

To the Senate Standing Committees on Education and Employment and Others (Attn. Michael Perks and interested others)

Since my last open and regionally focused submissions to your enquiry into the **More Jobs, Better Pay** bill and associated matters at the Treasurer's **Jobs and Skills Summit**, I now refer to and support employer fears that the Albanese government could increasingly use the **National Reconstruction Fund (NRF)** and other funds to poorer national, regional and international population and environment effect. According to the **Australian Financial Review** front page article **ACTU wants unions first in \$15b fund (AFR 13.2.23)** the NRF is, *'one of the country's largest peacetime investments'*. Employer representatives accuse trade unions of wanting to use the fund to pursue their industrial relations goals first, including to increase their association membership dues and voting rights. Liberal Senator from WA, and former small business and industrial relations minister, Michaelia Cash, sees it as wrong that any grants should depend on a business being unionised, the Australian Industry Group agrees, and I do too.

I consider the interests of small businesses and wider regional communities in national and international contexts later below and attached. This is to make related points about the shortcomings of occupational closure and advance for achieving any better informed and broader regional goals. These appear better achieved by more direct and open action designed to serve more people better.

In referring to the NRF, however, the Australian Manufacturing Workers Union (AMWU) apparently wants the fund, among other things, to start with the guarantee of a secure jobs code on any project contract that would also include an enterprise agreement with unions as a precondition of tender. Small business, home owners and renters already face many rising and multiplying difficulties and mandated costs of doing business. This is especially in regard to the legally expected and unhelpfully closed and indirect treatments of communication, land and housing. Examples of such problems are addressed in regional terms later and attached, especially in regard to the future of waste management, housing management, risk management, insurance and the Illawarra Coast

Mandated time and money wasting costs must normally be borne by small businesses or passed on to their customers. They must often be addressed with lawyers and associated vested interests in multiple occupational closures within projects. This may often benefit lawyers and their associated feudal interests better than those in any related and less or more informed environment. More direct and cheaper action, like reading, writing and communicating in more open ways appears likely to produce higher quality work through faster and improved understanding and related choice, as addressed later and attached. Legal and professional closures are often instead pursued and expected to occur in detrimental ways for the particular place and its surroundings. This may occur in housing and related land and premium treatment for risk management purposes, for example. This is addressed attached in the primary regional context of discussion of a waste management proposal and undertaking by former Liberal PM aspirant, John Hewson, supported by former NSW Labor Premier, Bob Carr, in the hope of related regional waste management project repeats in future.

There are many ways of saving money to produce better products and outcomes that trade unions are unwilling to inquire into in my long experience of Australian work and community living. Many examples are discussed below, attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au The related importance of regional and professional freedom of association for democratic and accountability purposes is also addressed later, attached and on-site. My experience as a state public servant in the 1980s and 1990s and later as a retired Marxist grandma living under a strata-managed plan, is that tripartite (business, trade union and government) organisation is often slow and unhelpful for managing the particular place and project concerns better and cheaper. This is because each association sees their job as delivering to their members, not thinking more intelligently and broadly in the interests of the particular regional project, environment and population as a whole. Such an approach is often unnecessarily dumb, slow, and poorly constructed to serve any of the broader populations that government might otherwise represent more effectively. See related discussion below and attached.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

MAKE THE WORLD SAFE FOR HORRIBLE LITTLE SPIVS LIKE WILSON AND FALINSKI? ADVICE TO FAILED MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT AND LAWYERS POSING AS ECONOMISTS AND PSYCHOLOGISTS

If his daddy's rich, take him and for a meal/If his daddy's poor, you can do what you feel. Etc. etc.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

NOT SOLIDARITY FOREVER BUT FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION AND INDIVIDUAL CHOICE SHOULD RULE IN FUTURE (LET CONTENT BE A QUEEN DIRECTING OPENLY COMPETITIVE PROCESSES)

I found it very interesting to read the article in the Australian Financial Review entitled **Reform is not just efficient, it's the right thing to do** by Tim Wilson and Jacob Falinski (AFR 20.1.23 p. 35). Doing so also relieved me from the obsessive feeling I ought to read and comment on Wilson's book, **The New Social Contract** or the one by Senator Bragg on superannuation, etc. Never mind, the good press report and email appear so much better than a tweet for those interested in policy and other content, rather than just in supposed market, business and government processes as usual. Make Content Queen at last with Microsoft Office and email. You can actually get directly to anybody vaguely interested in what you write. That was the real revolution, like Wikipedia.

In regard to a First Nations Voice and related Referendum regarding the Constitution I am struck by the comment of a Gomeroi woman from Narrabri who said, according to the article **First Nations has its voice** in the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH 21.1.23 p.10): *Nobody has come to me to ask if I want to hand over my sovereign rights and my allodial title over Country, nor should I allow them to.* Although this is funny as usual, one can see why many others may also be confused. If a Gomeroi woman from Narrabri is worried about her

allodial title over Country then I must be too? (No way Jose. I don't even know what an allodial title is and the word isn't in my Collins Empire Dictionary. You can only take representative voting seriously so far and then adults should step in.

See related discussion of the coming referendum on First Nations Voice later and attached. The definition of an aboriginal person is also too uncertain to put much faith in their voting to determine their account. Voting may be poorly done and received in places where it causes violence and riots over influence over the state and land. Australia is not one of those? Give it time on the US road with guns in Alice Springs? The Sydney Morning Herald article **Wall-to-wall men: Liberal candidates almost all male** (SMH 23.1.23, p.5) shows what one faces with men like Wilson and Fallinski dominating political processes gaily as usual with their brothers, inviting some younger women to be mentored, perhaps. (I choose my own mentors. How about you? If you give me a manager or supervisor, I'm fine with that because I'm paid to take it and I know where I am. If I fancy the mentor I choose, I won't guarantee I won't want to fuck them, for obvious reasons. Upward mobility for working class women has always come easiest by marrying the boss. Why wouldn't you if you fell in love again? Here lies the dilemma of the will which is addressed attached.

These 'mentors' are the 'moral men' you've got running the public service and you can ram their moral choices up their collective arses. Tell former PM Malcolm Turnbull that his bonk ban between consulting adult public servants made me sick. What is this, a state run by straight and gay men with their wives and sisters, taking us back to some fifties marriage where 'don't ask, don't tell' is the ticket for those in the know? Frankly, I prefer John Hewson's letter to the AFR on having one's wife and one's mistress enter the club on different days and having their best friends see each other. Bloody good idea because then we might really learn something about how finance is supposed to operate in the interests of children and women, with fewer disasters and greater peace around the world. Much as I loved and admired Ita's speech to journalists on Andrew Ollie award night, repeated on the ABC TV free-to-air station, I prefer SBS TV as usual because of all the languages normally involved. (Would it be good to smooch them together?) Nevertheless, Ita's speech about herself and the direction for her organization, ABC TV and radio, remains a moral beacon to others. Ita may seem perfect for sick or old people but her life and speech suggested she hadn't thought as much about kids. Like many men, I have found sick old people comparatively boring and always developed my career and interests around children instead. It helps to be a Marxist grandma.

Also see attached submissions and on www.Carolodonnell.com.au for better regional, moral, historical, financial, institutional and community advice based mainly on early World Health Organization (WHO) holistic regional direction, first mooted in 1946. The notions of freedom of association and individual choice appear vital for more sustainable environment development. This requires more planned management designed to avoid the normal male preference for war over law to prove a point. Those who won the last war usually also forget or write its history before the usual revisions like now.

Both Wilson and Falinski are former Liberal MP's and Falinski is a lecturer in behavioural economics. In my book behavioural economics is just a fancy new name for making sales

using numbers. It is two heaps of US bullshit tied to the market in spite of the joy of re-discovery it has naturally given to stereotypic old Labor economists like Ross Gittens during his boring years and years at the SMH rambling on about how he found emotions exist and not just economics. One heap of behavioural economics is economics, and the other heap is psychology. Both have been increasingly and primarily put, separately or together, in my experience, in the service of US financial markets in particular, and in their supporting global sales institutions.

