

ON COLLEGE WOMEN AND MEN: AN OPEN LETTER TO THE VICE CHANCELLOR OF SYDNEY UNIVERSITY AND THE MINISTER FOR SOCIAL SERVICES, etc, etc, etc.

WILL WE ALL BE VALUING OUR GLORY BOXES NEXT? (HOW SEXUAL ASSAULT AND HARASSMENT CULTURE MEET THE PROFESSIONAL LADY OPENLY AND LIKE IT)

She's always a woman to me (Billy Joel) Me too Billy.

Give me some men who are stout hearted men, etc, etc. etc. (Romberg and Hammerstein)

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.Carolodonnell.com.au

ON THE COLLEGE (LYING IN THE LAP OF THE LAND WHERE FELLOWS NEVER GO GA GA)

WORDS ARE A WONDERFUL DISCIPLINE IN NEW WORLD LESBIAN OR LIKE ORDERS ALTHOUGH LIKE PETER COOK I NEVER HAD THE LATIN NOR THE COLLEGE FOR IT.

BORN THAT WAY BE BUGGERED. PICK BROADLY OPEN SHARIA (LAW), RATHER THAN CHRISTIAN COLLEGE FUND DESIGN. IT'S HEALTHIER. (A COMMON OR GARDEN GLOBAL MARXIST GRANDMA SPEAKS OUT AGAINST THE DEMONIC US ORDER AND DIRECTION OF RIGHTS WITHOUT COMMENSURATE STATE OR PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY BEING TAKEN)

In a recent letter to the community about college life and college student initiation rituals which he called '**hazing**', Sydney University Vice Chancellor (VC), Michael Spence, addressed his community and asked for our responses. This is mine and you may think of me as Lilith the Magic Pudding, Chief Alternative to Faith, and Queen of the Monkeys, or not, as the case may be. I feel the same about Christians and related US interests the University of Sydney now appears to represent. First note, for example, that in deploring '**hazing**', rather than college life and college **initiation rituals**, this Oxford man and child of Commonwealth largesse, VC Spence, is following the current deliberately confusing US fashion of forgetting some vitally clear historical reality, in order to crush it. How disgusting is that, for a British university VC to betray the past so fast? Which ruler and rule should we women apply to?

VC Spence said he was sure his deep distress about what some students have experienced would be shared by many in the community and that student safety and wellbeing is of utmost importance to him and all of the University's staff. He said while the residential colleges are wholly independent of the University, the students of the university make up the majority of their residents. He said **most report a very positive experience, but we know that some aspects of college culture must change**. The case against professionally driven marketing approaches in research is addressed later. Too many wrong professionals.

In 2016 the University of Sydney apparently asked former sex discrimination commissioner Elizabeth Broderick to undertake a review that would enable the colleges “to pursue meaningful and substantial cultural change”, following her successful work with the Australian Federal Police and the defence forces, among others. What are they doing in the army and other forces when the ‘hurrah’ word **‘training’** is introduced? Are they mainly learning how to kill lots of people more quickly and effectively? Do they complain the seats were uncomfortable afterwards, as trained men usually did when I organized training?

Broderick’s **Cultural Renewal** report followed the Human Rights Commission’s national report on sexual assault and sexual harassment at Australian universities in its focus on complainant advocacy and support services, as distinct from those who are hiding a trouble related to perceived sexual harassment or anything else. The University stated it welcomed the Broderick report as an opportunity to enact practical reforms and also for the University and colleges to pursue meaningful and substantial leadership on these issues. It stated the Broderick report highlighted a number of areas for action and the University committed to all practical measures recommended in the report. Strong women step up. (Baby, you resign or perform as distinct from take a pay increase. Sauce for the goose.)

The VC asked for our response to these cultural matters of concern. One may wonder why **meaningful and substantial cultural change** is necessary if VC Spence thinks the place is more than OK according to most people. (They would say that, wouldn’t they? Is this supposed to be a family environment?) The legal professional organization and related approaches are not effectively directed to serving the populations which support them. The Broderick report is discussed in related disciplinary product and marketing contexts later. Better appreciation of the regional and historical context should drive professional design in research, not turn the gaze for professional intent. Risk management is discussed later.

