

Ministers and Others

Following former regional discussions on matters addressed in the files attached, I draw your attention to **trade and foreign policy direction in China's wake** to urge you to:

**HELP US AND OTHERS GAIN BETTER REGIONAL PERSPECTIVES ON ALL DEVELOPMENT IN FUTURE, FOLLOWING MORE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) AND GOOD NON-PROFIT PARTNERSHIP DESIGNS AND DIRECTIONS**

The Australian Financial Review (AFR 21/5/21) contained two interesting articles about British Labour Party direction which raise related questions about Australian Labor Party leadership. In the first, entitled '*Too weak to win*', I agree with the views attributed to Kellner in a *New European* journal article. He apparently advised the Labour leadership to start with first getting the product right by asking what policy and development models will make Britain richer, fairer, cleaner and more contented, rather than by asking how to win an election. From the view of anybody who wants to serve the people first, rather than being pro-gun, pro-God and pro-life in normal Western policy terms, we must seek to move towards peace, health, education and communications leading work in the environment, paid and unpaid. Great articles on regional communications in the Sydney Morning Good Weekend (SMH 23-23.5.2021) suggest national security should now be more openly understood in terms of population health, not in trading designed to destroy it, including in arms as well as in more popular communications.

In the AFR Review edition, former British Prime Minister, Tony Blair, writes in '*Museum piece*' that progressives waste what little hope is left. He states that the British Labour Party has been embarrassed by generational culture wars and has largely tried to ignore them. He writes that this is a mistake and merely reinforces the 'sense of being weak people' who don't really stand for anything. While that seems true it also seems to describe Blair, who I hate more than any man alive today. I hate him for driving people who trusted his original critique of the British Labour Party into supporting the US alliance and war upon the people of Iraq. He did so through secrets and lies at the top led by lawyers like him, peddling the feudal family classes idea of reason and moderation as usual. Blair has known how to win elections but these are not the same skills or interests that are necessary for good forward policy direction to serve populations rather than normal feudal mates. Blair states you can't organize the future with a playbook from the past. He is mainly a master of war and an exemplar of ignorant and treacherous moral filth to me and many others around the world.

The key alternative regional approach, rather than the feudal family, professional and capitalist one, is mainly derived from World Health Organisation (WHO) theoretical direction which treats the most impoverished environments first. It also comes to us mainly from the Australian historical and regional development models established by key government and industry parties during the Labor Governments of Bob Hawke and Paul Keating, for example, which began in 1983. This followed the failure of the Whitlam Labor government to fulfill its promise, for a host of reasons not worth going into here.

As an Australian grandma I naturally appeal most to former Australian Attorney General, Christian Porter, bearing the primary message that China has historically understood women's needs much better than careerist, hiding, lying garbage, like him probably following his dad. The Australian federal Liberal Coalition government budget just delivered by Jewish Treasurer, Josh Frydenberg, has been called a 'jobs and growth' budget, which seems true. Growth is the process of drawing more women who used to work for free in family businesses also raising children and caring for the old and sick, into jobs normally paid in global markets, or not. This is a world in which one man's trade may be another's imperialist domination and we women have always known that the boys often find it far easier to wreck than to build.

In 1900 China began to develop from feudal groups binding women's feet to stop them walking, to those turning the nation into the global powerhouse it is now by a vision for all its people. This was done then, as it must be done in backward regions now, by serving women's need to have more education and fewer children to allow all to be more than men's chattels. Lawyers should grow up, especially aboriginal ones, from my perspective on why many women punish themselves with too many children who can't help men either. 'Breed Baby Breed' is the slogan of yesterday's men and women, as Lowitja O'Donohue pointed out to aborigines and others who should hear her message again. A woman who can't look after herself should stop at one child. This is vital for the development of effective housing and employment incentives, closing the gap. Blind trust is stupid.