True or False? On a scale of 1-10? Their beloved IT methods of assessment are often bullshit if they ignore the geographic, historical and cultural environments into which any particular person and their responses may be placed. No worries, baby, as long as nobody has to seriously explain their thoughts in writing or mark the result and as long as it sells plenty of surgery and drugs? For more information on medico-legal approaches to humans and their risks see **The Book of Woe: The DSM and the Unmaking of Psychiatry** by Gary Greenberg (2013) and **Hippocrisy: How doctors are betraying their oath** by Rachele Buchbinder and Ian Harris (2021). Many doctors stand behind these writers and they should. There is a vitally important moral point about the concept of association, which is that it may only be as good as its capacity to allow the individual and divergent but informed and morally driven voice to speak out. (Cue **To Kill a Mockingbird** as usual?)

Wilson, another homosexual lawyer, was one of the maggots bringing us the Gay Marriage debate and national vote. I voted in favour but he can stuff his law degree up his own arse on this performance which further opened the medico-legal market up in unhelpful professional ways addressed by Thomas Szasz in New York and in British psychiatric health approaches to the person, for example. However, Wilson and Falinski's article is good in parts, with clear and helpful observations. It states, for example, that the Productivity Commission found that: *the tax and transfer system unintentionally favours those who hold the most wealth and concurrently they also pay the least tax but get the largest and growing share of the transfer system.* Which report was that? It seems highly likely this occurs intentionally if it is what it takes for the Australian Labor Party (ALP) to get re-elected. I refer you to a related complaint in a letter to the Australian Financial Review entitled **Why is it so hard to keep super simple?** (AFR, 23.1.2023 p.31) Chris Curtis writes to the paper in reference to the apparently clear aims of the original national superannuation system when it was first established by governments in the 1990s, also through enterprise bargaining. He concludes that *in this and in many other areas Australia has proved incapable of establishing a simple system based on logical first principles. We are now so far into this mess that no government will get us out of it. Instead, we are condemned to more short-term fixes to fix the other short-term fixes that didn't fix anything – ad infinitum.* Chris Curtis is from Hurstbridge in Victoria but up here in Sydney, I couldn't have said it better.

Economic matters are addressed later in related light to argue that if Australia seeks to treat people inclusively, services must be understood as different from products, not driven by them or treated the same way. As a result of reading the text-book, **Macroeconomic Principles** by my former husband, RM O'Donnell, (2007 4th edition), I wrote the attached free but adversarial critique for him and sent it to the **Institute for New Economic Thinking**

(INET), the Commission on Global Economic Transformation and others. I thought Adair Turner, Rob Johnson, Joe Stiglitz and Rohinton Medhora were all very impressive speakers at their INET global conference when I saw them perform on-line. However, as economists, why not do something obvious and direct for a change as distinct from what has failed already?

Rather than introducing teaching to counter the garbage which is macroeconomics, I propose Australians teaching macroeconomics should be asked to demonstrate why they shouldn't be let go. They let me go at 60 and I've never looked back except in mild horror at what I had to go through career-wise to get here. On the other hand, I found it all very exciting and I kept learning, writing and trying to contribute freely to whatever took my fancy. I naturally find myself now preoccupied with death, in policy terms addressed attached and later. In the IT age, the last thing the world needs is more students being pumped out teaching this mathematical mumbo jumbo in macroeconomics because so few people understand it. They will hang together rather than give each other up. Big numbers have so much elite prestige associated with them because of the increasingly incomprehensible numbers that go into building standards up for accommodation and entertainment since the second world war. Get rid of the macroeconomics teachers unless they can give a good open account of why they are teaching any of this crap. (We will learn a lot.) Sacking people should be done on the basis of their apparently unnecessary skills, rather than their numbers. Chinese policy has long differentiated jobs and skills on the basis of whether they will be performed inside or outside and I can today see why that is more fruitful than the equal opportunity, career and glass ceiling obsessions we took here. Inside and outside work have more meaning when thinking about how to treat the hazards and risks of particular kinds of work and their unique environments. The concepts of work done inside and outside major organizations was also central to the theoretical key benefits of core and peripheral organization as addressed by economists like JK Galbraith and his fellows.

I offer related observations on the importance of informed adult personal choice in one's death and other associations attached. The personal proposition, unlike our Christian and military state origins and demands, is that we may plan births, marriages, sexual liaisons and deaths if we want, without waiting for God or his state to decide them for us, unless we do ill. We are individuals whose wishes may be understood in the context of the effects of our choices on the human and natural environments around us. As a Marxist grandma I write and send comparatively freely and I appreciate this almost more than anything else.

The article by Agnes Thompson in the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH 21.1.23, p. 40) entitled **Branches to push ACTU on charges for non-members** is addressed later in historical and legal freedom of association and bargaining contexts which support the particular worker's concern. Wilson and Falinski would need such support for any policy they want to push, I guess. One assumes their policy is, according to them, in the interests of members or the public or Australian business and communities. Welcome to the club, as John Elder of the MBA once said to me when I was young, in flattery, no doubt. He was a funny man and I thought him good before he died, as we all must. I address others like him here. I haven't

had a clue what is supposed to be going on in industrial relations for years and I wonder if Wilson and Fallinski or many other people do either. There must be a better way than enterprise bargaining leading to strikes or other industrial action. The amount of time and money pumped into lawyers and courts made me sick when I saw it in trade unions and governments because in many cases it seemed so unhelpful to better future behaviour. Is any outcome only on paper or is it working in practice but used in some twisted manner to advance the status quo? I bet few have a clue and why should they? Think of the Building Codes, for example. I note the comparatively overwhelming growth of the medico-legal state wrap-around of the person shown in the fast-rising costs of the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS). What else, exactly, did Bill Shorten, Julia Gillard and the rest who got rid of Kevin Rudd ever expect would happen if they did so?

Life may be too short but at 76 I don't think so. When some of us have to go, it should be any willing volunteers in my book. I address assisted dying and related housing and estate matters attached, beginning with book waste and the unclear future of this learning precinct policy to differentiate between knowledge which should be made free for more meritocratic purposes, and certification to perform work to a particular standard. This analysis for direction is done on behalf of kids against lawyers before the 2023 NSW state elections. This is also because the states are where the wilfully stupid land and building muscle are also driving. Others may join us or not as usual. However, I could only attack the CFMMEU slogan on big flags during the building at Barangaroo. Big banners said, '**Equal at home. Equal at work**'. What do these arseholes think we all are – bunches of little girls who occasionally and unexpectedly stay away or turn up and who then expect to be shown what to do before we all go home again? Construction is often a little silly.

As Misha Zelinsky points out, for example, in **Hello Davos, you are the problem** (AFR 20.1.23, p. 34) *it's hard to imagine ordinary citizens laying down their lives to stop Russia's invasion so oligarchs can return to corrupt business as usual*. Like Madelaine Albright, who wrote a rambling New York bestseller on **Fascism: A Warning** with Bill Woodward in 2018, Zelinsky thinks fascism '*has human causes, darkness in the soul is more easily inflamed when times are tough.*'(sic). Anybody with half an eye can see on TV that darkness is in the aggressively male soul alone as well as in public. Women and kids play support roles or are often hidden as anything else is deemed too dangerous to the particular male regime in power over their common existence.

Over the years Australia has largely supported the US Constitution and its primary sales and legal cultures beginning in armaments, cars and drugs sent across the allied world. Zelinsky is a Fullbright Scholar and former assistant national secretary of the Australian Workers Union (AWU) so I can't say I'm surprised by his approach at Davos because the boys at the AWU have always been groomed for big secret ideas, stupid or not. Anybody who supports the right to silence and a lawyer in court is no particular friend of mine but these guys don't normally like a lot of change or choice in the individual direction unless it's market driven and supported by the state where deemed necessary, subject to votes that are bought and rigged and fought over. (Australia may nevertheless seem a comparative heaven to US allies, so don't lose it via their IT.)

In **Reform is not just efficient, it's the right thing to do**, Wilson and Falinsky *state that arguments for child care are framed around productivity; birth rates around tax; and tax reform around efficiency. So the arguments are cold and inhuman.* I address the concepts of productivity and efficiency attached to argue these concepts **are not just cold and inhuman they are wrong**. This is because the confusing professional approaches which spawned them, beginning in Constitution and related law, are outdated in the wealthier national and regional economies and estates that Australia inhabits today.

