

TO: Deputy Premier, Minister for Regional New South Wales, and Minister for Police, Leader of The Nationals, Chief Executive Women, AI Group and Others;

- The FLAG annual networking event with speaker, Tanya Plibersek, Minister for Environment and Water and others
- Senate Economic References Committee, Coverforce Strata Insure Pty Ltd, Treasurers and Others
- The European Union Australian Delegation and others
- The Bunyip aristocracy, hiding in the bush with Henry George and others. Please pass on.

CULTURE WARS AND KEY OPENING ADVICE FOR THE 2023 STATE ELECTIONS

If you get bored with reading this legal attack you should scroll down to the discussion of personal mythology at the end, considering God's role in the Ministry along with Justice Michael Kirby. (See Daryl Dellora's book, **Michael Kirby, Law, Love and Life (2012)**). This is a great demystification of a top local lawyer, but as a Christian, I find it hard to take him nearly as seriously as Henry George. I considered putting this last section **on considering God's Role as umpire** at the beginning of this legal advice to liven it up. However, I feared you might be confused. Keep hope alive because I will be fucked if I can under current conditions. Please deliver Nixon Apple to me by Christmas because Melbourne is not far away and he won't reply to me. See below and attached for related advice.

Cheers Carol (aka Lilith the Magic Pudding, Chief Alternative to Faith, and Queen of the Monkeys). See supporting evidence below, attached and at www.Carolodonnell.com.au

CULTURE WARS: GARY HART, THE PERROTETS AND THE 2023 NSW ELECTIONS

It aint me; It aint me/ I aint no Senator's son, no

It aint me; It aint me/ I aint no fortunate one, no.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank Street, Glebe, Sydney 2037

INTRODUCTION TO THE AUSTRALIAN CHARACTER: AN ATHEIST GRANDMA RECOMMENDS NEW ATTACKS ON KEY MAJOR PARTIES IN 2023 AUSTRALIAN STATE ELECTIONS

According to news report, the leaders of the Liberal and Labor parties in NSW are self-declared Christians. According to the last Australian census, Christianity is the most common religion in Australia, with **43% of our population identifying as Christian**. This dropped from 52% in 2016. While fewer people are reporting their religion as Christian, more are reporting 'no religion'. That's me too. **Almost 40 per cent of Australia's population reported having no religion in the 2021 Census, an increase from 30% in 2016**. I do not speak of other faiths lest any are confused about what should be here, in NSW. However, as part of populations, atheists have rights too. Tell this to obnoxious politicians who disrespect their representative roles and all of us, by voting against

legislation to help old people in NSW who want to die earlier than they might with more medical or nursing intervention. (Me too.)

I address the Australian press first, however, in reference to Premier Perrottet's leadership, to ask why this leading couple willingly conceived and parented seven children together and why they think they were right to do so. This is a moral rather than a legal question, like many which must be asked in global economies and their related multicultural communities. The global and Australian evidence is that it is good for families, communities and the state to encourage and limit birth reasonably fairly, using well developed norms as usual in such matters. Related matters considering key regional services which should serve all Australians and others better are addressed later below and attached.

Why have the Perrottets chosen to have so many children in a world where rapidly rising social inequality appears to be driving even worse living conditions for women and children in many places? Surely it shows bad leadership or character to have so many children, as it appears unfair to more deserving others, without the Perrottet family financial opportunity, who are born anyway. Those whose fertility appears beyond their own safe control, appear particularly oppressed in places where violent disorder, other natural or man-made catastrophe and famine threaten many new people. I take a moral view of birth and death as a legal one seems oppressively limited and adversarial to me. I differ strongly from the avowedly Christian and homosexual judge, Michael Kirby, who has long influenced the top. See more about this later and in Daniel Dellora's book, **Law, Love and Life**. I oppose him.

In the light of much new misery and disruption in the world we see on news TV every night, I would like to establish the international and Australian context from which I speak for this recommendation to give the flick by all means reasonable to the current families leading Liberal and Labor in the NSW election in 2023. These guys appear not to represent anybody but themselves morally. They certainly don't represent me. I am an atheist grandma who, unlike the male leaders of both major parties, is glad the Parliament passed the Voluntary Assisted Dying Act (2022). According to the NSW Health website, the Act will allow eligible people the choice to access voluntary assisted dying from 28.11.23. As an atheist of 75, I should be helped to go when I want and my wishes should be freely made good for the country, as I intend them to be. (I have been inspired, seeing the movie **Soylent Green** again, although the author underestimated the coming strength of marketing and technological forces. Now a dizzying range of consumption is available to the average wealthier punter. This is the opposite of Michael Kirby who favoured James Dean in **East of Eden**. I digress.)

