stringer Bell. Thanks to my wonderfully old and reliable Sony TV, DVD machine and JB Hifi at Broadway, I could buy the boxed set of *The Wire* and understand what was going on this time. (It was far more than worth it in terms of entertainment and learning.) For Freud, there were no jokes. I paraphrase his considerable output for the sake of the Powerpoints, but agree with his analysis by and large, rather than in the particular case, which is increasingly broadly reflected in medico-legal diagnosis and insurance. He knew little or nothing about the other, I guess, so had to project himself and his own professional affairs in summing them up. At least he took notice. An English woman once said she'd rather stick to gin and tonic and I guess that's fairly universal.

On free to air TV, **Gruen** springs to mind, as a special new learning service about advertising. However, I like SpecSavers not because their advertising is better than the opposition but because their testing technology is great so I'm not frightened by the opposition. Their glasses frames don't break if I accidentally sit on them either. Rob Sitch is often too clever by half but as long as we all love Popper and Bran Nu Dae it's fine by me. I hope I also speak on behalf of meerkats, of Meerkat insurance and their parents, as seen in the Meerkat advertisements for their products on SBS TV. I particularly enjoyed it when their luxury car broke down and Dame Edna stooped from her much bigger one to give them a lift. It was clear to me too that they were meerkats, and not possums, as she kept addressing them, after they had clearly introduced themselves. At this point the women in parliaments should voluntarily rise up and thrash the men hard about the ears with gladioli bunches under their seats. Go at will, but particularly for the old

and dodderly ones. Shout clearly that this is to remove long built-up wax in their ears and that Kay Paterson also recommended it, as well as many AMA members and their ilk. It will be more entertaining, cheaper and less trouble than the Midwinter Ball to watch. A lot of the old may go or die and some may shoot themselves, perhaps. Don't worry as we can see what newer, younger men are doing to tear the joint apart on TV every day and every night. Did Rastafarians and their mates see drug lords ruling the world with their religion? (Unlike male youth I have always supported Laura Norder rather than Anna Kee.)

**CONSIDER LAND AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE AS RELATED REGIONAL HEALTH AND COMMUNICATIONS OPPORTUNITIES, PARTICULARLY INCLUDING SERVICES FOR THOSE LIVING IN RURAL AND REMOTE DESERT ENVIRONMENTS IN AUSTRALIA. DESERTS HAVE INCREASINGLY BEEN CREATED ALL OVER THE WORLD BY THE MARCH OF CENTURIES AND THEIR FEEDING FLOCKS AND AUSTRALIAN MANUFACTURING HAS SUPPORTED THE GROWTH OF WEALTH FROM THESE ENVIRONMENTS COMPARATIVELY WELL**

Following the WHO regional approach, it seems clear we are all going to die eventually but that greater wealth development and wellbeing through land, housing and related material production is generally associated with technological advance and spread. Until services for the middle classes were more effectively developed, as they increasingly are in richer economies, funded by the government or the private sector or both with other voluntary assistance, old people and women and kids were often most likely to be left behind on the land while younger, stronger men went off to try to find work in other likely areas. Their interests have been comparatively left out of the regional and risk management direction until now, except as charitable or criminal matters that couldn't keep up with the leading developments. The supporting parents' benefit, the disability support benefit, the carer's pension and other financial support have expanded greatly here since the 1980s. Unemployment benefit is just one of many benefits in Australia today. Whether the support is enough increasingly draws in related matters.

In more developed economies the birth rates keep dropping as generations of women have now taken advantage of better contraception and greater self-determination in caring for themselves and children, with the advent of the first popular contraceptive pills in the 1960s and of Medicare and abortion services in the 1980s. Alternatively, hubby may have pissed off, who knows where, leaving the wife and kids behind on home grounds to fend for themselves, or not. Globally, birth rates remain highest in the poorest regions whose development capacities may also appear poorest and getting worse in comparative terms. Younger and stronger people who are mostly male and likely to be employed in construction, farming, mining, waste management and related areas seek to leave or have left such comparatively impoverished places already, to go where they thought might be better for work and families to get ahead, in legal arrangements or not. Where travel appears comparatively easy, young women may also leave to find paid work in a greater range of service occupations than the past, including better marriage.

Since key transformations in banking, payment, general accounting and other communications and technologies since the 1980s, service cultures across the world are ripe for considering birth, death, disability and migration, as well as environments left behind, to trend better. Australian service (as distinct from manufacturing) culture was embryonically furthered in the construction of the Australian Medicare and workers compensation systems in the 1980s. Land and agricultural

management are vital in health, housing and infrastructure discussions. Regional projects, including in land, housing and related waste management are later addressed in the new health and community service terms of Australian government and financial operations. Let us say this began anew with major widespread recognition of the international covid epidemic in 2020, to try to improve Australian and related global trading.