English speaking nations have a natural advantage as English is the business language of the world. **Microsoft Office** and the internet had revolutionary global and direct communication potential so the US government broke Microsoft up under 'anti-trust' legislation and approaches. These were briefly and weakly challenged in Australia by Fred Hilmer's report on National Competition Policy (1993) which stressed the importance of broader regional program and project service goals besides money, which seek to achieve good social and environmental outcomes for this and future generations. All states adopted Hilmer's report, but lawyers and their relations with big clients and political parties still rule the roost. Australia faces this problem with the rest. The global financial crisis of 2008 showed the world the shortcomings of normal capitalist operation for those at the bottom of increasing global inequality driven by increasing US IT interests in destroying the older competition.

For years it has become increasingly clear that global inequality is also increased in the legal, financial and related IT directions that followed the Marshall Plan after World War 2. This may continue or end in the new directions going forward with China's Belt and Road development. In this global context I am delighted by the global covid-pandemic and media response. It presents many broadly educational and evidence-based development opportunities to counter the comparatively secret pro-gun, pro-God, pro-life set in the US and elsewhere. However, the grand Satan lives in feudal market responses led by lawyers like Blair as usual. According to Treasurer Frydenberg, former PM John Howard, (who

introduced the Australian gun ban and linked compensation for deprived gun owners who turned in their guns to police to Medicare), has said that at times of national crisis there are no ideological constraints. Now seems a golden time of opportunity, when Australian governments are taking medical advice instead of their legal and financial advice as usual. Don't let lawyers' Western feudal morals ruin more reasonably open development as usual. Australia has been blessed with comparatively reliable broadcasting and health care services as well as good teaching in key institutions. Let markets build, not destroy this common wealth of knowledge which we may also wish to give away free or not, as the case may be. Ethics, health services and self-determination as distinct from professional determination, are addressed in related contexts attached, to explain the general logic of regional planning which has been developing unevenly in Australia since the Whitlam government.

In the light of the Australian federal budget, I draw your attention to the views of economist Jessica Irvine, that to improve economic outcomes for women and society at large, quotas of women need to be part of the male management equation. When I was young, I never would have agreed with this as I ignorantly underestimated the huge nasty power of men bent on destruction of anything weak in their path. In the Sydney Morning Herald article '*Best way to get women in room*' (SMH 6.5.2021, p. 22) Irvine states what seems clear from the research on the topic, is that '*how groups are composed matters. Too much data has been collected for anyone to form them thoughtlessly*'. As time passes it is hard to escape the conclusion that quotas for women are necessary to prevent business and men as usual. Rich families often increase the normal tendencies to global and regional inequality today even more than in the past. Proliferation of new information technology (IT) is often marketing stuff to populations by ignoring or killing the old stuff off. In this way common state morality and communications easily become debased without serving all the people in the frontline regions of profit extraction, to put it fairly mildly. Global and regional foreign policy and development are better tackled in Simpfendorfer's book, **The New Silk Road. How a rising Arab world is turning away from the West and Rediscovering China.**

In chapter 6, **The New Public Relations War: 'Al Jazeera in China**, the author points out the key role the broadcaster is playing in the rise of the Arab world by hosting popular programs of information on China. While attention is often on exports, oil prices and the Arab wealth funds, Al Jazeera has provided the global and regional common understanding necessary for China and Arab regions to do good business, as their traders have done from ancient times. Al Jazeera's Beijing Bureau, for example, is claimed as a simple but major new influence on the way public opinion is formed. Simpfendorfer found that after 2004, when oil prices started to rise, the Chinese government became worried about its dependence on large Arab oil producers. Chinese officials began to speak on Al Jazeera and this was a major change for a country typically wary of the foreign media, preferring to 'speak little, do more' (p. 117). This openness is healthier than social marketing which has often enhanced the power of large and small harassers, liars and cheats to bring the rest undone. The central power of communication in the common good must be maintained, not killed off by new IT. The mania for IT innovation appears bereft of good global policy understanding, as distinct from sectional interests which add to failure and cost that is increasingly felt unequally.

A Chinese road must be given more reasonable consideration along with the interests of women. Australian men will otherwise be easily geared for more war production again in US paths of global destruction, including over oil interests in the Middle East.