I also hope to extend and pursue the Australian culture wars in this new global and regional rather than law and lawyer inspired light. I do so first by openly questioning professional tracks which ignore the effects of unequal reproduction in worsening the apparent ills of feudal and tribal societies, or more open others. Like Papua New Guineans, I also favour the death penalty in some clear cases, for reasons later.

I write about these matters of the life journey, from birth to death, which often appear treated medically, as well as in insurance and related legal practice, to question how Australians may go forward better in regions, first for people living here, and also for

others. These are key matters for elected members but also for any other people concerned about the effective management of any behaviour which may appear good, bad or corrupt to them or others. Key aspects of the new National Anti-corruption Commission (NACC) are addressed later to ask for clearer direction in an Australian economy which must increasingly operate globally as well as locally.

Sally McManus, Secretary of the Australian Council of Trade Unions (ACTU) gave little effective guidance in her talk to the National Press Club on ABC TV recently. She focused on the wage fixing system, while ignoring the newer effects of international banking and IT driving the rest of the Australian population wealth and markets. National superannuation legislation and related industrial bargaining and funds contributed to new international volatility and increasing inequality, while also increasing wealth at professional investor levels. Land acquisition and new or old building management are addressed attached, in conditions of skyrocketing external and internal demand for making safer investments in land and housing. Global land, housing and water demand appear to encourage more expensive adversarial behaviour, driven and linked by professional and collegiate closure objectives, than makes sense for good or better treatment. Broadly evidence based and caring services may bring more stability for future regional life and development. Open up.

To openly offer more analyses and tips in writing, admitting fault when shown wrong for some reason, appears to be the behaviour that one might expect from any genuine teacher or scholar, I guess. I too recommend it. I will address the functions of the Australian Financial Complaints Association (AFCA) and similar bodies later, in the context of the new federal legislation proposed for the National Anti-Corruption Commission (NACC). According to its website, AFCA assists consumers and small businesses to make and resolve complaints about financial firms. Its members include banks, insurers, credit providers, financial advisers and planners, debt collection agencies, superannuation providers and other businesses that provide financial products and services. What can they tell us?

How do these diverse bodies relate to each other and court (s)? I put this question widely to the top as the adversarial Christian legal tradition speaks only for its own particular protected beasts. However, it usually seeks to speak for the lot, in costly and stupidly hidden and adversarial ways. The Australian census shows that a lot of us are atheists whose interests, wishes and voices still appear ignored by the law. This does not equip Australia well to deal in a world with multiple faiths, besides no religion and political institutions in between, where many invest or are in paid work. The first Elizabethan age was so rich partly because so many people had died in horrific plagues that those who survived owned a lot more land. Baby boomers and their offspring need to understand land and property transfer effects so as to make their wills to good effect. These are key issues for the development of effective government and other policies on global warming, biodiversity protection, affordable housing for future generations and corruption. Step up with teals.

BIRTH AND DEATH MATTER MOST AND NOT ONLY IN CHRISTIAN LAW

Children matter most to those closest to them, which is their mother and siblings in most cases. The conditions of reproduction are key moral questions in and outside marriage for

generally effective social functioning. I refer first to Jordan Baker and the rest of the press who never ask any women why they think anyone has a right to bear more children than the national norm, especially if they appear likely to become increasing burdens on the common community. Globally, the common population indicators of wellbeing or standards, for land, housing and other stock ownership and investment, have rapidly increased within and between regions since 2001, with great increases in related inequality rather than the supposed reverse expected. I address this later for example.

These ownership and related wellbeing and wealth gaps have widened in many regions with related and rising concerns about legal and moral corruption. Yet the iPhone and other smart phones arrived, supposedly to liberate all of us more equally. Selling bullshit?

So far, the reverse of liberation from want appears to have happened for many, often in degraded land, water and housing. I address corruption in this global and particular regional light later, to address legal standards of corruption. This is to differentiate them from moral or other specific standards in any particular case, to develop better regionally, and to manage risk better. Judgment in professional circles of interest appears wrong in isolation from others which focus better on the environment around particular claims or cases. This is addressed in regard to property management and Australian Technology Council operations later and attached. Both industries provide indications of unhelpful professional management for anybody with simpler, more reasonable, service goals.

These service goals can be reached cheaper, clearer, quicker and better by being agreed in the context of goals for improved regional service to customers, producers and investors. All legal matters are drawn up to top benches. Speak and write in plain English like the public service, as distinct from the way law does. I commend the good website as it's all we have that makes sense. Definition in ruling law, for example, is often primitive, by simply repeating the word which would be defined for all to see in the common dictionary, an 18th century product. The lawyer is far too expensively silly as well as frighteningly out of any control. People like Michael Kirby or the Perrottets or the mafia have stacks free in the family. Why would you want to pay one to take you on frolics of their own you pay for? The law was never designed to be a service to anyone except the ruling monarch and his mates. Their dealings corrupted some police I guess, because they see their game as sewn up.