I write as a retired academic in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University, earlier working in government, teaching and typing. My response is broadly made to gain better understanding of the effects of constrained speech and sadomasochistic relations in sexual harassment and assault. One bases this generational and demographic analysis on the professionally consensual concepts of **consenting adults** in the **market, sex acts and death**.

The Broderick Report states an online survey (the Survey) was administered to all students (over the age of 18 years) across the five residential Colleges between 18 May and 9 June 2017. The aim of the Survey was to gather quantitative data on perceptions and experiences of students on a range of areas related to College life, including strengths of College life, and areas they find challenging. It also aimed to understand the prevalence of inappropriate behaviour, sexual harassment and bullying, and any patterns in the experience of particular groups of students, for example women and first-year students.

One notes Broderick’s recommendations came up with the view there should be zero tolerance for sexual misconduct. How is this interpreted? The vital importance of adult

self-determination and consent, which were hard won gains for far more women than men, appear to be turning back in the opposite direction, to reduce women's responsibility for speech and action yet again. God protect us from those who claim to be our carers.

One first notes the confusion of PM Malcolm Turnbull in this context, when he said, due to the scandals of a political leader's office adultery, that such adults who work together should not engage in sexual relations, whether deemed to be consensual at the time or not. By their nature of being secretly conducted, sexual relations of any kind are hard to police. Australian government stopped trying to police forbidden homosexual relations in the mid-1980s, for example. Like illegal abortion it was recognized the illegality was causing too much corruption in police, doctors and lawyers. It now seems hard for many public servants to know what to do in the case of many apparently consenting sexual expressions, including adulteries, whether leading to marriage or not. The university too is increasingly placed in the position of moral police by related interventions like Broderick. One writes in praise of consenting adults making decisions about their own lives outside of work, as in markets.

A related premise of this alternative focus on consent and related freedoms, religious or not, is that those in most dangerous Australian living situations should be among the first to be enabled to live in safer places. This seems first related to emergency medical treatment.

Mental health and disability are addressed in related problematic contexts later. Better understanding relies in turn on better understanding of surrounding economic and family matters in broader regional arenas. This is so development can be more sustainable for broader generations, including those at college and in their families or in other work or related transactions. Silence is not consent or rejection. All have a duty to speak up and write. Didn't mother tell you and the boys ignored her and shoved the duty off to you? Forget it. That's where it starts. Tell the men and women in your life to speak up and write it down. States should protect women by the view that nobody can get away with absence from the stand or silence as defence. The University used at least to have expectations of the scholar which were consistent with this search for truth. One gives journalists the cup. In every arena there is disrespect for the real men on the tools. Wake these bastards up. Speak up and write matters down until you appear more capable of putting them in context.

Should I give them a boot up the bum, on the next time we vote, for example? Write openly to justify issues! Don't mumble in one's ear like Marlon Brando in the movie. Just write on what your little heart sees and desires, for it might be as good or better than any other practice. From this perspective words appear as a wonderful new discipline in a new world order where they are only as good as their sources for truth or entertainment. Stand up, stand up, for Hollywood, ye soldiers of the cross, etc. etc. etc. Everything good and bad comes out of California they say and I am here to tell you daily that the bad stuff is winning. Writing is not a dangerous perfect rule but a way of going forward from learned experience. One should not have to choose between the language of the lawyer and the shameless

market. (I'm thinking of IT here. If they seek to corrupt to sell it is a very big problem. The US political system, which involves buying politicians and judges, is already corrupt.)

Believe me, it is, and what to do about it is below and attached. Universities are factored into this global view which is historical and market driven. Colleges are conceptualised as related to universities via the campus and associated matters for government through construction and their key relations. (These guys are always at the bottom of everything? Only the crazy would use the term 9/11 when they meant 2001? Why would you trust big men? I guess the only good reason is you come from a family where they looked after you well and the plumbing and electricity worked and the bridges and planes stayed up, etc. The less said about IT the better. No wonder they see themselves as artists not engineers. (Nobody really cares what the fuck they do as long as they can make money or lie about it?)