I love the best of the serving Australian state. It is not an AUKUS alliance state because I strongly fear the latter continues the past in ever more complicated approaches to family, tribal, feudal and professional trading operations across the world, including our state. All politics starts locally, or so I've heard, although I doubt it. The secret state has failed because the men who drive it regularly brought war and increased inequality to local women and children while the earth was stripped and polluted to give pleasure and wealth to many more, in comparative geographic and historical terms. This was achieved by new and improved production technologies to promote sales and services, assisted by the operational scope the biddable state can often provide.

At 76 years of age, I am concerned most with death matters, for myself and old baby-boomer peers, who are now heading for a more expensive death in greater numbers than people in Australia have ever done before. People like us represent a lot of fat at the centre of systems of production for investment in future. This occurs in secret legal family associations and in related willing and secret processes, held in common knowledge by some, or not, as cases may be. Organ transfer, family planning, vaccination, personal health and safety or other caring matters are discussed here and attached in regionally and culturally related processes; with more openly comparative management and examination; considering the outcomes on the particular ground; rather than in terms of the top Judeo-Christian views we appear more steeped in forever. Put simply, too many government websites make it hard for people to get through the system without lawyers as their spuriously supposed protection. Funding arrangements appear to begin with financial advisers whose actions often appear stupid and expensively closed all round.

Men's mental health is addressed in related terms attached, rather than in the normal terms of our top Christian and Jewish traditions. The examination of Australian Bureau of Statistics or Australian Institute of Health and Welfare data suggests three times as many men as women commit suicide. The position I take, personally and politically, is that atheists or others have the right to time their own death with good help. This would save huge amounts of younger people's time, pain and money to benefit the next generation. One assumes the latter get fewer in number, instead of increasing in more fat, sedentary, numbers of dependants on others. Migration from poorer to wealthier areas is addressed in related global rather than regional contexts for better future development as distinct from in any more narrowly grown market ignorance.

Any modern woman has generally taken advantage of family planning, including contraception and common childhood vaccinations, as well as more assistance with dealing with children and family disability. However, she must get ahead with her offspring and old or sick people who it remains her responsibility to serve, whether a man or a state is replaced and gives her support or largely



harassment. (We don't discuss nagging like we once did, thank God.) Death naturally comes to us all and handling it better is a new frontier in development terms for states other than the religious, in many cases. I mainly now address the importance of helping old people to die early when they want it as a means of improving other health, service and related democratic trading. Some women need abortions too, apparently, even and especially today, where many millions now flee comparatively failed states on a daily basis because of war, crime, poverty or other reasons. (Who would have ever thought that women may need abortions today?) I guess the more ungovernable they are the more they may need them. I speak up for women as I am one who believes some men more primitive than others. I don't believe we are inherently sinful unless supporting more men and kids. (Gee Baby, I dunno. You'd have to ask Bob Marley? Jesus, I'd put more stock in development now in the Pope's **Laudato Si** Encyclical or the ALP.)

Highly acclaimed French economist and journalist, Thomas Piketty, addressed the global evidence of rapidly rising inequality over recent decades and proposed solutions in comparatively simple terms in **Why Save the Bankers** (2016). He argues that without meaningful regulation capitalist economies will concentrate wealth in an ever-smaller number of hands, as his research data shows it is rapidly doing. This is different from the traditional views that markets provide best for the lot if left to their own bargaining devices. Paul Krugman, the Nobel prize winning US economist, takes the discussions of this "crony capitalism" further, with many European, US and other writers. The analysis ideally travels into more broadly connected global and regional arenas, where trade is defined by geographic, historic, institutional and blood relationships, where individuals may be served or shaped by the twin competitive powers of state cultural law and markets.

I theorize in similarly related regional trading contexts of core and peripheral trading or employment and in related financial relations. These regional and place-based analyses were first clearly brought home to me by Canadian and Harvard economist and US diplomat JK Galbraith, as well as in Chinese, WHO and Australian state development directions. Their theory was first presented, to my knowledge, in the key directions of the Australian state under the Whitlam, Hawke and Rudd Labor governments respectively, and in other Australian state governments with much poorer conceptual understanding and control over fluctuating financial and related land and housing matters. As a woman and scholar, I point out here that death comes to us all no matter how we try to deny it. Death is instead treated as if it is a medical professional failure. Great loss of financial and other opportunity goes with this denial to the state and regions it seeks to support.

Having watched the increasing opportunities for arms acquisition and trading by those most used to operate in these IT trading fields; encouraged by the protection of the armed man afforded by US and related Constitutions; I assume that many more men will become increasingly angry and prepared to die and kill for their beliefs soon, in spite of what a normal anti-discrimination crowd of supporters might think about something they know little or nothing about in practice. I guess Greens often come from the richer bank of mum and dad, but so what? As investors we often know little or nothing about our own money, let alone anything about its treatment in large fund management forms, like superannuation, insurance, banking and other funding operations undertaken by government, such as in the Housing Future Fund, for example. I address related land purchase and fund management matters for regional projects, remembering Mao Zedong and former PM Tony Abbott and others who sought related trade and development in particular regional contexts in Australian or other regions.