In the Sydney Morning Herald article; **Seven children and a premier husband are tip of the iceberg**, Jordan Baker reports on an interview at lunch with the wife of the current Premier, Dominique Perrottet (SMH) 24.9.22, p22). Helen Perrottet has had seven children as well as an important career of her own, as a lawyer. Baker doesn't ask her or the Premier why they think they have a right to have so many children more than Australian norms, which were set industrially by government, trade unions and employers shortly after states federated in 1901. Why don't Australians, including identified aborigines, ask whether it is a good idea or morally right for broader community harmony, for unusually fecund individuals to produce so many kids, whether they consider themselves to be male, female or other (like LGBTQI for example)? Why are these matters which are vital for the welfare of future generations so avoided? I can only explain it as wilful moral blindness not to question this.

Unhindered reproduction in wealthy and poor locations appears highly relevant to the continuing quality of life of the comparatively poor in many Northern and Southern regions in the world today, whether popular voting as well as reading and writing have been broadly entrenched in their regional development since their early 20th century history, or not. The MeToo movement has been embraced by the Australian media for good reason in my view, to reveal more about what may go on in work environments where men and women appear together, as many of us want, whatever others may think. I agree that the knowledge of what goes on in private spaces may be essential to prevent corruption. That appears, for example, to have been part of the system of US government even before Joe Kennedy used his business and political contacts, corrupt or not, to promote his boys like JFK and their chosen partners, for example. US Presidential candidate, Gary Hart, lost the 1987 election to Ronald Reagan, as the press wanted to concentrate on his sexual infidelity to his wife, which she had long ago accepted. Press coverage has since carried on as if we all believed in monogamous marriage for ourselves, not caring how well all children can be supported. I blame Americans most as their systems of law and government seem so wilfully stupid and Australians have become so steeped in their products, imbibed with mother's milk and US communication on a vast range of new and old-fashioned platforms. We all love our own.

However, the Australian incentives that government has historically given for couples is to have fewer children, to protect the quality of life of all in their surrounding communities as fairly as seems reasonable. If the aboriginal **Statement from the Heart** is to make any sense, can those communities willingly accept that a small minority keep on having children which the rest of the Australian community seem destined to have to support, whatever happens to them next? These problems have long appeared at top feudal and tribal levels, fuelling civil or other war and unrest. Since 1950, the Chinese nation has risen from centuries of its population being in repeated war and famine. They must surely have done something right, which I have seldom seen admitted in the Australian press, in spite of China now being the biggest trading partner for mining and agricultural products, particularly those in Western Australia. (We appear lucky West Australians don't seek their independence from the rest as many African regimes have been encouraged to do, supported by larger colonial powers.)

How could one ever have any confidence in the intelligent morality or understanding of any wealthy woman in the world today who willingly gives birth to seven children? How could one vote for the husband of such a woman? Are this Perrottet couple dumb about the world and their place in it, or what? Why don't Baker and others even ask? I also address aboriginal interests first, because steeped in Christian churches and Labor politics for so long, they might be confused why I seem particularly angry about the Perrotets. (I should warn you I also hate football as it seems like early self-abuse to me. Thank God it isn't as much like war as dressing up in funny clothes with kids. By all means go ahead with us and other disabled people, whether age is the cause of their disability, as it is in my case, or not. I count myself increasingly disabled by the demands of new technology, unlike many others. What supports us all is crucial, as regional communities and family members. Let's face it.

WHY DENY DEATH WITH DIGNITY FOR ATHEIST, ISLAMIC OR OTHER PEOPLE, IF THEIR WISH APPEARS REASONABLE FOR SERVING CURRENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS?

Atheists, true Christian believers and those of other faiths should demand good death services in Australia to help future generations meet their diverse cultural and moral obligations to themselves (or God) and others better. Only God knows what they are?

As an atheist 75 years old, I don't fear death nearly as much as the expensive, embarrassing and often painful decline of faculties, which often appear, perhaps for many years before the end. I address what dying with dignity means by turning first to research by Zeinab Hemati and nine others, published in the National Library of Medicine (Pub.Med). Writers of ***'Dying with Dignity: A concept analysis*** come from faculties of nursing and midwifery at the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, and the Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch of the Islamic Azad University, in Iran. I agree with them, for reasons addressed in the attached submission on the 2023 elections. My stance is partly because, like atheists, the beliefs and rights of Islamic people are seldom recognized in Australian and other law. Our law is based on earlier belief and organization pursued in environments based on law ruling in traditions called Christian for political and practical purposes. Lawyers appear unable to see their own historical roots, except in particular cases and decisions. It fosters narrow mindedness or self-blinding at the top echelons of decision making, driven by the legal rules in battles beneath, at least in theory. Too often law has no clear aims or a common dictionary which may more easily illuminate problems in their historical environment and production for better resolution. Lawyers might learn better behaviour from doctors, but lawyers drive.