This is a critique of the conflicted and dangerous US soul arising from a poor Constitution. As the global winners of the world after WW2, US forces push their marketing in Australia under the name of research. Body and mind relationships are addressed later to deplore the professional pretence one can know and **scientifically assess** the other by their personal report or box tick to scale. Rubbish. The personal report, however, allows one to make more informed evidentiary and moral assessments. This research, commonly called **'qualitative'** in universities today, is treated as the idiot sister of a computer supposedly assisting **'quantitative'** research which is more akin to marketing instead. Give us a break.

The Broderick report highlighted a number of areas for action and the University committed to all practical measures recommended in the report discussed later. One warns against apparent Broderick direction as expensively wrong college naval gazing. Key differences between the scholarly, scientific and popular approaches to production and marketing are openly addressed in Asian common markets as distinct from in fashionable US driven ones which Broderick or her masters or colleagues appear to follow.

Religious freedom is addressed attached. This is closely related to the need to see speech and writing as conceptually different from putting speech and writing into grounded action. Anyone for mistresses? Men should try musing on this? That's life. I guess they do.

The current institutional tendency is increasingly to assume responsibility for the reported feelings of some others. This increases the burden of professional and reputational risk experienced by the university and takes government increasingly away from the national community goals of inclusive national community wellbeing through stable self-generated income and support. This is addressed later in **social** and so-called **'behavioural'** research. This is often claimed in association to medical science but is more rightly associated with product marketing of all kinds – not just drugs or useless surgery, for example. This is discussed later with reference to the researcher's depiction of the scale against which we

are presumably to measure men or others. The related mental diagnostic approach is avoidance of real problems in order to drive specific product sales. What else could it be?

In the **Book of Woe**, Greenberg writes about the **Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM)** doctors use to diagnose 'mental health' problems and prescribe drugs. These diagnoses are the source of doctor's income. The diagnoses and treatments are notoriously unreliable. They have related treatment failure risks with premium consequences for doctors and taxpayers as well as risks and costs of further pain for clients and those around them.

*The overall thrust of the manual, the **British Psychological Association** complained, is to identify the source of psychological suffering 'as located within individuals' rather in their 'relational contexts' and to overlook the 'undeniable social causation of many such problems'. (Greenberg, 2013, p. 239).*

In a statement of 26 pages, the **British Psychological Association accused the American Psychiatric Association of: 'the continued and continuous medicalization of natural and normal responses.** Me too. How flimsy can 'science' get before something gets done about it? Women today love nothing more than being seen as scientists associated with doctors, unless of course it's being seen as lawyers, associated with themselves or larger clients. The carefully documented argument in Greenberg's book about what is obvious, or should be, leads also to the view that Silicon Valley encourages a lot of professional research dupes because the technology allows them to fulfil this role and make money comparatively fast in actions akin to constant professional opinion polling. We should be a lot more grown up.

One starts from the view that the human organism depends on food, exercise and sleep so that the mind is culturally constructed through learning. The medical view of mental diagnosis starts in chemical imbalance in the body, corrected with drugs or surgery. This shuts us up with relief? What the world needs now are lateral thinkers I guess. (She scrambles to recall De Bono's six hats to impress the Marxists, for example, or at least to get them pretending politely.)

The Broderick report research scale about perceived sexual harassment is later discussed to condemn its perspective as too wrongly and narrowly constructed for the expectations of a lot of paid work, realistic and caring or not. This is addressed in related ways in psychiatry, psychology and dianetics attached with reference to religious, medical, police and disability service functions. One invariably prefers the broadly and openly storied approach in dealing with personal trouble. One does not have to believe anyone or pretend, for a start. For example, an experience I had after moving from employment in state government to employment in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University, for eleven years, is outlined below. It's a worry. We give ourselves to destructive US design and call it helpful. (Also see discussion of Australian film and broadcasting relations attached, for example.)