Professional approaches dealing in productivity, efficiency and cost-benefit analysis apparently cannot and will not deal well in many current and future social interests whether they are deemed environmental or not. Neither do they take account of past regional evidence well in market terms, let alone in other professional or individual terms, personal and emotional or not. A recent example is the increasing inequality generated by support for multiplying product and job markets fuelled by expenditure on what is called information technology (IT). It is selling technology and behavioural economics is its pile of market driven numerical shit which appears wilfully ignorant in its thinking about tomorrow, in spite of the song. This is addressed attached and mainly through a critique of the book **Macroeconomic Principles (Fourth Edition with Workbook 2007)** by Rod O'Donnell. He took a Cambridge Phd for a thesis on the philosophy and economics of the 20th century giant, JM Keynes, and was a professor in Australian universities. Don't trust.

Wilson and Falinski write about reform but don't define who the reformers are and what their moral aims are or should be if elected. Lawyers are tricky like that? Economics and emotion are not opposed in real life, which is more broadly lived than in the required career advance. This is perhaps getting more difficult for many besides lawyers and subcontracting others, acting in supposed peer associations or not. By supporting Drew Westen's argument in **The Political Brain**, that reason and emotion are separate, Wilson and Falinski ignore the truer nature of the self as usual. This may usually be uncovered in the global growth in knowledge, depending on the situation and its environment of concern. This is ideally morally constructed rather than constructed around the pursuit of particular legal and related professional or industrial interests. For US allies, such interests are typically led in the US. They seem presented as interests their political parties seem bound to support against any broader public interest, through money to influence voting, or not. Homosexual lawyers and other trades, as well as wives and prostitutes have done so since the dawn of time to keep their positions going, I guess. However, Australians are now tasked with protecting health, which often starts with safer building and maintenance. This often starts with considering the role of environments to rehabilitate or reform them to meet better planned future needs.

To state that '**markets play a crucial role in peoples' lives but they do so in the background**' seems the statement of persons living a comparatively privileged life who can also afford to ignore and deny the genuine foundations of their support. Lucky or hard-working mum and dad and the single sex school and fellows appear as the likely cause again, or was Tim just born that way to be a lawyer? No doubt thinking of the forthcoming gay Mardi Gras in Sydney the article notes that even shopping has a social foundation. Gee, that's deep. (I

guess it all goes back to **Shopping and Fucking** or **Pretty Woman**?) Amanda Stoker, another lawyer and a former LNP Senator from Queensland, puts Australia's slipping NAPLAN performance down to comparatively bad parenting which teachers shouldn't be blamed for. However, in writing **Education fix begins at home**, (AFR 25.1.2023 p. 38) she appears to have no idea how much concerns about any child's health, safety, looks, speech and performance have risen and broadened historically over recent times, and how much this costs parents, but especially mothers, in busy and anxious operation, as well as time and money. Teachers suffer similar concerns in keeping up with these increases which are often narrowly IT driven from birth by professional associations in related fields. Kids may appear comparatively coddled inside today as parents and teachers are often anxious to meet the health and safety standards set for them, starting naturally with professional approaches and those of others' kids. It's either that or they have given it all up as a comparatively hopeless and pointless task. Twenty years of schooling and they put you on the day shift? The fact that the shorthand typist and secretary have been effectively overtaken in career terms by the lawyer who types with two fingers, often strike me as professionally convenient examples of credential creep.

Wilson and Falinski state '**only economists get their jollies from arguments about efficiency whereas argument if anchored in a moral foundation to build a good society are universally persuasive**'. One wonders what jollies are expected to be in their particular mixed-up world because I guess they haven't a clue except on a bit of the usual boys' paper. Whether one agrees with the statement or not, may largely depend on the values the writer and others like him place on women, children and others' cheaper or more expensive bodies, as commodities or not. We may all be conceived as commodities now, but a lot of us are demonstrably worth more or less than others, depending on our age and the changing environments around us in many cases. Trading isn't just for people like Tim and his current spouse who can afford to buy a Botox or a new liver. Their moral concepts are male legal career and market driven. They can't address the moral concerns of women and children, or of family or other Asian, African or Middle Eastern associations, well as they don't appear interested in finding out enough about the world. This is not a good place from which to address the new world orders on behalf of Australia or future business and environment.

Wilson and Fallinski claim '**there's nothing just about leaving the next generation in public debt to support your ancestors**'. However, one's ancestors, largely over life, may often support future generations in death. All may also have lived thanks to the work of past ancestors. Today this may also occur against the apparently more rapacious or violent products of the parental buy-in to the American Dream as usual. On the other hand, I completely agree with Wilson and Falinski's view that it seems immoral *for super funds to buy homes with the savings of people who cannot do the same, like maturing single women forced to spend years renting when they could pay off a mortgage in their remaining working life and avoid retiring in poverty*. That seems an excellent observation. In 2008 I read a lot of reports about the nature of the self-funded superannuation scheme and wondered why one couldn't buy a home for a family member through it, but tax incentives

were given for investment in giant paintings. (Go figure any of this? It seemed totally mad to me.)

Nevertheless, I am totally interested to learn more about this Wilson and Fallinski financial proposition based on a moral philosophy and policy in the daily press or on free to air TV. Don't brush me off as just an old woman as usual. On the other hand, don't phone and text and try other methods of reaching me at once. Like all good academics I never answer and I may not turn up. If these are new world orders, it seems good if more of us learned to type and left when we want. Until then I will cheer when pigs blow up in space on free to air TV. (Every ignoramus to his or her own platforms as usual?) If Wilson posts me a free copy of his **New Social Contract**, I will write about it. One day, in the fullness of his time and associations, he might return the favour for me and my book, **Power Loving: Everything you didn't want to know about sex and lawyers** . But then again, perhaps not.

Cheers, Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St, Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

THE ECONOMIST AND LAWYERS' PARADIGMS ARE ADDRESSED BELOW AND ATTACHED

Hi Richard, (Tony, Robyn and others too for info. and action)

Your article "**Extremist policies will not get wages moving again**" is surely wrong in seeing price fixing of some goods, such as in some cases of energy, for example, as an extreme policy that Australians should avoid (Australian Financial Review AFR 15.12.22, p. 39). Logically we may be better off and more productive with cheaper or free goods than with higher wages. I have sought to demonstrate how, through cutting many undesirably legal and financial costs, for example. See below and attached.

Australian trade unions, government and industry representatives tried wage fixing and it clearly hasn't worked in cutting a lot of prices, particularly in many professional service sector items. The next obvious tack in a comparatively rich and egalitarian country like Australia, is to try price fixing in more broadly open and fair lights, as in the case of regional planning which more effectively accommodates personal choice than formerly. I have tried to illustrate this regional policy and development direction below and attached, with key reference to the simple personal will and to death, land, housing and estate planning.

You say that adopting your view is an example of logical reasoning versus what social psychologists call "motivated reasoning". Why should you perceive that your reasoning is more logical and less motivated than mine? I guess the answer is that you learned your values and trade in US dominated institutions which think the US frame of mind is the logical centre of any useful world debate. In this you are the usual arrogant, stupid, one-eyed, bastard. (Origin of the term one eyed trouser snake.)

I am using my own projected death instead as an example for policy and related personal choice. Anything you can do to get Nixon Apple to meet with me, preferably before Xmas would be great. I would be happy to report to you freely, openly and in writing on the meeting if you want. Cheers Carol www.Carolodonnell.com.au

GRANDMA'S QUESTIONS AND ADVICE ABOUT A FEW THINGS I HATE AT CHRISTMAS (WILLS WITH LAWYERS AND SPORT BEFORE RECREATION)

BARRY MCKENZIE WAS ALWAYS GREAT.

Match-maker, match-maker, make me a match.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney,
2037, www.Carolodonnell.com.au

OPENING REASONS FOR NORMALLY PREFERRING THE SIMPLE, PLAIN LANGUAGE WILL TO THE TYPICAL LAWYER AND OTHER ONGOING FINANCIAL OR WILL STORAGE OPERATIONS AND USE

My main question is why would anyone sensible demand lawyers when plain language forms can do a much better and cheaper job for the simple will and community, like mine? For example, the **Medicare Organ Donor Register's** form entitled: ***New registration, change or removal of donation decision details*** is a great single page form covering a vital topic of life and death for the people and environment now and in future. The **Advance Care Directive of NSW Health Sydney Local district** also appears to be well designed so far, without the need for lawyers. These matters of organ donation and end of life treatment appear just as important as the matter of making wills and managing estates, especially in sub-contracted legal and financial contexts. I address these matters later and attached, as they affect me as a former NSW public servant and current member of State Super, including in any apparently stupid relationship with Aware Super, that I allege later.