Internationally, I would particularly stand up for Mahsi Amini who has recently been persecuted to death by religious police in Iran. She became a martyr for wearing her hijab too loosely. By all means bring the morality police to religious trial but her martyrdom should surely be recognised by all Muslims and others of faith around the world. It's hard for me, as an Australian atheist rather than a Muslim, but I'm sick of being treated by the state of New South Wales as if I was a Christian. Grow up as we can't take the law seriously.

In general, compared with many other nations, I have great praise for the way Australia has been run by its historic communities of mutual interest, which have normally been Australian producers and their supporting families of interest. Australians are also lucky as our home is 'girt by sea', as our national anthem joyfully proclaims. This appears better than going on about the joys of killing enemy others who some claim will invade us and reduce our normal standards. In the new global economy faced with US IT, there appear many new inconvenient hypotheses, based on fact or supposed opinion, if and when asked. I address money, death and children in historical and regional contexts far from the dominating adversarial legal and professional approaches which don't admit others.

I could never vote for Dominique Perrottet or any other man or woman who had seven children, unless they were adopted. If the Perrottets had taken their children out from any noxious surroundings I could easily honour their leadership. Since both Liberal and Labor leaders in NSW voted against the assisted dying legislation, they can give no sensible leadership anywhere for the future in my book. I speak historically at a time when Australia has accommodated more equally planned and peaceful migration globally than many other nations since the federation of Australian States under their pre-existing Constitutions began in 1901. George Megalogenis writes in **New goal: to close the gap in our imagination** (SMH 24.9.22, p. 30) that *'First Australians now outnumber the largest migrant*

community in Australia – those born in England’. First Australians are those who identify effectively with this land as part of an aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander population. As an English born child immigrant, now a grandma, I am part of the races dying here today. Should anyone care? Apparently, the English still outnumber First Australians in Melbourne. Aborigines are around number 10 on the ethnic ladder in that State capital. Should I or anybody else worry about it as much as the Europeans do with smaller countries, and much larger refugee or other populations coming from regions with great trouble and want? Megalogenis points out Indians are the largest overseas born community in Melbourne today, followed by Chinese. How are their beliefs in service to all accommodated, if at all?

SERVE THE PEOPLE INSTEAD OF THEIR MASTERS IN EXPENSIVE COURT APPROACHES

The ruling political forces in regard to the management, maintenance and construction of new buildings in NSW, don't appear to understand that the primary customer of government in these identified service industries, are the electors of the regions they are expected to represent. This is a big problem because land and housing are state matters and states are supposedly committed to building a lot more low-rental housing soon. Can they ever build at a rental which will be realistic for all Australians? I increasingly doubt it. Clear evidence for this charge against Liberal and Labor leadership, that they don't understand their customers, is attached in a discussion of The **Property and Stock Agents Regulation 2022**. It was remade by the Policy and Strategy, Better Regulation Division of the NSW Department of Customer Service. Remaking the existing Regulation with their amendments, supposedly to modernise and improve the current regime, would just make things more complicated, slower and more expensive than usual. Evidence for this view and recommendation to work closely and openly with Chinese leadership, wherever it comes from, is addressed later below and attached. Sally McManus, ACTU Secretary, said a quick, simple, fair and accessible wage fixing system is necessary where the referee has got back his whistle and can give penalties. However, her maintenance of the mainstream political and industrial adversarial mindset is ill equipped to gain consensual objectives. This is discussed attached, because risk management requires all management and other workers to understand themselves as together in pursuit of informed business goals. Try openly learning together. See this still-born approach from 1980s government at www.Carolodonnell.com.au

I hate the cruelty to children which normally springs from the feudally and tribally designed lives that Australia appears to have adapted to protect its population reasonably well, by international standards. The Australian industrial relations system was established to do so soon after the Federation of states in 1901. This was done on the basis that the male wage should be protected by general support for controlled incoming migration and set to a level which could support a wife and two to three children in 'frugal comfort'. Families of a dozen or more children were not uncommon at that time, so the state financial incentives were set towards child reduction and increasing education for all, rather than for earlier work and production by more offspring, as appears in some Australian regional welfare states now. Financial dependency on others besides oneself and one's family appears an

increasingly encouraged fact of life. How much this matters for anyone's wellbeing is a puzzle to me.