One may start from the position, for example, that if you are harassing people sexually you are not normal, which is why they worry about you. People started worrying about me like that in the Faculty of Health Sciences when I started talking about deaths in my family and research assumptions in rehabilitation. My colleagues suggested I was depressed and might be suicidal. I denied depression but was told denial is common before suicide. I was offered a psychiatrist (on workers compensation naturally). I said I would be happy to see a psychiatrist as I had never seen one before. When I spoke, it seemed he believed my report. I later found he believed my head of department (a psychology professor) and suggested a bunch of invasive diagnostic tests so as to have baseline data in case I declined. As a bipolar specialist, my head of department thought I might have bipolar disorder. (My blood ran cold at the idea of things being stuck in my body to support their research. In your dreams.)

One found one often had to remind one's colleagues that speaking to people is not the same as sticking things in their bodies. Broderick's use of the numerical scale or continuum and its dangers are addressed later in broader regional contexts of global and Asian expectation about social development and problem diagnosis and treatment. (Remember the death of Joe Cinque? It certainly rings a bell with me. The expectation that women should succeed as well as the men in their class or marry better has had many confusing effects on common relations between the sexes and their offspring. These global realities are addressed later so as to give broader relief to many more people, rather than hiding yourself or beating them or yourself up. Related personal approaches are made to fellows.)

My comment is first on the service and caring roles missing from the rights discourse in institutions and associations. Think first of what mother does for babies and small children when you think of caring. I do. The caring role depends on opening up in speech, not on unconscious or conscious denial of materiality in secretly silent maintenance of contacts in avoidance of decision. The more we get of the secret silent maintenance the more we are in trouble. Think of the Sopranos. (*Q. How many social workers does it take to change a light bulb? A. It doesn't matter but the light bulb has got to really want to be changed.*) Ironically, we have a similar problem with fire safety and LED lights introduction on strata.

The increasingly protected use and limitations of polling along a scale for data gathering (about expressed pain levels ending in death, for example), are discussed later. One locates the subjective report scale in common legal and professional constraints on gathering and using better data to serve the public interest. Speech may be flaky in the worst possible ways. However, speech is not violence, nor a reliable predictor of any action any more than are ticks about how one may feel about something addressed on the spur of the moment. To pretend to anything else seems the result of being unwilling to face broader real-world situations more openly in common interests. The opening of all occurs, like a flower, as Mao intended. (Just kidding. The release of humour is the sign of feeling safe enough.)

SPEECH IS NOT WRITING OR RELATED ACTION. SPEECH SHOULD BE FREE RATHER THAN CONSTANTLY DISCOURAGED SO THAT CENSORING OTHERS ANONYMOUSLY OR WITH LAWYERS BECOMES THE MAIN DUMB PRE-OCCUPATION (THIS IS VAINLY EXPENSIVE)

When I first saw and worked with anti-discrimination legislation in the 1980s, 'sexual harassment' was defined as **repeated and unwelcome sexual attention**. In this occasion for alarm a women or man is encouraged to give opposing voice at the first unwanted sexual behaviour. If the legal treatment of a complaint of sexual harassment has now been constructed as a continuum of behaviour with perpetrator speech at one end of a spectrum and violent death of a victim at the other, this is a very bad thing. This increasingly widespread professional pretence, that speech heralds some specified adverse action and that silence is a justifiable response, has been enthusiastically adopted by women who wish they were lawyers or doctors with similar pay, status and independence. We know you may be the mother of a lawyer, doctor or financier's kids instead. (It's a choice, Joyce?)

One warns against the Broderick research approach outlined later, on the grounds that speech is neither violence, nor a reliable predictor of action. Conceptually, **silence is neither rejection nor consent**. Silence may be an expression of law, fear or power to withhold or deny for the moment or longer. Silence about key matters, like ongoing relations between sexual expression, reproduction and money, is the ultimate expression of being a sex object. To pretend to anything else may be the result of professional unwillingness to face the opposing forces situated in the real-world. These typically appear broader and in greater need of supported direction than in those directed only at action in colleges or university.