I guess there are many older people like me who feel increasingly controlled by comparatively dysfunctional attitudes to new IT driven from powerful US market sources. The diagnosis of any regional problem should also be seriously questioned if it is professionally driven by legal identity politics posing as non-discriminatory behaviour, whatever it means. Regional direction may now be more strongly based on matters addressed in the attached correspondence with a former PhD student, Hafed Shadid, who I had not heard from for many years, but who still works with Saudi Aramco. The file entitled **Sex, Cancer and Websites from the Middle East** provides key details of our joint interests. This is the regional health vaccine and natural environment led recovery following the national human papilloma virus vaccine strategy, the Pope, Bush Heritage and Open University design. Other education, research and development concerns are addressed in related files attached on local and regional matters, mainly to consider the place of Australians in the world. See more on [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au).

Former Liberal Prime Ministerial aspirant, John Hewson, shows how in an AFR article **'Hewson leads push for halal home loans'** (AFR 29.4.21, p. 37). Crescent finance director, Sayed Farouk apparently said pension funds in Malaysia, Indonesia and Brunei were interested in exposure to Australian residential real estate through rateable and tradeable securities called **sukuk** in Islamic finance. I bet we can do it better when open rather than closed and commend you to the related discussions attached.

Beginning locally in a **Glebe Business** file I refer to shortcomings of the dominant macroeconomic analysis which is increasingly dysfunctional. WHO direction takes regional and personal approaches to concerns, supported by historical and political economic analyses of surrounding environments. The emphasis is on treatment being directed to social and environmental repair in the poorest regions first, rather than directed to the richer market tops which may also increase inequality and environment degradation if only by neglecting the obvious problems of overpopulation as usual. A Dateline program on SBS TV (24.3.21) covered the effects of spraying dioxin in Bayer's Agent Orange on generations of Vietnamese and other Asian land and people, for example. People like Tran To Nga, Carol Van Strum and the Poison Papers should be used across the world. If the masters of war can turn life towards health concerns on the dime of the corona virus, they can do anything. Global policy and service don't have to be popular, they just have to reach people who care, whether they are paid for it or not. Refugees are ideally treated in related trade contexts. If capitalism has taught us anything it is that we are all products now.

However, are we living the regional research dream in Australia or in the never-ending sick role? I address this in the attached discussion of typical problems I found in doing a Workers Education Authority course. I expect many small businesses would agree that the psychic and financial costs of new technology approaches which are poorly considered and used, are exacting enormous social tolls which end not just in the costs of unemployment but in continually rising medical and disability support costs as well. To strip individuals of the right to consider and choose to die peacefully to escape pain and further humiliation through disability seems bad for the health and wellbeing of everybody else, for example. One may not wish to depend on others, particularly if one hates the state in which one finds oneself. There must be room for this popular view, rather than just for Treasurer Josh Frydenberg's stupid call for zero cases of suicide or violence. Democratic development seems impossible without recognizing these calls for self-determination as well as human nature which produces risks as well as benefits of living. Only soldiers get the right to die?

In this global context I also refer to the Australian Financial Review article entitled '**The \$160B Question**', on the divorce of Bill and Melinda Gates (AFR 5.5.2021). This raises the issue of how they should divide their vast fortune, one of the greatest in the world. I am more interested in advice on how the money in the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation should be spent, rather than divided. This seeks a regionally based pursuit of more open non-profit organization to be undertaken mainly in the planning context first envisaged by the WHO in 1946, and in which the concepts of human rights are ideally constructed in global regions and personally, while believing in God or not, as the case may be. Gates established the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation in 2000 as one of the world's largest charitable organizations, with a focus on public health, education and climate change. It ended in 2019, spending \$US5 billion, on programs in the US and around the world, excluding management costs, apparently according to its website. Its grants included \$US1.75 billion to vaccine initiatives, diagnostics and research during the coronavirus pandemic. Warren Buffett has donated billions to the Gates Foundation over the years and has pledged to leave the majority of his fortune to the Foundation when he dies. Related education, health, housing, church and other population services are addressed in advice to many key others in discussions attached and online at [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