Globally, women who have had the choice of effective contraception and all it offers to them in career terms, have grabbed it with both hands since the invention of the Pill. Since the 1960s, comparatively wealthy women all over the world have reduced the level of their child bearing, as the expected length and cost of children's education has also risen. This has occurred whether or not those offspring have had much expectation of any paid work near home to meet their culturally and legally accepted standards for living, or not.

Whatever families and churches thought about it, contraception was better than an unprotected or illegal abortion to most, but both were less dangerous for a woman's health than bringing a pregnancy to term. It's cheaper for the international family to support fewer children, unless many or all produced are availing themselves and their dependents effectively, of their wealthier contacts made at schools and colleges globally or locally, for example. Don't vote for Perrottet in 2023, however, because he doesn't appear to understand what he is doing by having so many children in communities with outdated or new standards of consumption which seem only able to increase destruction of the earth's resources. Please explain, as a woman MP once put it, only to be pilloried by the press.

Having recently seen the film, **The Front Runner**, on SBS TV, about Gary Hart losing the 1987 US Presidential election by refusing on moral grounds to discuss his private life with news media, I recall how far we have come. In Australia, I live in the top three wealthiest and most equal countries in the world, according to John Collett's article ***Australians wealthiest people alive*** in the Sydney Morning (SMH, 22.9.22 p.5). Australia scores first on the typical median wealth per adult, followed by Belgium and New Zealand. When measured by average wealth, Australia is fourth behind Switzerland, the US and Hong Kong. This is because wealth is more evenly distributed here than in these other three countries. According to Collett's report, net worth or 'wealth' is defined by Credit Suisse as the value of financial assets plus real estate, including the family home, and includes retirement savings – from which debts are subtracted. **Australian house prices rose 23.7% during 2021.**

One assumes this price rise for an Australian home is the result of global as well as local investment pressures to start living sooner in bigger and more substantially built homes, in nice surroundings with fewer people in them. Is this all there is? Hong Kong and Singapore look particularly crowded to me, for example, and I'm not alone, Narelle. The pressures on land and housing may be best understood by professional institutions which appear designed to benefit their top professional advocates. However, they don't design or apply their service adequately in their pursuits for members or anybody else living in the state or abroad. Sally McManus just makes me want to die sooner because she hides and protects the top while pretending to protect the bottom; ignoring more broadly grounded reality.

The US, however, is a far more dangerous place. How could one ever live comfortably with a man who owned a gun as most US citizens must? They kill each other by accident and on purpose with guns at an alarming rate in the US by the standards of any other developed economy. Their US Constitution protects their global and local arms trades forever, it

seems. As an atheist, I find this notion of protecting populations by supporting and enabling more armed men, is the lie one often faces in a supposedly Christian trading and legal state. It seems corrupt to me that those who supposedly support a Christian state or nation and its institutions, actually offer their best protections, including those of confidential dealing and silence in court, to those making a living in armaments or related dealings, for example. Whether drugs are considered legal or illegal may be matters of comparative state fashion.

I refer again to the Senate Economic References Committee later and attached, to address commonly recurring state regulated professional and housing issues, in regard to the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) policy, functions, and direction with IT and corruption. Charles Littrell, senior adviser at the Central Bank of Bahamas and a former executive general manager at the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority, for example, writes cogently in the AFR about **How to clean up dirty money** (AFR 15.8.22, p.38). Among other things, he recommends focusing on luxury real estate, particularly cross-border real estate, to find out more about corruption in order to treat it better. I bet he knows and can explain what he thinks should be done comparatively clearly, whereas many others may flounder.

In **Terminally ill man to take Clubs NSW fight to death bed** (SMH 22.9.22 p. 9) Harriet Alexander writes about Troy Stolz, the former head of anti-money laundering and counter terrorism finance at Clubs NSW, who had worked there from 2011-2019. Clubs NSW is prosecuting Stolz for breaching the confidentiality requirements of his employment contract by releasing an internal report which found 95% of registered clubs in NSW don't comply with anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism laws. Clubs NSW alleges contempt and improper harassment or intimidation by Stolz' through his putting the internal report into the public domain and calling journalists attention to it. Stolz has been diagnosed with terminal cancer and is counter suing Clubs NSW for defamation and employee entitlements. The costly resolution of complex legal issues may or may not affect the future of others.

We appear increasingly likely to be under many kinds of new pressure from uncontrollable IT use in international market relations which appear wasteful, fraudulent or worse. Huge new opportunities for legal and illegal gambling or other activities, occur outside normal social controls, as well as in the increasing land and building acquisition affecting this land. Australians need to know what is going on to see it regulated to serve the nation first. Aboriginal concern about protecting shared land may be grasped by all in this context.

