

GIVE ME THE SIMPLE LIFE: (ON GATHERING A MOVEMENT AT THE POOL WITH KIDS)

RESPONSE TO THE NATIONAL DIGITAL HEALTH STRATEGY QUESTION ON HOW DATA AND TECHNOLOGY COULD BE USED TO CREATE HAPPIER AND HEALTHIER LIVES FOR ALL AUSTRALIANS

Some like the high road; I like the low road; Free from the care and strife

Sounds corny and seedy, but yes indeed, give me the simple life (Anon)

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.Carolodonnell.com.au (Just call me grandma)

You ask what digital health care means to me.

I am sixty-nine and watch the TV, enjoy movies mainly in the DVD format and read books or reports, preferably off-line, in hard copy, so I can mark them up and understand the whole more easily in order to respond better when I want. I hate all this new-fangled fast changing technology rubbish which appears such an intrusion on one's thoughts, viewing, reading, writing, meditation, gardening and walking. On the other hand, how outstandingly wonderful and democratic is email as a communication and filing system, and Google as a knowledge base to the World Wide Web with so many magnificent things on it? These combinations are the ideal motors of a naturally healthy life along with the family, house, garden and occasional travel with a walk or building somewhere nice. I want to travel when I wish and expect a similar passport approach to my death. Why not deliver it?

Digital health care, as I expect those who conceived the Australian Digital Health Care Strategy think of it, appears highly threatening to me and all about machines that go ping, when they haven't already broken down due or not to something I might have done to them, or not. I don't know. Who will fix it? Will it cost me money? Will I have to wait long? Will the systems talk to each other when the widgets arrive and you have found someone to try to get them to work? I don't know. When I was a girl, as I keep saying, every machine came with a man attached and that was enough for me. There are plenty more like me who are not letting on, especially in rural and remote areas. (This is why we hate your guts for constantly attempting to destroy our normal, carefree, lives.)

Will you try to ram your stinking product down my neck while implying that anything I cannot learn and that you want to teach me against my will is right? Yes. You are the last kind of people to give me what I want most - a quick and easy passport to death whenever I want to leave. My older sister has the book 'The Peaceful Pill'. It looks as if it was written for engineers. My grandson is two but he takes a little metal car to bed at night. I know what you people are like from the start. This is mainly about more effective estate management because we are all going to need it, including you. I have discussed these issues in an earlier response with plumbers, social workers, etc. as attached.

In short, simple supply and demand logic is inadequate in global and related regions shaped by history and its multitudes of cooperating, competing or destructive forces. Ideally, individuals are first conceptualised in their places and broader regions, as part of the greater global whole, with which all appear to be increasingly connected, except perhaps for those oldest and poorest. The dominant alternative view, which starts with contracting individuals, is incapable of putting their actions in a broad enough context to justify them effectively. JK Galbraith's advice on developing

centres and peripheries more productively and fairly made good sense and former NSW Premiers praised him. He's dead and the US and other markets are again increasing global risk and inequality.

Tell us about the pills that will kill us and provide them on request. The Advance Care Planning Factsheet from the Sydney Local Health District and the Advance Care Directive seem a promising start, which is less confusing and likely to involve lawyers than in Queensland, as I recall my sister's.

Following the World Health Organization, Australia ideally takes a regionally based, holistic view of development in which primary health care *'involves, in addition to the health sector, all related sectors and aspects of community development, in particular agriculture, animal husbandry, food, industry, education, housing, public works, communications and other sectors; and demands the coordinated efforts of all those sectors'*. Sustainable development ideally follows in naturally related regional contexts where housing is ideally groomed to lead better than in the past. Plumbing, power and communication appear centrally related.

The Communications, Electricity and Plumbing Union means plumbing appears in a comparatively weak industrial position when it might openly lead policy in housing and related areas where a man may also change his normal status as an employee or self-contractor weekly or daily, depending on the work available. The attached submission to the Productivity Commission entitled 'Social Workers' actually deals with the management of any project and related research, starting with teachers. An earlier submission to a Strategic Review of Health and Medical Research dealing with construction on land at Lake Cargellico, some of which was owned by a contractor to St James Court is attached. Trump is a bonus.

Radio, TV, documentary film and related journalistic interests are seen as ideally supportive of developing service approaches to lands and their built or other heritage, as discussed attached. This regional approach includes key industrial and related protective or rehabilitative contexts for community and project funding and for related insurance design and management. The rust belt manufacturing state decline or the differences between voters in terms of their education appear very partial explanations for the Trump ascendancy. The relations between the family, employee and subcontractor classes in smaller businesses across the board usually appear to be beneath the general notice. However, understanding such common interactions lies at the heart of solving many problems related to the achievement of quality service, which may also be variable or competitive. The expected strata management relations as I have experienced them appear promising directions for producers, consumers and others exploring regional and community models for film funds, etc. This is openly discussed attached with elected government representatives and in related interests. I also commend the discussion of criminal justice and related regional issues outlined below.