I am an old woman looking forward to death soon, as we all must. This seems a new idea in the West in the sense that death is seldom faced, rather than avoided in discussion, professional or not. In health care the death of a patient is a professional failure unless the diagnosis suggests a person was heading towards death or disability in the first place, for example. Then it is a topic of research. As an Australian grandma, I wonder where that leaves global service figures like Australian Cardinal George Pell, the third most powerful figure in the Catholic Church, according to his own estimation, in the article entitled '**Cardinal labels jail strip searches 'brief, humiliating'**' (SMH 20.5.2021, p.16). The Cardinal is safely in the Vatican reporting on his earlier situation in jail. He was freed when the High Court quashed his conviction for child sex abuse. Given what women must do to prove rape, it would have been strange if Cardinal Pell had languished long in jail over comparatively ancient and unproveable matters. He is not popular here but as I feel sure he might also have observed, neither was Jesus in some places and times have changed. We

both appear philosophical but he thinks suffering may be redemptive. I just think it might be very educational. I note from the weekend papers that former Australian PM, Julia Gillard and current British PM, Boris Johnson are talking about such matters. Good luck.

Bijidara leader Keelen Mailman, the first Aboriginal woman to run a commercial cattle station, spoke at the recent Women in Conservation breakfast held by Bush Heritage. She said she thinks that women are the backbone of her tribe and she advised people to have the courage to be themselves and lead from the heart because courage, strength, guts and honesty are what it takes to do well. If so, it is a tribute to Australia not yet lost to legal careerist or populist silence and lies recommended as normal behaviour in markets today.

Cheers

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney, 2037  
[www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)

Thanks for this Rebecca. I have just sent the emails below and attached for general information, in the hope of broader support.

Cheers Carol O'Donnell, No. 10 St James Court,

To: The Real Estate Institute of NSW

**HOUSING MANAGEMENT IS A VITAL SERVICE TO THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE AND OTHERS. ITS ADMINISTRATION SHOULD BE MORE GROUNDED IN PLACES AND PERSONS, LIKE HEALTH CARE. OPEN HIGHER EDUCATION IN HOUSING MANAGEMENT SHOULD FOLLOW.**

I refer to the article in the Sydney Morning Herald entitled ***Real estate agents quit 'pointless' reform body*** (SMH 28.6.21). It reports on the Real Estate Institute of NSW (REI NSW) disagreement with the Minister for Better Regulation and Innovation, Kevin Anderson. The Chief Executive of the REI NSW, Tim McKibbin, states he is concerned over a lack of effective NSW government regulatory response to troubling industry issues ***'including land supply, affordability, agent education and consumer protection'***. I agree and offer education reform suggestions.

As a current Glebe resident and former NSW public servant and teacher, I address ***real estate agent education, consumer protection and affordability*** to present better regional ways forward for all Australians and the rest. See related information attached in supporting discussions about corruption avoidance with Balmain Green MP, Jamie Parker, and about health care with Professor John Hewson of the Crawford School of Public Policy at the Australian National University. See more about me and global or regional direction at [www.Caroldonnell.com.au](http://www.Caroldonnell.com.au)

The **Objects of the Constitution of the NSW Real Estate Institute**, according to the REINSW website, do not equip this non-profit body to do anything other than petition the government on behalf of members' apparent interests.

**The REINSW thus appears bound to act with the comparative blindness to regional producer, consumer and related community interests of a trade union. Its general approach appears driven by associated interests in multiplying legally approved secret operations to deny the kind of evidence-based operation that appears clearer, cheaper and less risky for all.** This is discussed further in attachments.

**The Constitutional limitations of the REINSW, apparently the most important housing and commercial management body in this state, are clear below.**

**Objects 3. The Institute exists to:** (a) promote the interests of Members and the property sector on property related issues to government and the community; (b) promote and facilitate professional standards in Real Estate Practice; (c) assist Members in the conduct of Real Estate Practice; (d) promote the benefits of Institute membership; (e) promote the benefits of home ownership, property and business investment; (f) provide professional and industry education in connection with Real Estate Practice; (g) provide information to Members in connection with Real Estate Practice; and (h) do anything ancillary to the activities referred to in Clauses 3(a) to 3(g).