CORRUPTION AND ITS MANAGEMENT ACCORDING TO LAW AND BETTER RISK MANAGEMENT

Where there is law, there is corruption in its apparent breach, depending on the court ruling. If there is no law, there is no corruption. From a historical legal view, embedded in ruling Constitutions, the quickest way to remove corruption is to remove or introduce new law which supposedly controls it. This may be done feebly or with enthusiasm, which is often richest at the top. This may partly account for flare-ups at the bottom, from time to time, except by women and kids without guns. This time, Iran should be different or lose

any leadership it could have in new world orders, starting with dentists and dental assistants, nurses and midwives and universities for example. I address the coming federal integrity body in this international context, as an Australian, atheist, Marxist grandma. You may see this as poor advice from Satan, etc. etc. I don't. It is to come to accommodations more clearly, over what seems best for one's people and others who disagree.

Homosexuality, rape and sexual harassment, are examples of human behaviours which once subject to new regulation, may appear to rise as their supposed cases become available to count and research. This is ideally to encourage more informed policy and administration in particular and regional terms. Cancer, mental health and disability also appear to be terms under professional, government and legal construction so these very human concerns may also appear more common in wealthier economies, subject to their popular count, like Australia. For example, the more broadly we worry about anxiety, depression, autism, PTSD, ADHD or other supposed diagnoses, the more of it we may inevitably see - thus creating its newer existence, comparatively speaking. Ivan Illich and Foucault worried about the effects of population dependence on professional opinion and I do too. Perhaps all our expectations are too high for us to enjoy our lives any longer? As Peggy Lee and former PM Paul Keating famously observed, 'Is this all there is?' He had a big aggressive mouth but I agreed with him then. Superannuation had to be collected at trade union covered workplaces where it mattered most first, to support the newly mandated national superannuation schemes and funds for investment. Enterprise bargaining came in the related process. Having heard Sally McManus, ACTU Secretary, speaking to the National Press Club on ABC TV recently, I can't say I see much new thinking for changed times.

I've got a PhD and at 75 I'm old fashioned, for example. Too bad I'm already confused by the wealth policy, which Labor should now be pursuing where it counts most, in States. Does the ACTU care about it and how it is expected to relate to superannuation and other fund accumulation and management in future? I guess land, agribusiness and housing are driven by land and building management and sales internationally today, whereas under PM Hawke, the power of land acquisition for building and management had a more insular reach, unless connected to Britain. Listen to China now because men like economics writer, Ross Gittins, appear insular in the usual good old Australian Labor fashion that I address in regard to the interests of women and future generations here and attached.

Death is different from anxiety or depression or other mental distress (dis-ease?) because no individual recovers from it anywhere. Unlike an attitude that may be determined or changed by its surroundings, it is a fact. Death matters to us all because it comes for us all. Morality lies in facing it together, with or without remorse. As an atheist grandma, I want my right to die with dignity observed in this bastion of British Anglican and Irish Catholic culture. I address Iranian research on the cultural meaning of dying with dignity in this light.

Having a child also matters vitally for any woman, whether she has others or not. These population certainties of death and new life appear avoided in the common professional and community approaches driven under principles inherited in English speaking courts. In global and local economic and cultural traditions, the Australian press and public domain

should accept the logical conclusions of atheistic behaviours which seek to be constructed in the service of the people. Until this nettle is grasped by those like PM Anthony Albanese and his football mates, Australia will be wrong in multiplying more complex and costly regulations meant to be dealt with, or not, through unworkable IT.

For example, I have absolutely no capacity to download the NSW Government voucher for \$50 which allows me, supposedly, to get \$50 off accommodation in certain locations. Neither can my daughter download it on my behalf, and she is a lot better with IT systems than I am. The **Go-Card Balance Transfer and refund form** for Queensland state public transport is horrific as no-one can understand or perform its requirements – not men in Queensland train stations, buses, ferries, likely shops or on-line at the phone number on the Card. How did this fuckwitted form get up? Is it an example of systemic corruption? Are any lies and cost evasions being encouraged now? There will be an increasing number of systemic problems like that, with IT, it seems to me, for reasons addressed below and attached. Services, religious or not, are ideally judged in terms of how they serve all people in any community, not just key protagonists with IT, locked in adversarial rules of dispute.