I ask you all to witness my will because its form seems good for any who want to use it. Why bend to the increasingly associated power and self-interest of the lawyer and his wealthiest clients, using content they charged you to present to them in secret? (Gee, guys, you must be trying to kid me again about the need for lawyers.) I'd like to see all the doctors, writers and comedians in the family overthrow them at the coming Hunakkah or Xmas lunch. Fat chance? As I have said before to anybody who I thought might listen, I can't see why businesses or individuals of any age should willingly and unnecessarily suffer from the vagaries, costs and pains of their organization or individual capture by traders seeking to make their comparatively rich, closed and manipulative professional living as often as possible from the trading process. Doing so seems to be at the expense of other peoples' aspirations for the related funds, including for any who aim to support its key stakeholders, who are also its members. It seems to me that conventional male views unnecessarily limit the availability of much cheaper and more broadly informed market action, achieved by more broadly popular communication first. This occurs already in comparatively informative free to air TV, radio and newspaper broadcasting, for example. Surely free and 'perfect' knowledge are necessary for perfect market operation and also often appear most competitively priced. It looks like that across the world to me. (A lot of men are full of shit but will hide and lie until they drop?)

I address this matter later, wondering, for example, why Anthony Whealy QC, former chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Appeal and chairman of the Centre for Public Integrity appears perfectly happy about the indivisible link normally made by lawyers between the right to silence and the presumption of innocence, in his article ***Lehrmann outcome shows criminal justice system is working*** in the Australian Financial Review (AFR 12.12.22, p. 30). Whealy discusses, with apparent equanimity, a case where a woman who was drunk claimed she had been raped by a man who she had been drinking with. There was no good forensic or other evidence, let alone proof of the rape. As a woman accused of something I thought was untrue and unfair, for example, I would seek every opportunity I could to explain myself to any accuser I thought was not totally below my notice because they were too evil, frightening or mad to encounter. Men like Whealy, on the other hand, appear to think that silence before any accuser is the naturally desired course of events in discovering justice. Why would anybody want a bill of rights under these arseholes, I always wonder. I hope I make clear that in wanting to find Nixon Apple, sometimes known as William, after his father, I am certainly not accusing him of ever treating me badly; quite the reverse. I just want to see him and wonder why he won't meet for apparently any business or other entertainment purposes. Is it because I'm an old woman or is that merely my projection? I've often complained about this kind of apparently closed shop by somebody claiming to be still in business. This is not a good look to me and I want to see Nixon before Christmas, if possible, to discuss future possibilities.

As an atheist, I find the commonly wrong idea of protecting populations by supporting and enabling more armed men, is the lie one often faces in a supposedly Christian state resting upon its trading and manufacturing, as well as on good transport and other infrastructure support, including for waste management. It seems corrupt to me that those who supposedly support a Christian state or nation and its institutions, actually offer their best protections, including those of confidential dealing and silence in court, to those making a living in armaments or similar product dealings, for example. On the other hand, any pleasantly assisted death is denied to old people who don't want to go on living in their worsening state. Our standards of living may increase continually. They appear unlikely to be able to be indefinitely supported by government subsidy, other than at the expense of other uses for any funds that are supposedly designed to serve most or all Australians in other regions or matters. To save the government or community money which may otherwise be spent on assisting all Australians to be adequately housed, for example, perhaps the most obvious economy anybody in government could try to make would be to support a pleasant death for all old people who would prefer one to further treatment or removal from an environment which has become increasingly unbearable for them and others, as they see it. This is the principal argument I would put to Kobi Shetty and Hannah Middleton who are writing in the Glebe Grapevine 2022 edition, in support of greater expenditure for public housing. They call for more government subsidised (public) housing and lament the fact that 'money comes first for government'. Sensibly they appear to declare war on social housing rather than public housing, because the latter operation is not normally open to public scrutiny. Many more may be happy to see it occur to encourage the rest in the interests of reducing housing costs for those who need but cannot find it. The norm is sending the cost of housing for locals up in leaps and bounds as usual, while off-site owners may make increasing amounts of money for themselves from Air-BNB or

similar arrangements apparently aimed at richer tourists or others passing through or intent on their own upgrading for life.

THE FOUNDATION SKILLS FOCUS IN THE SECONDARY SCHOOL ENGLISH SYLLABUS AND BETTER PREPARATION FOR LIFE THROUGH PRACTICE AND REASSESSMENT OF THE PAST (SEE PROUST)

As a former secondary school English teacher and lecturer to education students at Macquarie University and other Departments of Education, I refer first, however, to a common problem in Australia, in regard to the **'Foundational skills' focus of English syllabus overhaul**, discussed in the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH 5.12.2022). Apparently, in 2020, NSW Education Standards Authority (NESA) review found writing is widely neglected in high schools. Apparently, the recently introduced new English course taught extended prose, poetry, film and drama. This seems good to me because all may be part of our daily experience of life, work, entertainment and vocation, whoever we are. However, I guess the usual guys' secret and adversarial approaches to management will rule the roost as usual. This is addressed in the attached discussion of the roles of jobs and skills transfer and more open development of intellectual property (IP) in regional Australian and global migration contexts. This services economy analysis and recommendations were originally written for Labor Treasurer, Jim Chalmer's, Jobs and Skills Summit in September 2022. There are more submissions on the regional university and related research and teaching institutions and digital platforms at www.Carolodonnell.com.au The Ramsay Centre courses in Western Civilization may offer many development opportunities of the kind which have been typically held up by the National Tertiary Education Union (NTEU) view of enterprise bargaining, protecting rich insiders best.

Discussions with National Party members and First Nations and faith leaders naturally follow, in the related regional and organizational contexts of my will and demand for a pleasantly state assisted death when I want it. I address some of the problems inherent in manufacturing state inspired views of life later, using Nixon Apple's submission to the Joint Subcommittee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in 2001, that I found online, for example. Nixon was the Queen's and then the national honours pick for services to superannuation and trade unions, in 2019 and 2020.

Nixon was my defacto for ten years when he lived in Sydney and worked for the Australian Manufacturing Workers Union (AMWU), which was formerly known as the Metalworkers union. He left suddenly to go to work for the AMWU and Australian Council of Trade Unions (ACTU) at Xmas 1993. I've wondered for many years why he has never replied to any requests I made for a meeting since. I write to you about related matters partly because the personal is naturally made political through the normal institutions of any nation, abusing any reasonable notion of human rights in my book. (Try thinking of me as Laurie Carmichael

or Bill Kelty and see if it helps? What is wrong with Nixon and why won't he see me? Shouldn't he be discouraged from being closed off like this?)

This is typical of men and women, I guess. The former cannot bear to see opposition get ahead and won't deign to address it, especially when on the usual tools. Then they typically wonder why productivity is falling off when hedged by their narrowly driven, professionally and financially interested standards that appear typically to dominate any broader notions of community wellbeing. (If PM Anthony Albanese could meet his long-lost father, why shouldn't I see Nixon again, the man who once promised me undying love and then undying friendship? Surely this hiding isn't good behaviour even between colleagues, especially if teals and others can better help reveal the hidden hell that men may create in the bathrooms and drains of the world. No wonder they hate trees because they do not value them sufficiently well, so typically would rather cut them down and put in concrete, which may not help in floods to say the least. A reading of the Strata Management Act, for example, makes this huge problem of building control and management clearer and so did the burning of charcoal to heat water for tourists' nightly baths and showers all over Africa when I was last touring. (At least let me die sooner rather than later as a rich woman especially if I think I'm not worth it. Leave the stupid idea that many more informed people will willingly present themselves as part of medical experiments in the past where it belongs, before the Nuremberg trials. Don't take my right to decide away at this great age and tell me that as a professional you are more caring and so can tell me what to do to arrange for my death. (Bugger off.) On the other hand, father and mother may not have always known best, nor big brother. (Leave it to Beaver?))