Cheers

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St, Glebe, Sydney 2037 www.Carolodnnell.com.au

TO THE PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION ABOUT INCREASING AUSTRALIA'S PROSPERITY

COURT IS THE FRIGHTENING COSTLY SLEDGEHAMMER WHICH DOES NOT CRACK THE NUT

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney
www.Carolodonnell.com.au

LOOK FOR THE LAWYERS AND TELL THEM TO GET OUT OF THE WAY OR THEY'LL BE SHOT

This response deals with the question put by the Productivity Commission (PC) in its recent paper entitled 'Increasing Australia's Prosperity' (2016). The PC asks:

What are the areas, and/or industries in the economy where Australia appears to be furthest away from best global practice and why is that so?

The answer from the modern perspective appears to be that practice is worst in laws on children and the court process and in all related matters carried out by lawyers according to feudal custom and practice. One does not mean to imply by this that Australian law treats matters related to children, **on which the future prosperity of the nation depends**, worse than any other country. I have little doubt, for example, that the more unequal societies are in their social relations, the worse the lawyers of the rich will treat the children of the poor as they will probably also admit little or nothing of the conditions on the particular ground. (*Think of Czar Alexander. Thank you, thank you, for the great documentaries, SBS TV.*)

Following the World Health Organization, Australia ideally takes a regionally based, holistic view of development in which primary health care *'involves, in addition to the health sector, all related sectors and aspects of community development, in particular agriculture, animal husbandry, food, industry, education, housing, public works, communications and other sectors; and demands the coordinated efforts of all those sectors'*. Sustainable development ideally follows in naturally related regional contexts where lands and housing management ideally are groomed to lead a lot better than in the past. Plumbing, power and communications appear related. This is addressed later, in attachments, and on www.Carolodonnell.com.au. Discussion of the National Digital Health Strategy follows.

However, and for example, according to the website of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, the Commissioners identified the issue of how the criminal justice system deals with allegations against an individual of sexual offending against more than one child, *'as one of the most significant issues in their criminal justice work'*. The **Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions** are proffered as a help. Surely you've got to finally ask, 'Are these people in funny clothes joking'? Are the Model Provisions a primitive but dominatingly powerful example of following the producers orders of the past mindlessly into the future? The lawyer's secrets are the top expression of his privileged life. In the top established and secure work he provides for family and fellows.

What are the aims of this work? What about the kids and others whose matters are left to the resultant draft legislation entitled 'Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions? Where do they stand in this? At the lawyer's pleasure, no doubt.

No matter how helpfully clarifying for policy and direction may be the debate of politicians, public servants or other writers, the matter only ends in legal rubbish like this being left behind for police and others to deal with, or not, as the case may be. Any intelligent person in the street will not understand it, let alone others. How can any country call itself a democracy while coming up repeatedly with feudal law like this?

These 'legal reforms' in the Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions are incomprehensible rubbish to normal people so can only be ignored or left to lawyers. Thus lawyers make more money from the ignorance and fear they engender in everybody else.

The necessary protective management direction in any institution is discussed later and attached. It requires opening up to less feudal operation. Law is the ultimate feudal expression and the Model Provisions are a prime example of its controlling, thieving nature. The NSW Strata Schemes Management Act (1996) is discussed later in a more positively modern management light, seeking thoroughly modern Millie. The management of housing is nearly always related to the management of children, surely. They are usually abused under someone's roof. Open up in the interests of future generations and speak or write in plain English as honestly as possible about events. Teach the approach to children.

Royal Commission CEO Philip Reed, however, said the '*admissibility of tendency and coincidence evidence, and the conduct of joint trials,*' could be particularly important in child sexual abuse cases, particularly involving institutional child sexual abuse. If they are so important, the meaning of the Model Provisions should be clear to people, surely. What is their primary aim, for example, in plain English using the common dictionary or glossary?

According to Reed, the model Bill has been drafted by the New South Wales Parliamentary Counsel's Office, on instructions of Royal Commission staff, for the purposes of consultation. If Commissioners recommend law reform on these issues, the recommendations would apply nationally. The model Bill provides a specific example of possible amendments to evidence laws. It is based in part on laws in England and Wales '*that allow for much greater admissibility of tendency and coincidence evidence and for more joint trials*'. Do you know what tendency and coincidence evidence is? Neither do I, even after reading the model Bill.

This model bill is a disgrace from any standpoint caring about people as it is so completely incomprehensible and without proper explanations of its stupid terms and related legal circumlocutions. How can this way of writing protect anybody except lawyers' patches after some damage huge or repeated over time has already been done in any community? What lawyers do is not rocket science. They should not keep trying to pretend with secrets and big words that it has a similarly anchored and important development status. From a

modern view the legal fraternity are mainly complicating expression in very expensive ways and hindering many more straightforward and honestly informed views from being heard.

This Bill is so far from democratic or caring treatment it makes me sick. The lawyers get well paid secure jobs for writing this rubbish so oppressive to the rest while all are expected to lick their arses and praise them for the privilege of serving the crap they invent in their feudal lies about reality. Tell this to Premiers, Attorneys Generals and everyone else, not lawyers. What makes supposedly democratic government so fundamentally complicit in the fantasy that lawyers alone can genuinely represent the interests of others, instead of their own? They like to believe they are in the same league as those who gave us plumbing or the aeroplane. We want plain English. Take this to Donald Trump, with suggestions attached.