Churches across the world have played huge historical roles in the development of a welfare state for orphans, paupers and associated believers, before governments began to provide support for some soldiers and their dependents in Europe, followed by others elsewhere. At the age of 76, I

particularly address death as an atheist grandma hearing about treatment of my 93-year-old bedridden sister in a Brisbane nursing home. She says she wants death but must wait for a diagnosis following tests and an estimate that she has less than 6 months to live by two doctors. Having spent my adult life trying to get good contraception for myself and abortions for other people (because I didn't ever need one) and having known old sick men who tried to kill themselves and failed, I will be ready to kill when my turn comes to decide I want to die, but assisted dying legislation still isn't being treated properly to promote trade in body parts and personal self-determination.

As an atheist grandma I argue that some of the most important discussions for greater wellbeing now and in future revolve around the right to self-determination through family planning, disability support and the performance of death and organ transplant or other estate management services, besides the use of the dead body itself. The alternative appears to be a form of comparatively dark market-based exploitation of the comparatively poor to assist the comparatively rich who can afford the necessary medical process of transplantation, for example. A better alternative appears to be to use body parts more openly and in more broadly organised ways which put the choice of the organ donor paramount, in a gift economy, like Australian use of blood products. Our births and our deaths, including in the weapons and drug trades, and in the killing and treatment of bodies, appear darkly incentivised in many places. Land, housing and other baby boomers' estates, for example, could be treated better and cheaper to meet our own family and other community obligations better than normal.

See more information about the Nature Repair Market Bill in a letter to Kylea Tink, a Commonwealth teal from Sydney, below and in the attached discussions.

Cheers Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

Hi Kylea

Thank you very much for sending me information about the Nature Repair Market Bill. However, as a former NSW public servant who dealt with a lot of legislation, I make the following brief comments about the Bill.

**I guess this market approach will only be taken up by very big interests who already employ lawyers and that they will use this in their own financial business favour, rather than anything else. Like former PM Tony Abbott, I prefer direct investments in particular projects on land in particular regions for particular reasons. (In my view, to trust in operations of the market to deliver species related outcomes is to be caught up in unworkable legislation like this Bill.)**

This Nature Repair Market bill is so stupidly long and prescriptive I don't think anybody will understand or want to use it unless they are very rich and employ lawyers as the normal course of doing business already. Any of the explanatory memoranda that are used to tell politicians what is supposed to be going on will soon be lost and this horrendously unreadable legislation which is also very difficult to copy openly for its vital parts (like objects of the act) will soon be all that is left. It is fit only for lawyers to confront and that is always frighteningly expensive, tardy and uncertain in outcome.

I went straight to the act aims and simplified outline which I have partly transcribed with great difficulty below. Surely, however, the aim of the act should be **protection of habitat** which contains species, **not upon species alone.**

The contested uses of land are surely the most important matters at issue in the protection of species in the wild, which I assume is where they are most wanted. Land protection is a state matter.

I don't see why the expected bureaucratic process is being spelled out in this Bill as if it is the responsibility of the landholder to set up and pay for it. Surely one would be mad to take on that responsibility unless one's organisation was so big that doing so would be in the normal course of doing business with the greatest returns to managers (and possibly shareholders) being the ultimate aim of business. (See more on related matters at [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au))

See related simplified outline of this horrendously long bill below. Why have the bill rather than direct investment in particular regional projects with aims and strategies which seek particular outcomes, (as Tony Abbott did when PM)? I am not normally supportive of Tony Abbott's direction as you may see in related discussions attached.)

***" 4 Simplified Outline of this Act***

*A biodiversity project is a project to enhance or protect biodiversity in native species.*

*An eligible person may apply for a biodiversity project to be registered on the Biodiversity Market Register.*

*To be registered, a biodiversity project must meet various requirements, including requirements:*

*(a) to be carried out by one or more project proponents (including the applicant) who are fit and proper persons;*

*(b) to be covered by a methodology determination. Methodology determinations are legislative instruments that cover particular kinds of biodiversity projects, and set out how a project is to be carried out and the circumstances in which a biodiversity certificate will be issued for a project.*

*A biodiversity certificate represents the biodiversity outcome that a registered biodiversity project is designed to achieve.*

*Biodiversity certificates are the property of their registered holders, and may be transferred.*

*This Act imposes certain obligations on project proponents. These obligations include:*

*(a) obligations to comply with requirements in the methodology determination that covers a project;*

*(b) reporting and notification obligations;*

*(c) record-keeping and monitoring obligations.*

*This Act is administered by the Minister and the Clean Energy Regulator. The Regulator has a range of powers available to enforce the obligations of a project proponent, including the following: 30 (a) civil penalties (some of which apply only after a biodiversity certificate has been issued for a project);"*

**In my view, this act is set up to penalise those it should most seek to help transfer their small properties in more helpful directions for the environment. The focus should be on LAND management surely guided by the proposed projects in particular areas?**

**Cheers and thanks for the info. See related discussions attached and in land and development contexts. Your contact is gratefully received. Cheers**

**Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney  
2037 [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)**