I write here, however, as one concerned about losing writing as the most obvious way of developing skill in many associated fields. This appears best done through typing original stories or other content in this **NSW secondary school English teaching syllabus** under review, and regarding many other chosen matters, for example. Writing is improved through writing, and enriched through experience or reading in the written matter or both. This discussion of the importance of writing, claims its standard depends largely on practice, like typing, surgery, dentistry or any other skill. This is important as handwriting is becoming increasingly dysfunctional for good and cheap production, because no reader or marker can read it easily. In major examinations, such as the Higher School Certificate, for example, students should at least be given the choice to type their answers to questions if they want, rather than write them in pen. Students at high school should generally be encouraged to type their examination answers, as the alternative is to vacate the broader vocational field to male-dominated professionals who prefer to hide better behind secrecy and stupid numbers. I address the old-fashioned male mindset in manufacturing which relies on public funding and regulation demanding many different, secret and costly financial operations, later below. In short, Bill Ferris and the usual venture capital operations can go and fuck themselves in 2023 because sensible people in universities and other places wouldn't buy that crap. Tell Nixon too?

In 2001, I went to the first **Joint Venture Commercialising Health Innovations forum** in Sydney for two days. I also provided the forum with posters of a naked pregnant woman and a nude baby with research project papers to support the first principles of the environmental approach the world appears to need now, including to reduce global warming. See more at www.Carolodonnell.com **The Joint Venture Commercialising Health Innovations Forum** was richly supported by **AUS HEALTH INTERNATIONAL** and the Australian Biotechnology Association. I got in free because I was presenting relevant posters and project outlines, to one of the most illuminating events about the way commercialisation was supposed to occur for university projects, that I have ever seen. No wonder these guys do everything in secret because if anybody understood the huge risks they are imposing on any effective democratic governance, let alone on university and related individual staff reputations in the process, some would jeer the risk would never be worth it. I would join them but I was too politely fascinated at the time. (Writing has made me bolder since.)

NAPLAN results apparently show girls outperform boys in writing and reading, while the gender gap is starkest in writing. This tends to confirm my experience as a girl, woman, teacher and an employer in the NSW public service, intent on recruiting and working to provide plain language publications as only lawyers really benefit from legal rubbish. The article entitled '**Foundational skills' focus of English syllabus overhaul** appears to conclude that to improve writing, we need to get students reading more, and widely. As a student and teacher of university undergraduate and postgraduate students for many years I found writing as early as possible is often best, so one may constantly revise what one has written, or confirm it further, in the light of further experience or reading. I have seen many students eventually fail degrees, after many years of reading, reading, reading, but without the capacity for beginning to write or to finish writing any substantial piece of written work of any kind. For many people, men in particular, writing appears to be something to be avoided at all costs. This is expensive and generally unnecessary or undesirable in many cases. Let the writers write and suggest they start writing and typing early. The alternative appears to be to get lots of professional monkeys on the tools who are often expensively hidden and wrong.

As Richard Glover points out in ***Changing tastes keep us on our mistletoes***, in the Sydney weekend Spectrum, at some stage after 2001 half the nation got Spotify and said they no longer needed the gift of music, then they subscribed to a streamer and said they no longer needed the gift of TV or film. Others bought a Kindle and an Audible subscription and declared that even books no longer passed muster. Then they started giving each other one on one 'experiences' at Xmas in the form of vouchers, instead of other products we could buy ourselves. (They appear idiots best left to their own devices?) If writing is the goal, it seems clear to me that more writing is most necessary for better writing, and

reading is a way of improving one's later writing. Teachers increasingly resist this approach, however, because there is a general preference for technological solutions supporting the male desire for secret silly operations which may or may not become adversarial with time. Writing is increasingly becoming a method for linking and driving the interests of a range of self-interested professional groups, connected or not, without focus on the particular written message and what it might mean for the reader or writer. **I address this matter comparatively in NSW health care and NSW State Super and Aware Super fund management approaches later and attached, as well as in typically male manufacturing and related financial views on intellectual property.** We are ideally our own intellectual property, just as we are ideally our own genetic property. This approach to more effective and democratically inclusive service, which also takes better account of individual choice, may be found in the Australian Law Reform Commission (ALRC), National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and Australian Health Ethics Committee volumes entitled **Essentially Yours on the Protection of Human Genetic Information** (2003), for example.

The dominant and increasing belief in the increasing unimportance of better writing, has particularly arisen since the wildly popular introduction of the mobile phone and camera around 2008. I can easily see why this has become so popular as I've always loved movies and wanted to make them too, as a writer supporting a project. Since the advent of the iPhone, however, the grading approach to selling, appears reified in an increasing range of IT publishing, filing and storage products, designed to the supposed or actual advantage of the business of its controlling organization or professional body. (Let me state this as delicately as I can. These guys wouldn't know shit about intellectual property and the related right to call one's body one's own. However, as a woman and teacher I have thought about intellectual property matters through life, and about the ways writing may continue to serve the goals of the common state and estate, rather than undermining them. I have invariably observed, for example, that in any duo, the woman was usually primed to do all the family writing, because men usually need protection from that emotional, not number stuff.)

This is where Nixon Apple and I came in together. He has lived in Melbourne somewhere since 1994 and apparently is still hiding from my contact. Why is it so and is it just me? Is this the kind of behaviour you want from people supposedly wrapped up in assisting commercial approaches? I guess the guys think so and the girls are not sure. I write this partly because I've just read the article entitled **Girls beating boys in most subjects**, which refers again to the Higher School Certificate (HSC) (SMH 12.12.22, p.6). It states that a larger proportion of girls than boys achieved high ratings in male-dominated economics and business studies, even though a Reserve Bank of Australia study found female students had less interest in studying them. (The boys in law often seem nicer?)

In the matter of wills and estate management, however, the funding institutions of government, State Super and Aware Super appear incapable of taking their own service oaths to members or residents of NSW seriously. They prefer to forcefully shovel them off

to continuing lawyers and related financial interests in growing numbers as usual. These people are far from cheap and risk free. They appear to operate in increasingly unstable international environments that push as much cost and risk to us at the end of the line as they can on a continuing basis, before they leave the field to do God knows what and where. The international relations developing in this context appear increasingly full of financial risk and pain, when more broadly stable and openly managed states seem better to inform all our populations. In this environment I salute the continuing development of national and free to air media being tailored to the global and local development contexts, as usual.

The many great benefits of great IT use for faster, simple and cost-effective service appear typically overlooked or denied by professionals often also posing as our friends' parents at school or temple, for example. In *The Year of Travelling Dangerously*, for example, Lonely Planet co-founder, Tony Wheeler, ponders pandemic travel and next year's adventures (SMH 10.12.2022, p16). God knows he should know about travel, but as a hopeless grandma alone with an iphone, I could only agree that many should probably hand over their immigration technology matters to Australia for swift and effective entry and re-entry. As a formerly travelling grandma, who fears and hates new technology most, I agree that Australia is a fabulous technology entry destination by air. As at Palm Beach, one's spirit also lifts to see the beautiful water and trees from above, as the glistening essence of our comparatively free and equal country. (Don't let the foreign arseholes take it away from us? Remember DH Lawrence and Kangaroo? Note for reference that returned soldiers can have their down side? Forever a passionate English teacher my strongest defence is always of the canon. *"Don't it always seem to go that you don't know what you've lost till it's gone"* may be true in many cases. However, it may often be far worse if you never lost something because you never had it. Sleep knits up the ravelled sleeve of care, etc. etc. etc. Surely a truer word was never written or spoken around the world upon this subject since Shakespeare's time. I may never leave home again but I hope I'm just kidding. I've seen that kind of wild optimism before and it has often been in old men. To forget the importance of preparation for death is to be disconnected from reality.

THE KEY IMPORTANCE FOR GOOD DEVELOPMENT OF RECOGNIZING THE NATIONAL SPINE OF RELIABLE INFORMATION AND RELATED ORGANS KEEPING AUSTRALIA ON DEMOCRATIC TRACK

Mine is the old-fashioned regional approach, because the professionals with commercial links are increasingly driving all our processes of business and entertainment now through what they call information technology (IT), laughingly perhaps. This is selling, and what they are selling is their desire to make more money with a lot less effort and commitment than was once necessarily the case in more honestly committed local cultures. In wealthy cultures this lot proliferates and could be directed to much greater use in the public interest than they appear capable of currently.