These purely professional objectives appear hopeless for good agent education and for small producer and consumer protection designed in the broader interests of Australians as a whole. As a Glebe resident and property owner living under strata management since 1994, I have observed the limitations of the real estate management responses globally and locally, especially since the global financial crisis of 2008. As a result, I made many submissions to the recent **Statutory Review of NSW Strata Scheme Laws** on the limitations of the current NSW real estate management model in the broader regional interests of the Australian population. Many muddled bureaucratic developments recently observed in NSW appear to have worsened since, in regard to corruption avoidance, lobbying and many related legal treatments in housing, for example.

In 2018, the **Independent Review of the NSW Regulatory Policy Framework** chaired by former Premier, Nick Greiner, found there was far too much expensively conflicting legal red tape. His Panel agreed with the Auditor-General's assessment that overall, NSW Government initiatives to reduce red tape were not effective for long and this appears consistent with evidence from other jurisdictions, and from broad stakeholder feedback. The compliance burden associated with how regulations are implemented, and the unintended consequences of regulation, influence people's perceptions of regulation as red tape. This means more effective engagement with those experiencing regulation is required to communicate the development and implementation of complex regulation in a holistic, user-centric way that is focused on better outcomes for all. Sometimes this appears to require more creative visitation of many related social issues, as also discussed by the Harmony Alliance of Migrant and Refugee Women, and Nydol Nyuon in Victoria.

The avoidance of corruption through better policy design and administration in the service of the NSW population depends on more broadly open regional operations to raise standards while saving time and money by being clearer and more direct. In this context I commend to your consideration the related email attached and sent to my local Green MP, Jamie Parker. It deals with avoiding corruption and its appearance through better designed and more broadly open administration. It

was written in response to the recent Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) recommendations on lobbying, which appear too bureaucratic and opaque as usual. This appears more of the problem Greiner lamented.

Current real estate management focus appears intent on housing turnover, with ongoing housing management, including in regard to renovation, seen as lesser business as usual. The common approach appears largely to benefit key market leaders, which may appear corrupt to many others. A solution partly lies in broader and more open education and recording for better evidence gathering about matters, to make them simpler, better understood and cheaper. The dangers for people living in states that assist numerous rich and secret associations to grow through normal market practices regarding gambling and other commercial land and building operations, are now being investigated in Australia and abroad, in Packer's empire. The brilliant South Korean movie 'Burning' shows the youthful life of an international class above the law today. Secret education trusts appear trends to corrupt better treatment, where merit may be openly seen and justified in the eyes of all.

I draw REINSW attention instead, to the policy direction suggested to Professor John Hewson, currently with the Crawford School of Public Policy at the Australian National University in the attached files concerning avoidance of corruption and Australian direction with the Middle East and China. I expect Tim Soutphommasane, Professor of Practice, and Marc Stears, Director at the Sydney Policy Lab at the University of Sydney to appreciate this direction, for example. This approach rests on the administrative views of philosophers, economists and public servants such as Popper, JK Galbraith, Max Weber and many others. It has been reflected in World Health Organization (WHO) discussion since 1946 and is also reflected in an increasing range of social areas of NSW and other Australian state operations.

My view is that working in real estate management is ideally a vital public service which deserves to be supported by education which is comparatively high quality, fair, flexible and cheap. I find it sad that comparatively ignorant lawyers easily rule real estate profession roosts and all below. Those who may be much closer and more experienced and knowledgeable in the management of these human affairs on particular grounds appear relegated to their lesser channels of self-regulation. REINSW should seek and help design broader education in housing and other administrative services to the Australian people. These matters are further discussed and supported attached, in global and local contexts. Money for related directions could be found, for example, by government cutting the huge level of financial support it gives to the top sixty richest independent schools, with building programs. Their building operations may also be presented as charitable rather than as further enrichment schemes for comparatively small groups of top connected families.

I commend this and matters attached to your related consideration.

Cheers Carol O'Donnell

St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037 [www.Carolodonnell.com.au](http://www.Carolodonnell.com.au)