In NSW, the ruling political forces in regard to the management, maintenance and construction of new buildings, don't appear to understand that the primary customer of governments in this service industry are the electors and families of the regions they are expected to represent. Clear evidence for this charge against particular Liberal and Labor politicians is attached in a discussion of the **Property and Stock Agents Regulation 2022**, in NSW. The Regulation has recently been remade by the Policy and Strategy, Better Regulation Division of the Department of Customer Service. However, it seems remaking the existing Regulation with their amendments, supposedly to modernise and improve the current regime, would just make things more complicated, slower and more expensive than usual. This doesn't appear to be understood at ministerial level. God help us?

These are big problems as land and housing are state matters and states are supposedly committed to building a lot more low-rental housing for poor and homeless people. Can they ever build at a rental which will be realistic for all Australians? I increasingly doubt it in the face of the growing power and expectations of those who are globally among the richest, including aboriginal (First Nations) people. My related recommendation is to speak and write plainly and openly and to work closely and openly with other leadership, wherever it comes from. Don't hide or run away or fight as usual. Australia has a fairly good history of pursuing common sense to good effect. Give the idiot notions of a lot of US government, academia and the market the flick instead of dismissing what is much better, cheaper and more relevant service construction for the majority of local people.

IN THE CURRENT GLOBAL CONTEXT OF INCREASING UNCERTAINTY, WANT AND CHAOS, TURN TO LILITH THE MAGIC PUDDING, TO CONSIDER GOD'S ROLE IN THE MINISTRY

On considering God's role in the Ministry, I try to apply rule more like a perfect public servant than Michael Kirby, acting in the umpire role he has always coveted for himself, whistling under God's window in court, for example. In many mythical terms, more familiar to those in our joint Alma Mater, Sydney University, I may also speak and write, for example, as Lilith the Magic Pudding, Chief Alternative to Faith and Queen of the

Monkeys. The Australian bunyip, a mythical creature which lives in swamps, also gives his name to Australia's bunyip aristocracy, which also follows Henry George from time to time, perhaps. I address related matters in the earlier approach to the Senate Economic References Committee below and attached. I also draw attention to my teaching and research website www.Carolodonell.com.au and autobiography entitled **Power Loving**. (I love intellectual property (IP) and hope to give away the film rights in a cost-free fashion, for example.)

Those who loved Queen Elizabeth 2nd loved her, I guess, because they thought or felt, rightly or wrongly, that she served the people of Britain and the Commonwealth well. I have no idea why Australians would think that becoming a republic, rather than the current federation of states would serve Australians better. I guess I am not the only Australian who will be asked to vote on this matter in total ignorance of what the key institutional outcomes of any vote are likely to be. Surely, we all should know more about such issues.

In the apparently increasing social disintegration that we now face, with human flight from worsening conditions in other lands, homes and streets, which may be globally as well as locally produced, I question Australian government and industrial priorities in the light of common history. After the Me Too debates about what may happen at work, which often hinge on career expectations, we should ask the question, ***'Why are key women and their partners, including their husbands, having so many children'?*** Is it fair? Is it wise? Is it reasonable for communities to support them all without question, considering the pressures placed now and in future, on so many others? Are you refusing to face an obvious truth staring the global community in the face every day? (I dunno, ask Michael Kirby as usual?) The blurb on the back of Dellora's book on the comparatively flamboyant, old, homosexual judge entitled **Michael Kirby Law, Love and Life (2012)**, refers to Kirby *'as a man who was never blown along by the prevailing winds'*. I think the opposite is more like it and whoever wrote otherwise must surely have been joking. My mythical pretensions to the objectivity supposedly wielded by Kirby and his dopey networks appear here in the city or bush. In theory I prefer Chinese to Christians because Buddhism seems to make a lot more sense.

Having watched the nature of population unrest, especially in Africa, Papua New Guinea or elsewhere around election times, I can understand and agree with many of those who call for the return of the death penalty. It seems better than privileging violent prisoners with the only welfare state available to the poor outside the family. For example, a recent obituary in the Sydney Morning Herald (SMH 11.11.21, p.37), **'Hanging judge' a PNG peacemaker**, addressed the death of Sir Robert Wood who helped draft the PNG constitution before independence in 1975. He was the second expatriate judge to impose the death penalty since its reintroduction by PNG Parliament in 1991. This was in response to growing public anxiety about violent crime. The court heard that three men, members of a gang, went looking for a rival gang member and when his mother would not reveal where her son was, she was repeatedly raped and stabbed to death. *'The people of Papua New Guinea are very concerned about such violence and intervillage fighting and pay-back'*, Sir Robert said. He also said the widely accepted custom of revenge killing is a means of settling inter-tribal disputes contrary to the constitution. This man speaks up for women and children not men as usual, like Kirby has almost always done. It's not as if he was the first homosexual lawyer.