In mine and in broadly and openly managed competition, what you may see is the less risk and more secure option for good development. The intellectual property is opened through the gift of good communications. (I began to really hate a portion of the left in Australia when the fashion came along for attacking the quality of the national mainstream Australian press as well as free to air TV and radio. Don't fucking come to me from fucking South America and tell us our key democratic institutions are corrupt, for example. Whether this corruption is so may depend upon facts changing over time, which may also produce reviews. Can Australian manufacturing and any of their related interests say that? I guess not but always wonder what they would say to my questions.)

I first address matters of unnecessary risk and expense to the national estate in regard to its written, as distinct from numerical product, because I think that writing about direction often lends a more helpfully clarifying historical and current reality, than trying to assess things accurately in numerical and financial terms. This may especially be the case for people who don't appear to understand the difference between the hypothesis, the projection and the prediction, especially when discussing factors related to risk management in the particular place with a particular culture or cultures, for example. I am furious that the numerical grading course has become increasingly fashionably 'exact' to accommodate the apparently universal wish for more scholarly status.

However, as I see it the emphasis on money being thrown at technological development and spread has generally become an increasingly invisible and undesirable risk and cost to the national estate, as well as for people acting as normal or abnormal individuals all over the country. I hope that university and teaching life in particular can rise above this commercial approach, in the service of us all. I tried to define all my teaching to that end and consider that the decade I spent working in the NSW public service in the 1980s and 1990s was my best teacher. The product as proof, however, may also be a deeply galling notion to any scholar, as it has been for me. You may buy my autobiography, **Power Loving: Everything you didn't want to know about sex and lawyers**, at www.Carolodonnell.com.au for example. Self-publishing is good as at least you know there will be a real person dedicated to pushing the book instead of trying to make money from the would-be author. Don't believe anybody who tells an author they should expect to make money, rather than spend it in the process of writing, publication and sale, let alone storage. On the other hand, Glebe is now awash with free books which are often enormously interesting, educational, enjoyable to read and available free from little cupboards along the streets which are often also overflowing with great book products. Baby and other rich writers like us should look who's supporting them and who they are supporting now and if it matters. What is the risk and do you need it for enjoyment, learning and promotion? We are our own intellectual property. However,

grandmas may have a lot more in common with the views of good doctors, with less danger of loss than with lawyers.

As I wrote to National Party members and others: 'As a 75 year old member of State Super, who is grateful to be on such a reliable, clear and regular pension, which is indexed to rising costs of living until I die, whether I'm rapidly going gaga or not, I am disgusted by the advertisement from **Aware Super** in the **State Super Calendar for 2022**. The dominant advertising trope from Aware Super, in a nation such as Australia, where industry superannuation funds are supposed to support members first, surely should not be as follows:

Are you making the most of your retirement? Learn how to invest to minimise tax and maximise any government entitlements. Meet with an Aware Super financial planner to understand how it all works and get financial advice tailored to your situation.

The **State Super** magazine **pensionVIEWS** is often very informative and interesting. However, **Aware Super** advertisement portrays the state as an enemy who one has a right to want to rip off to the maximum extent possible in law. I have never felt about the Australian state like that and I don't think workers ever employed by the state should be encouraged to do so. The Australian state gave me a good education, good health care and a lot of other good support and entertainment free or nearly free throughout my life. The obnoxious **Aware** attitude makes me sick. A page is taken up by an **Aware Super** advertisement suggesting that as a member of **State Super** I should book an appointment with an Aware super lawyer to help me get my affairs in order before I die. The assumption in the advertisement is that I will be given a good estate plan which should make me confident that my wishes will be carried out and my loved ones will be looked after when I am gone. I am sick of being told to find a financial adviser I can trust as I have only ever had reason to have confidence in a comparatively simple, known and ideal equation I've never found. Call me unlucky?

I have therefore researched my will and written it myself. It is attached for you to witness if you will. In the article **Important estate planning tips every member should know**, in **pensionVIEWS** (October 2022), however, we are told that using a **Do it Yourself** will kit can leave you open to the risk that your will is not properly signed and witnessed and therefore invalid. In addition, depending on the words used, it may not effectively reflect your wishes. From my research I can tell you that the average lawyer wouldn't give a shit about whether anybody had filled in the simple Medicare organ donation form and posted it back, or filled in the apparently reasonable forms from NSW Health nominating an enduring guardian with power of attorney. I appointed my daughter in both cases and she is also the

nominated beneficiary of my estate. After years of telling me I should take my financial affairs into my own hands, don't start telling me that I need a lawyer working with their unknown financial mates to manage them, after they have stored and filed my will; that they forced me to make and store on their IT terms; telling me nothing else is likely to be valid; while charging me for a process which is increasingly being removed from any more effective control. I will pick my will written with my daughter every time, like many of us, I guess. I note that Aware Super was the first super fund in Australia with a dedicated legal team that offers an estate planning service that is 'friendly, affordable and cheaper'. I'm much cheaper and free of risk than that as I usually tried good choices for my capacities rather than risk. This is because I'm not managing my own business by relying on others in secret and in a way which appears likely to rip me off through my own ignorance of the historical and current environment, either intentionally or not, while pretending to be expert on the basis of ideas about price movements and arbitrage. The financial filth will win every time using me, I expect.

One wonders why tax minimisation (which might be called tax avoidance if we had the money to find out more about it); or soaking government for more, should be encouraged in any population, especially one of former public servants, as members of State Super funds often are. As a teacher with more respect for common sense, like many doctors and other people, the financial imperatives make me increasingly sick. **PensionVIEWS** informs me that unlike super funds, State Super Scheme legislation does not allow for binding or non-binding nomination of a superannuation beneficiary. That seems fair and good for general stability to me but I have no idea how others may be affected by the approach or what is normally considered the best approach in any superannuation funds. **The least they could do for members, I think, would be to take the Medicare and NSW health plain language approaches to drawing up simple wills and give people the choice to follow their guidance in filling them in. Take choice from the professionals like lawyers and financial planners and give it to us as it's cheaper and better as well as being far less risk for everybody involved.**

In consideration of the usual and more expertly hallowed, increasingly global and apparently wild and dysfunctional numerical product under risk management, I asked the following question before the **Treasurer's Summit on Jobs and Skills**. This is discussed in regard to many issues concerning the continuing desire for professional closure and certification, but answer came there none so far:

How good or bad for the personal money and the rest is the M in STEM? How necessary is mathematics, and of what kind, to meet the key national need for jobs and career paths in the globally or locally linked economies of Australian states today and in future generations? What jobs and careers in your regional area are open to those who specialized in mathematics and mathematical skills? Do we need them or others in

Australia, for example? Why? How does any current mathematical teaching assist resolution of problems of climate change or its reduction? I address these matters attached and on my website in regard to real estate agents and property managers. This has implications for construction, manufacturing or other industry and environment management matters to obtain better results for the key stakeholders and others involved in any project. (Try to put the key stakeholders first was an eighties mantra which now appears lost again. Dear God this long march through the institutions has become incredibly long and boring. It's a good job we can all still be relatively well fed and entertained and home still seems nice and secure. I may stay home forever with my current technology because it's the only kind I can ever get to work and it seems increasingly to be an IT jungle out there in the commercial and government streams. God knows what the charities are doing but I hope others such as MP and Minister Andrew Leigh can offer some tips, along with the Samuel Griffiths Society and former charities commissioner Gary Johns. I thought that his book **The Charity Ball, How to Dance to the Donors' Tune (2014)** was absolute rubbish without good regional structure or understanding of any kind as far as I could see. But who am I to judge when others clearly enjoyed it?