In other words, a lot of these women who report having been violated by words will have to grow up to speak up to deal with some really dangerous, powerful and nasty men – the masters of war with guns and land and business at every level of the scale of production. This is discussed again later as the spreading US disease they try to pass on, which is the conflicted soul they wish they did not have. The US Constitution makes it impossible for states to protect their own people and drives them into arms dealing at all levels of the arsenal. Men kill who they like and everybody forgets or feels bad. Lawyers make money. (The related statistical picture, derived from national reputable government sources, is addressed later. I like an Australian government source. At least it's usually trying.)

Psychologists are encouraged to think of themselves as being in behavioural science. *Is dis a service?* The concept of behavioural science is based on a focus on the body which typically ignores its history and mind producing surroundings in a stupid denial of culture. Sado-masochistic relations may be cultural norms expressed in illness, war, or other disaster, for

example. The court reflects an earlier pre-Enlightenment cultural pretence related to the victor basking in the eye of the Emperor, King and God. The women and kids' problems are only addressed historically later, with the growth of a welfare state which is comparatively better related to its trading neighbours and regional markets. Family businesses are not normally helped enough by professional IT and university research, teaching or student forces and affiliations which **ignore the vital scholarly and/or practical appeal** so as to be more widely popular today. (Frankly, Baby, the place is a mess without open treatment.)

The conceptualization of any social service as scientific, as distinct from being rationally administered to assess its effects in a specific case and place, involves a serious misunderstanding of the requirements of quality product and service production in business and in related engineering and medical fields. The approach comes to us from marketing and related advocacies instead. We can all be advocates now. Development and risk management are ideally undertaken in more broadly related performing, informed and informing regional contexts, rather in those that are narrowly professionally led, including in college. These narrow professional family circles may be slower, uninformed on the ground and overly personal, theoretical and divided in their historical allegiances. That's life? (Baby let's get together and sort it out was my approach before I became Typing Typhoid Mary.)

Broderick recommends development of **a standalone sexual misconduct policy with guidance from experts in sexual harassment, sexual assault and respectful relationships** (Sic.) What is standalone policy? What is a respectful relationship? I fear it is one where I don't say things to you that you won't like. God save me from such expensive tastes. If I begin to go seriously ga ga, for example, I give my daughter carte blanche to choose my death. Why should the state convict her if all this is open? Surely at 71 I am old enough to choose the date of my death and have my wishes supported by the state? Why do we all appear increasingly condemned to live as long as possible like slaves, under the control of others? If I change my mind, I will write about it. I don't need lawyers. That's a fact, Jack. The rapid increase in Alzheimer's disease and its costs are addressed later in this context. Use it or lose it? I have known women who found that statement offensive. Surely not?

Yet women who know so much about these matters as a result of their associations seem unprepared to speak or write about them in policy terms. Baby, tell Julia feminism has barely begun. The lot of youse make me sick. This wasn't what I wanted. A speech by ecologist and Pro Vice-Chancellor at Macquarie University, Lesley Hughes, who studies the impacts of climate change on species and ecosystems is addressed in related regional, demographic and personal life cycle contexts later. Related investment advice is also sought after open Sharia (law) is addressed later and on www.Carolodonnell.com.au

Desertification presents the greatest problems and opportunities in the world beside the pollution and draining of natural resources. Australia is overwhelmingly desert. One

wonders in this context why the NSW Labor Party leader, Luke Foley, is promising every school will have air conditioning if Labor wins the next election. What about fans, solar panels and water tanks instead? These are hardly new, difficult or comparatively costly innovations. (Do you get the feeling these guys are barely trying and sneering at you? I do.)

All around these days, in this comparatively protected university women's environment, they appear to equate speech with harm and support silence in the eternal role of the victim. Statistics on harm in any health or safety matter at work or in any other community surely must start with death for policy reasons related to the prevention of the most severe risks to health, as discussed later. Related discussion of managing risk at work and in other community settings should start with the certainty of death for discussion about the person, whose mind may always be a relative mystery. Surely the sensible person agrees that one never knows what another is thinking. Sensibly, one cannot and should not believe or act on speech without sensible trust of the experience backing it, for some reason or other.

Taking an empathetic stance in trying to understand the speaker or anyone else is different. As the French say, 'To understand everything is to forgive everything'. Hamlet, on the other hand said there is nothing either good or bad but thinking makes it so. This may not be so popular and rapidly or slowly turn over. The former appears to be the main market criteria for productivity and professional action, as distinct from any broader community wellbeing.