Compare the pair and weep, for example: The NSW Strata Schemes Management Act (1996) and the Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions

As a member of the strata management committee at St James Court in Glebe before the Annual General Meeting (AGM) I recently noted that the NSW Strata Schemes Management Act (1996) superficially appears surprisingly clear and sensible legislation with related management and job project potential. Just wait until lawyers get their hands on it? At St James Court we have already had a taste of the lengths to which they might go in by-laws.

Strata scheme management is about the legally required and shared protection of built assets through their expected maintenance in the interests of all involved. The model by-laws and insurances, for example, appear designed to consider a single building in which a range of people share. St James Court, on the other hand and for example, is composed of 18 three storey town houses, designed as blocks of terrace houses, with personal gardens and body corporate responsibilities at the front and back of each. Most residents may live on their little parcel of concerns oblivious to those of any others with an interest in the plot. On the strata management committee we grope together uncertainly towards the light.

The NSW Strata Schemes Management Act is clear and helpful in relation to requirements for the collective management of a built asset. However, it does not refer much to rights and duties of people who may or may not be living or passing through, on many private or public grounds on which they and others may or may not interact. The 18 townhouses at St James Court, for example, are assets which may be owned in total or in part by some of the residents, by others living elsewhere, and by banks or other investors, one may assume. Any people, in any building, or on any grounds, may abuse their children or adults, or those of other communities, or not. How is this to be managed in any institution, without the encouragement of more open, plain and honest speech? Tell lawyers to get out of the way as they are secretively dishonest and partial in pursuit of their adversarial privileges tied to the law. We are seeking greater understanding of the whole through the particular spot.

The apparent truth must be sought on the particular ground, rather than in court. One may never know the real answer. The apparent truth, however, may also be very rude and also found inconclusive or wrong later. (For example you might think your drains are being blocked mainly by lots of women with long hair washing it all the time so it sheds, and also throwing their tampons down the toilet, but the conventional answer is tree roots. I have gone through the shit with plumbers.) The legal privilege is instead about protection of some legal patch by keeping everybody ignorant of vital information in league with chosen mates. The Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions are a sickening example.

Ignorance of one's neighbours' concerns appears quite normal but may easily fuel some discontent, acknowledged or not. For example, when I saw this three storey townhouse it was love at first sight because of its giant trees and beautiful shady secluded gardens which reminded me of happy times on holidays in many places. The zealous view under the NSW Strata Scheme Management Act, however, would be to get rid of all the trees as they may be considered the key menace to plumbing, the building and its fences. (No way, Jose.) Others may seek to avoid trees because they don't like leaves or fruit. City of Sydney Council champions trees and so must we. Ours are surrounded by protective walls and buildings. There is a generally recognised need for housing to be managed better in the interests of those who are unable to look after themselves properly. This often starts with having babies and/or responsibilities for care of the sick or disabled. From this perspective the Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions appear an expensive waste of space, dealing with extreme behaviour after the event with poor ruling. Legal crap as usual.

Compare the clarity of the Strata Schemes Management Act, where the average person may at least know what the legislation intends from reading it, with the pile of total drivel proffered in the Evidence (Tendency and Coincidence) Model Provisions. One gets the impression this is a society which cares a lot more about buildings than people. The Model Provisions reflect the powerlessness of children exploited to the ultimate degree by lawyers and the society they live in, also without voice. What can a fine legal mind be but evil filth? I am always interested in hearing lawyers answer this question. If they did I never heard it.

Given my work interests in health, it is ironic to me that I was not thinking about plumbers in writing a recent management response for the strata scheme AGM, as plumbing has been of far greater benefit to human health throughout the world than neurosurgery or anything similar upon which so much research money and praise is lavished daily. As I have said before as a member of the strata committee, I regard anything I produce in writing as my intellectual property and will also send it to anybody I like. The rest may merely be the hypocrites who do the same thing in secret, in the pretended righteous loyalty of the family or bed. They are at fault, not I, for believing that peoples' knowledge should always be reduced and fettered, including their own. The best demonstration of competence is repeated and openly verified practice, not certification done behind closed doors without knowledge of curriculum or product. What is it, exactly, that makes the fine lawyer?

The Communications, Electricity and Plumbing Union structure seems to mean plumbing may be in a comparatively weak but protected industrial position, because effective service is crucial, especially at the beginning of a building project. Plumbers might lead open policy in housing and other areas where a person may change his status as an employee or self-contractor weekly or daily, depending on work available. A related submission on the PC draft report on the national education evidence base, starting with school and early childhood education is attached. It is entitled 'Social Workers' and has also been sent to some key professional associations. It deals, however, with managing any project and research, starting with teachers. An earlier submission to a Strategic Review of Health and Medical Research, which is attached, dealt with construction on land at Lake Cargellico, some of which was owned by a contractor to St James Court is attached. Also see Trump.

Cheers

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.Carolodonnell.com.au