As I previously wrote, in the 1980s, I was unaware of the useful concept grouping **Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)** for discussion of education, job and career aspirations and standards, whether for women or men. I write as a traditional woman, whose mathematical thinking is unconfident and slow. I tend to avoid complex mathematics and value stable, simple, personal knowledge most. The professionals are ruling to make themselves more money with cooperative IT strategies serving legal and commercial approaches to peoples' problems, not the cheaper solutions they want and can more easily and directly handle at lower cost. The professional service aim is often to keep a consumer returning to the same or related place for more or related services, not to satisfy them with a single encounter. Our weaknesses may appear preyed upon and increased in such professional encounters, in which lawyers usually also play the most important and increasingly powerful roles. I address my will and project funding in related regional contents here and attached, considering the apparently unsatisfactory relationships between NSW State Super and Aware Super for serving fund members, beneficiaries, residents of NSW and others beyond these shores. In these covid-inspired days of defence and related global trade re-alignment, I particularly commend Penny Wong, the new Australian foreign affairs minister. Her recent institution of the new Magnitsky sanctions against top morality police and other officials in Iran, who martyred Mahsa Amini, perhaps, for wearing her hijab too loosely, was good. According to the article **Wong hits human rights abusers with Magnitsky laws**, (SMH 10.12.22, News p. 9) such laws allow the government **to revoke visas, ban travel and seize property from individuals who might try to hide assets in Australia**. This seems a vitally important and new approach to sanctions, which commonly hurt the very people most likely to be already oppressed by any ruling political and military iron wills, in my comfortably experienced knowledge watch on development.

Secrecy is merely ignorance for others under another name. Ignorance may be bliss but is very unhealthy. A perfect market requires perfect information, particularly in the absence of love. I agree with PM Anthony Albanese that high trust and high accountability make a good management and administrative combination. Collective openness appears as a comparatively good and cheap way to achieve it in many cases. However, the desire for gain through competitive destruction, which is the common nature of warfare states, enriches some to the detriment of others in any warring rather than cooperative environment, whether handled in secret or not. In any related global, regional or local contexts, I always strongly salute Microsoft Office email and filing functions, which are also supported by comparatively clear and reliable website information and comparatively reliable national broadcast sources such as the ABC and SBS TV and radio, as well as other free to air national media. Sadly, however, the construction of the will, including power over the equity in any related housing, appears increasingly in the hands of lawyers and their financial mates, whose goal draws the market up, rather than producing the kind of planned stability with managed competition that may benefit people in the lower end of markets, not the tops. The financial professionals are often driving for more broadly powerful top clients. They may walk away from any oncoming crash relatively unscathed, while picking up more money and property than as well.

SWIMMING TEACHING AND THE LITTLE EMPEROR SYNDROME BEING ENCOURAGED BY PROFESSIONALS TO THE DETRIMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES (I PREFER THE WEA UKULELE TEACHER)

The rapidly driving forces of IT now appear to have wiped out any assumed right to reliable information or other product transfer between traders in many unknown places with unknown needs. In this context, somebody should tell Kieran Perkins and the Australian Sports Commission about the general problem that from any regional point of view, recreation ideally comes before sport for planning purposes, rather than being driven by sport. In 2022, authors of the current **City of Sydney Economic Discussion Paper**, working under the **Central Sydney Planning Strategy** offer the following vision for a strong and resilient local economy, for example. Their aim is to: 1. transition to a green and circular economy 2. build an innovation economy 3. strengthen our inclusive economy 4. revitalise our city centre. When consultants explored what people want from their city, **it was found that 86% want more green, open public space; 77% want more precincts for creativity, arts and expression; and 75% want more flexible work and return-to-office choice. Residents around here said they want campaigns to reduce climate change and protect nature.** They recognise the need to prevent planning over-development, and the need to preserve local heritage. They want good local public transport and are concerned about a range of other local matters from street parking to local trees. Dealing with rubbish (waste) is a particular challenge as we have huge populations passing daily through this rich

city, leaving their rubbish behind. I address the related views of Kobi Shetty, running for the Greens in Balmain, and Hannah Middleton later. They are apparently declaring war on social housing from the public housing perspective. That seems like a good idea to me because public housing is subsidised by the government, whereas social housing usually means housing subsidised by non-government social housing providers. It would be great to know which operations appear better and why from the general perspective of those most concerned about providing better housing to meet Australian needs more cheaply.

In the future, one fears that the demands of professional sport will increasingly rule the roost globally and locally because for many it is the strongest symbol of their regional pride and the competitive or aggressive expression of life, especially between old and younger men. God knows why so many appear to feel it personally when winning or losing has nothing to do with them. The professional approach to teaching appears increasingly to want to keep everybody coming back for more and supposedly better service, and this is very clear in how students may be taught to swim today, in my opinion as a grandma. The first swimming teacher's step today appears to be to coax the child to put its whole head under water, while wearing goggles, while never pestering the dissenting child to act. As one may imagine, this doesn't make sense to anybody who learned to dog-paddle quickly and safely, by keeping their head and hair above water, so that they didn't feel uncomfortable and frightened of drowning. (We may even like a pretty bathing cap.) When I have seen swimming teachers as a grandma, I have often felt this professional commercial approach, concerned endlessly about early swimming technique, apparently, is an expensively unhelpful approach to teaching anything. It superficially appears designed to give everybody the shits except the teacher and their more professional associations. In comparison, the Workers Education Authority (WEA) course on the ukulele was a complete delight because of the style of teaching where recreation and music appreciation were gifted to everybody in the room by a consummate professional using many instruments and historical clips of globally famous and forgotten pop stars. From this fertile soil which teaches everybody alike the keenest practicing performers may easily emerge from the crowd to lead the others. In my case, I was inspired to write my autobiography instead. I wrote it partly as the music treatment of the ukulele teacher had been such a great delight just before covid lockdown in 2020. I was too lazy to keep up the ukulele practice but I finished my autobiography in time to submit it for the **David Harold Tribe Award** at Sydney University in November 2020. It didn't win but there are many ways to skin a willing cat with or without money.

From this general regional and lifestyle perspective, the requirement for a four-year degree for trainee teachers, for example, also seems mainly inspired by teacher unions to limit the entry to the teaching profession and to keep its intake conventionally young and narrow for industrial bargaining purposes which also strengthen those already in the system. Any confident adult who has got sick of practicing as a lawyer or accountant, for example, could probably become a good English or history teacher in the first case, and a good mathematics

teacher in the second, after six-months training. The problem with any professional grouping is that it is usually constructed to serve the industrial interests of its normally commanding groups, not outsiders, however experienced and capable, or not, that they or the latter may be. This is far from denying the importance of standards and how to maintain them in practice. Certification must be understood separately from education to understand the normal place of skill in achieving both. Open operation which may be publicly seen and debated on the way through and in its product seems important both for maintaining and broadening standards of practice, not closing them off. The digital platforms supporting Australian research and related teaching and archival institutions are addressed on www.Carolodonnell.com.au under the Heritage Way sidebar, in my autobiography entitled **Power Loving: Everything you didn't want to know about sex and lawyers**, and related places on-site.

Unfortunately, with any sport but especially in football and golf, it appears increasingly to be the case of the professional and gambling tails appearing to wag the dogs even more than usual. Never mind, as we could be in Ukraine or Russia, letting ourselves be maimed, destroyed and murdered by the usual guys' disputes and stockpiles that always appear needed so much longer than expected. Do these guys ever appear foolishly unwilling to learn from history to you? It's good to see Foreign Affairs Minister, Penny Wong, is not taking the usual approaches to sanctions and trades with Iran which often hurt the normal populations and where men like Anthony Albanese and his football mates or worse, may take the normal opportunity to spruik their disgusting military wares as usual.

ADDRESSING NIXON APPLE AND OTHERS AS A NEW INFLUENCER WITH LOTS OF STUFF POTENTIALLY TO GIVE AWAY. WHY PAY FOR WHAT YOU CAN GET BETTER FREELY OR DIRT CHEAP?

Nixon Apple's submission to the Joint Subcommittee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade in 2001 demonstrates the general IT problem before it became entrenched on steroids to create distrust and productive dysfunction for the state and an increasing number of individuals, through their own unfamiliarity with the professional mediums, or through outright fraud by others. This is Nixon discussing what I can only assume are something to do with IT and funds. He discusses **IIF licences**. (Translations welcome regarding this obnoxious business practice of using letters without words.)