In regard to a supposed continuum of reported behaviour, from leering and catcalls, to sexual harassment, sexual assault and possible ending in death, Broderick states '*this concept of a continuum demonstrates the way in which behaviours often considered harmless (at least by those who display these behaviours) are linked with more abusive forms of violence against women*'. **This is a dangerous and partial way of perceiving violence.**

Australian death and homicide data show that the world is a far more dangerous place for men than for women, by choice or not. One ignores this reality to focus on stopping or punishing speech at one's peril. **You don't necessarily stop violent or other abusive action by stopping speech. To think you do is dangerous and authoritarian. What next?**

I take the above to be a key principle of risk management for broader community enjoyment. My unacknowledged written complaint against the Sydney University Sports and Fitness (SUSF) is addressed later. One wonders about the relation of the SUSF to college grounds, etc. Is SUSF an association of facility users, or something a lot bigger and with a lot of debt and interests in related land, construction and manufacturing? I guess the latter.

Nobody from SUSF has responded to my complaint so far, which also attacked the SUSF code of practice as a protection for dysfunctional behaviour by counter staff. Attention is drawn to the code of practice that state government now expects that local government will use, which considers the service end user. What about police? No idea? This is no longer good enough for those wanting to act better in the real world. We are those who do not like to start the journey with the prayer for the plane. No joke. It appears to me to lack the proper respect due to competence in the real world for a start. What about the 300 or so related clubs? Are they set up to help anyone? Do they want to? The VC should find out.

Originally, Broderick claims, the continuum concept was used only to explain men's attempts to control women through abuse, force and coercion. Over time, however, the concept of a continuum has been broadened and is now applied to ***all power-based, interpersonal abuse*** including that experienced by men and those in the LGBTI community. Nevertheless, she says, sexual misconduct, including sexual harassment and sexual assault, are gendered behaviour.

Surely this moral conversation ideally starts with sex and the idea of the consenting adult rights which appear necessary to support it? Broderick's view wrongly caters to the self-appointed victim's report on bad speech as she sees it. Consider the culture in a broader range of related locations in which the victim swims, known only to herself or not at all. (We have heard there is no accounting for sexual tastes. I think Blanche had strange ones.)

The Broderick and scale approaches often seek to justify taking others back to more silently ignorant and conformist pretence, as if they were children in need of protection. This is not effective preparation for the role and responsibilities of the consenting adult capable of performing a duty of care to others in the world of work or anywhere else. It seems the expensive creature of marketing instead. It is morally mixed up about the importance of fashion as distinct from a more honest and lasting heritage protection and service approach, as discussed later. One supports more broadly open views and searches truth in global and local service nationally, as conducted in urban, rural and related remote parts and key places, for example. Cultural association is addressed later in this context which does not like a scale much. (A scale is often like a repeated secret professional massage with IT.)

FALLING IN BEHIND THE VC EMPHASIS ON SAFETY FOR BETTER PROTECTION (SPEAK UP IN FAVOUR OF THE REGIONAL RATHER THAN THE COLLEGE AND PROFESSIONAL EMPHASIS)

(BABY, THAT'S YOURSELF)

VC Michael Spence states '***all our staff and visitors have the right to feel safe on our campus***'. Feeling safe to speak out in the search for truth which may appear to others as madness has been the ancient role of the university. The VC should stand up to his institution and give everybody more choice in the open. None of the old men and women should need any money and should work for free now, for example. They are scholars. I do.

This is not, however, a plea to bend the knee to every scholar's or colleges quirky request, but to act in the broader conception of the human interest and service, which is globally conceived today and better treated openly in any search for apparent truth in any common or particular case. The duty to open operational funding based on these principles is addressed later in the context of related duties for sustainable development and managing risk better than at present. Currently I have few reasons to feel optimistic. Cheer me up. (Drugs or broken or weird unreliable IT and associated unmet business costs anyone?)