As an atheist, I agree that the death penalty appears good in cases like the one discussed above and for undoubted perpetrators in many cases of community massacres with guns, which occur with such horrific toll and regularity in the US. The lack of a death penalty means men can continue to run their killing businesses from inside prison, state supported with impunity, while impoverished people they continue to oppress outside, fear their return. Ours is a vile system, based on the elevation of the gun trade to the heights of the US Constitution protecting those who choose to live by them, encouraged by surrounding mates. The privileged chances of the men with the weapons appear endlessly enshrined in other rights to secret dealing and silence before lawyers and court. This Christian state outlook seems very unfair but I have personally benefited from it without using it myself.

Lower down, with my typewriter and fabulously cheap or free analytical and writing skills, I followed the establishment and fortunes of the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC), state freedom of information legislation and state protected disclosure acts. (The latter supposedly give 'whistle-blowers' protection against legal suit if they bring their knowledge of '*serious and substantial waste*' of public money to the public domain). The new national anti-corruption commission promised by the new Albanese government reportedly will investigate '*serious and systemic*' corruption. Do these guys have much idea of what they are expected to do except make money while waiting for lawyers and court to take over their matters? I guess not. Why in God's name would they when nobody appears willing to understand the concept of service, as distinct from trade union and government political struggle? Bob Hawke saw while using it that it will only go so far.

I also ask about the Australian Financial Complaints Association (AFCA), wondering primarily what kinds of complaints are brought to it and how it deals with them. Its website states that AFCA assists consumers and small businesses to make and resolve complaints about financial firms. Their members include banks, insurers, credit providers, financial advisers and planners, debt collection agencies, superannuation providers and other businesses that provide financial products and services. AFCA does not provide any financial or legal advice. Is it just a speed bump directing people to lawyers and so to court? (I guess so.)

Or is it, perhaps, just another expensive speed-bump on the way to court as usual because nobody will give a confidential, let alone open, opinion for some reason or other? (Perhaps they can only type with two fingers, for example, and don't want anyone to know they can't do any better). There are many professional reasons to worship the scale from 1-10 and the related box-tick. They save thought, time and no end of trouble while making money and protecting the professional back. (Look, Mom, behavioural science.) Is this IT productive of anything better than selling for a return or something new? See below on the Australian Technology Council as a man's gotta know his limitations and I guess on personal experience that they haven't got a clue and don't want to know anything to upset their status claims.

See an advance below to the current Senate Economic References Committee and Others. The Bunyip aristocracy are welcome to adopt their own peculiar version. Cheers

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

HARASSMENT AND ABUSE IN PUBLIC PLACES (I CONFESS)

You may be right. I may be crazy. But it just may be a lunatic you're looking for.

Turn out the light. Don't try to save me. You may be wrong, for all I know, or you may be right!

Please bring me Nixon Apple before Christmas as a special gift for trying. Tell him he has been my muse throughout later life and I must see him again, before Christmas. Tell him we could meet in Canberra and go to see Cressida Campbell at the National Gallery. Ooh la la! Cheers Carol

St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

(Where does Nixon Apple live? Why doesn't he respond to me?)

ON REPUTATION: RELATED COMPLAINTS AGAINST SYDNEY UNIVERSITY AND OTHERS

I would like to complain about the **World 100 Reputation Network Survey** because it is meaningless in terms of providing or allowing any evidence-based criteria about what constitutes a good university. A good university depends most, in my experience, on whether the service it provides satisfies the needs of the particular individual and the scholars who work in the place. It has done so in my case, as have other Australian universities I have attended or taught in.

If one hasn't experienced the range of universities supposedly being judged, one's comparative opinion of them appears comparatively worthless to me. The question appears to be the intellectual product of the kind of child who calls his father the best or worst in the world, and then grades him against others he knows nothing about, rather than just a little about.

Sydney university has always satisfied my need for educational certificates and money to my satisfaction. That is all I can say about the place because I have no comparative data from any source to say anything more that makes any sense. I think this is a very poor survey to be included in a University publication.

My real complaints about Sydney University are that it drives the problems that I have always experienced in Australian society, addressed below. Basically, my complaint is that the University is the most powerful institution driving the Christian bias of traditional English speaking government and other institutions inappropriately in a world which increasingly prefers more commercial lies driven by its US technological focus, to more substantially grounded global and regional reality.

From the scientific or related materialist position, just because the passengers on a particular plane consider it the safest they have been on, doesn't make it so.

See below and attached for elaboration of this scholarly and personal view with reference to universities rather than planes. Any judgment about plane safety must be made by more informed people than the passengers who have been on the plane or who know nothing about it. Voting or opinion of the ignorant appears

comparatively worthless. That seems to me to be the case with your survey. See a related position below and attached. Cheers,

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney
2037 www.Carolodonnell.com.au