The other thing I would say about the early stage of investment is that the Commonwealth government's IIF licences have made the world of difference. We have now got nine of them. We have got \$360 million up there. That will lead to

investments in about 100 to 150 companies at the early start-up stage. My only regret is that they are largely in the area of IT, software, dot.com. There is very little of this going into manufacturing. Only one of the nine licences, Nanyang Enterprises, has a business plan where 40 per cent will be at the traditional manufacturing end, 20 per cent in medical devices and 40 per cent in IT equipment. We have been trying to get some interest from the state governments in establishing IT licences at the state level and trying to use them in particular; not just to build a venture capital base in their state but to foster those linkages between the manufacturers and the R&D infrastructure in that state. We are still not very good at harvesting the ideas in that infrastructure, getting them into the factories and using these IIF licences to get these firms up past the early expansion stage and growing rapidly. I think we should persist with the IIF licences. The second round of licences was very good, particularly the way you tried to get a focus on some of the regional areas— when you picked foundation capital in Western Australia that pulled in WA, South Australia and the Northern Territory. I think there is more that we can do in focusing some of these IIF licences on the regions.....It has been very difficult for us in the manufacturing industry because you have had a great deal of downsizing and tariff cuts have hurt. From an economist's point of view, you look at the peak of each business cycle and you compare the situation as it was in 1972, 1973, 1979, 1980, 1989, 1990 and 1999. You look at levels of employment and levels of economic activity. When we do that comparison at the peak of the business cycle, we have seen a lot of jobs disappear, we have seen a great deal of damage done and we have seen a great deal of job insecurity.

In *Manufacturer's Monthly* (undated) Nixon apparently writes the following as a member of the Australian Super investment committee: *There are two challenges that the Australian manufacturing industry and the other sectors will continue to face a decade or more from now. "In terms of commercialisation, there is the question of why we aren't doing as much as we would like to do with our inventions, ideas and intellectual property. And the second is that we haven't done very well in building a strong mid-market sector. Furthermore, he goes on to explain that there is a very small pipeline of new entrants into the mid-market in Australia and fewer graduating at the other end. "While there is no holy grail for how you address those problems, one thing that collaboration can do is to throw up some ideas and examples of the things that are working," Apple said. "In terms of collaboration beginning to rebuild the mid-market, we are at least seeing some runs on the board." Abroad – and particularly in Europe – some clever public policy combines foreign-direct investment in technology companies and the growth of domestic supply chains through collaborative relationships. The Australian Government assists businesses to overcome potential pitfalls through the Accelerating Commercialisation program, which provides matching grants of up to \$1 million and access to expert commercialisation advisers.*

What is a mid-market sector? In his article **'The card tricks all governments play with your tax'** (SMH 12.12.22, p.25) old timer Ross Gittins suggests, perhaps, that this 'mid-market sector' may be like 'the medium term' which is "an econocrat's term for the next ten years". As Gittins points out, however, these economic projections are not predictions but assumptions about everything going to plan to meet the aims of a project in circumstances which may also require changes in the original production assumptions over time. In the context where money may be collected but not spent for its original purpose of supposed collection in some cases, partly because spending it properly and faster is too hard, one easily may become increasingly cynical about the normal means and effects of legal, financial and related professional operation.

This top emphasis on IT development in the absence of an effective regional and organizational focus on its purpose besides making money for the venture capitalist or some of their other mates with access to funds is not as helpful as it might otherwise be, with more broadly understood and effective regional planning and knowledge. Besides having spent so much time trying and failing to contact Nixon, one naturally wonders if there would be any point in trying to contact the Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, the Canberra Business Chamber, Business NSW, Northern Territory Chamber of Commerce, Powering Business Potential, Business SA, TCCI (?), the Victorian Chamber of Commerce or the Chamber of Commerce and Industry WA. I guess, on the basis of former experience, that they've got no email capacity to receive, let alone reply to any proposals. The question **'Is it because I'm a woman'**, always springs to mind when trying to connect with any typically male commercial mind like this. I guess they've got their old phone mates as usual.

"A key barrier limiting the commercialisation of new-to-market innovations is the phenomenon commonly referred to as the 'Valley-of-Death'," said David Wilson, general manager of the Department of Industry's Commercialisation Policy Branch. *"[That is] where a business may have a proof-of-concept or working prototype, but is not yet generating revenue. To successfully traverse this, a business needs significant time, connections and financial outlay."* Gee boys, tell us more about it and how I can find Nixon in Melbourne to get a meeting with him, preferably before Christmas. Any idea why he avoids my contact? Is it because I'm a grandma and he would rather work with those closer? Or is he sick or poor or what? He used to say that he would always love me so what is wrong with him now? Is it just another woman supporter stepping in? The relations between men and women can be a lot more complex than most professionals will ever willingly enquire into and I can't say that I blame them that much. (Please tell Nixon I can pay for any trip if necessary.)

Cheers and best wishes for any help with finding Nixon in Melbourne to send this message. I've tried Bill Ferris but he's always been an important venture capitalist and wouldn't reply to a stupid old woman like me.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney
2037 www.Carolodonnell.com.au

Hi Paul and Others,

RE NEW STATE ASSISTED DYING LEGISLATION: AT XMAS PLEASE FIND OUT THE BEST METHOD OF KILLING OLD PEOPLE WHO WANT TO DIE AND ALSO ASK PROFESSIONALS WHO ARE PREPARED TO KILL THEM TO STEP UP AND REVEAL A SERVICE PROPOSAL

(DON'T GO THROUGH THE NORMAL PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS FOR THIS, AS EVERYTHING WILL BECOME MORE PROCESSES TO SEE THAT NOTHING EVER HAPPENS EXCEPT THAT THE USUAL PEOPLE CONTINUE TO MAKE MONEY BY PROVIDING MORE JOBS ON THEIR TERMS FOR THEMSELVES AND THEIR PROFESSIONAL MATES

Dying With Dignity appears to think there is a shortage of doctors who have had training for implementing new assisted dying legislation, especially in regional areas.

They are going through the doctors' organization about it. That will always take forever and be resisted for the usual association and industrial reasons which often interfere so much with people obtaining what they say they want as individuals. This includes the service of death when an old individual wants to go, perhaps because they have seen the male lies for too long to ever believe or have faith in them again. **Doctors could teach anybody to kill somebody fast and flawlessly? How?**

One often wonders how their women maintain their loyalty to this male association crap, which was entrenched before a service culture came about, at least for all those richest. Because of the stupid complexity of their IT, I am stuck at home with TV because I like it more than most available people for close contact. You can tell Charlie Pickering and his stupid little blackfella footballer mate on ABC TV, however, that their attitude to other black people in deep distress in the world disgusts me, so I just angrily turned the TV off and went to read a book in bed instead. What else can you smug bastards or indeed any of us do?

I am bored by your continuous puerile rubbish. with the significant exception of 'You can't ask that' on ABC TV and always look out for the great SBS movies instead. I guess it will be this way until I decide that I want to be killed, one assumes, because I am depressed by the future and/or ready. **I want this to happen easily, without fuss.**

Many years ago, at a 10 day Buddhist retreat in the Blue Mountains, I among others was advised to observe the process of my own death and make the most of it. Since two old sick men in my family have tried to kill themselves, and expensively and painfully botched the job, I guess that kind of thing is just another inconvenient truth the usual boys couldn't give a shit about and the girls learn to keep to themselves. Will the assisted dying legislation mean anything different in practice? That depends on brave individuals in your district,

starting with doctors, to come out and deliver a service and training. (You've heard of coming out. Now's your real authentic chance.)

Recommendations:

I recommend the brave old individual respected doctor route, willing to teach nurses, pharmacists, dentists, psychologists, priests or anybody else who appears capable of learning how to kill somebody in the way the dying person wants. What is the best method of killing somebody fast without painfully botching the killing process?

By all means start with military doctors, among others, because they have had so much practice in killing people and destroying their means of support that they might welcome the change, by killing people who actually want to die when they decide themselves, rather than when some little tin God they don't believe in takes them.

I also recommend an advertisement for their killing training and death services in the Australian Financial Review or Sydney Morning Herald as usual. **You can never remind them too often that they are supposed to be killing people who want to be killed, as distinct from making as much money with their mates as usual.**

You can always remind Anthony Albanese and the usual Labor bastards and their loyal puppet women because I'm sick of the form instead of the obvious substance.

Cheers Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney
2037 www.Carolodonnell.com.au