Sydney University and Colleges claim to be: ***committed to establishing a new complaints-handling and investigation systems to be more prompt and far more transparent with those making complaints about the process, how long it might take, key milestones, and what to expect. We have also completely revised related web content and record-keeping to reflect those changes.*** (Sic.) **How?** For example, I have had no response to the letter of

serious complaint outlined later and sent earlier this year to **Ed Smith, Operations and Infrastructure Manager of Sydney University Sports and Fitness (SUSF)**. **Please consider this complaint for example AND GET BACK TO ME ON IT:** (Gemma and Grace win my foot.)

The VC writes he is determined to see through the changes to college and campus culture the University colleges, the University and others have started to put in place. This includes **online training** for all 21,000 commencing students from this semester; providing **in-person training on appropriate interpersonal behaviours to students in leadership positions**, with more than 650 students to be trained this year; and providing 250 staff with '**Responding with Compassion**' training, with a further 640 due to complete training this year.

What is in this on-line training and in-person training on appropriate interpersonal behaviour, VC? Is it from an open or closed model or an established standard? Is it open to emulation? At what cost? (It's a market and somebody must pay. Who is it?)

Apparently 250 staff did "**Responding with Compassion**" training conducted by the **RDVSA** at Camperdown, Cumberland, Lismore, Camden and Broken Hill campuses in 2017, with 640 more to complete in 2018. One wonders how this went. (**Dear God, what on earth are they teaching in this course and how? What is the RDVSA? Would I like it? Why not?**)

21,000 commencing students at the University will be required to undertake **Consent Matters** online training. This was developed by experts in the UK with consultation and input from local expertise. One wonders naturally how this was chosen. Was it the normal GOBSAT+1 financial or human professional method? I find I often have more confidence in the UK approach to the discipline of psychology as a story about the self rather than as a science of behaviour. This is discussed in relation to mental health and disability attached. (Don Draper knew such a wonderful array of women in **Mad Men**. One saw them all too.)

As I often point out on our strata committee, ignorant voting may also be worse than stupid. The collegiate world reflects the brotherhoods of many closed professional and associated markets supporting or capturing the state. These are expensively marched in all directions by lawyers and associated hangers on. Democracy differentiates surely, between the personal search for truth on one hand, and between the silently hidden or closed opinion or voting for advantage on the other. Silence is neither consent nor denial and uninformed voting is no better as a means of group or individual protection. Inability to recognise sado-masochistic relations, let alone in their broader cultural contexts for effective risk management is a common professional fault. (Frankly, it has driven me nuts. Such relations seem highly likely in any culture conceived as patriarchal. Sing us a song instead. The BBC does E.M. Forster even better than Merchant Ivory. That is saying something, but what?)

Management structures require the establishment of broader and flexibly linked arena-based decision making and operational systems than are currently emanating from the college or other professional interest and association. These should rely in turn on the importance of broad regional, historical and particular information about the place and

services to it, rather than on comparatively ignorant and remote legal ruling or voting closer to the spot. World Health Organization (WHO) and regional direction should seldom be lost in the professional appeal, as distinct from a broader one, as is often the case. Planning and funding are ideally based on open regional approaches for insurance and institutional directions. Isn't dividend imputation a form of protection of Australian industries or organizations that Australia is committed to do without? (I grope in the dark. Correct me).

Competition is ideally conducted in this regional context to meet more broadly identified need rather than jumping to the closed peer professional judgement, or more purely commercial fiat. ABC and SBS TV as well as the Australian quality press often appear best set up to provide the kind of education, research and entertainment Australians need to meet real-world challenges. One naturally follows the expansion of free to air TV and related digital services direction established under the Rudd government which is discussed again later and also under the Heritage Way side bar on www.Carolodonnell.com.au

The claim that words or ticks about supposed values or intentions necessarily indicate some related personal action or truth in future, whatever the surroundings, is often wrong. To the extent that this is the case, the application of the supposed common standard may be found personally abusive. I speak as a woman who values the contributions all my past lovers made to my life very highly. They enriched my life in every way and did a huge lot for me. Women like Julia Baird on The Drum on ABC TV haven't a clue or forgot what men do? (I speak as a woman who brought herself up on the Freudian and feminist sexual revolution. Fighting for the sisterhood, Baby. Women just like you. As Freud or possibly Barry Goldwater said, 'In your guts, you know they're nuts'. This is discussed again later.)

FROM WOMEN WHO VALUE THEIR MENTAL HEALTH AND WANT TO KNOW THE STORY ON COMMON SADOWASOCHISTIC RELATIONS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS IN COMMON MARKETS

(NOT HOW MUCH I LOVE OR HATE THIS OR FEEL THAT ON A SCALE OF 1-10, REPEATED)

Australian Bureau of Statistics data on causes of death in 2016 notes heart disease has long been Australia's leading cause of death, but death rates have been declining now for more than 40 years. Dementia, including Alzheimer's disease, remains the second leading cause of death in 2016. While leading causes for the whole population are unchanged, there has been a change in the leading cause for females. Dementia, including Alzheimer's disease, has replaced heart disease as the leading cause among women following a small decrease in heart disease numbers and a further increase in dementia numbers. There is a lot of money in looking after the supposedly demented for those who are in the business. Face it. My observation of the demented in my family is they gave up personal responsibility partly as a challenging act to dependants. Use it or lose it may be a fact rather than a personal insult.

Risk management ideally starts with the certainty and severity of death before dealing with related chronic matters, which are often far more expensive for taxpayers and those around them to treat. To reverse this process, arguing there is a continuum from speech to death

may become the professional self-fulfilling prophecy which tries to keep people alive as long as possible for experiments, whether the subjects or others closely affected would have wanted this for themselves or not. Men and women often live in different worlds of work and one reason for men being paid more money is historically because they are more likely to work in occupations subjecting them to far higher levels of danger and risk of death. According to Safe Work Australia **168** men and **14** women were killed at work in 2017, for example. This appears due to men's concentration in far more dangerous work. It is very difficult to interest women in these occupations for lots of good and bad reasons. I tried.

One starts any personal risk management approach with death as the greatest inevitable severity. Getting a contract or agreement in writing to vary it at joint will, openly without lawyers, appears normally reasonable advice in comparatively open environments. See related letter on my death, assets and daughter to Bush Heritage and Macquarie University later. Yet our finances appear forced into the shadows at the most vulnerable period of our lives when we are 'in care' or near death. Old women and men with money should open up as open planning of the bank of mum and dad is necessary to support better development for future generations based on rehabilitation of related lands, starting with those poorest.

In paid work death happens early overwhelmingly to men. Men kill women at home and in places outside paid work but men still kill men more often. These deaths appear the comparatively solid facts upon which policy minds are ideally constructed to avoid the common professional view that everyone should work to their professional or related sporting and commercial rulers. Do colleges and medical sports wag the university dog? (One has no idea but wonders how the 300 other club environments compare for providing any further opportunities to students or staff in recreational or work areas beyond football.)

The Sydney Morning Herald article entitled '***How many people will get cancer in your suburb***' (SMH 13.3.18 p. 6) notes the highest breast cancer rates were in **Mosman, Woollahra, Lane Cove and Hunters Hill**. One wonders why prostate cancer doesn't rate a mention as it was the most commonly occurring cancer in men, climbing steeply a few years before, according to reliable Australian data. When people without apparent symptoms are tested for some illness and then saved from it too, I always wonder about the tests. Scary for men. You go in for tests with no symptoms but good will and come out the other end of treatment incontinent, impotent and with huge hospital bills. Your life was saved by that?

The nature of sadomasochistic relations, unconscious or not, appears unavailable in the Broderick or related professional accounts of the world. While death is certain, one always wonders about the extent to which disease rates may be the result of zealous diagnostic tests leading to many related diagnoses and treatments, even in the absence of symptoms from the perception of the person tested. The wives of professional men often appear an overly trustful lot to me. They may appear more focused on the successfully closed home than on understanding real world conditions for both sexes, professionally driven and supported by the parental or public purse, or not. They are not stupid, they just don't

speech is a waste for such adults and should be left to children, foreigners or IT enthusiasts. Give John Brogden's wife a personal go, for example. She's got a big job in mental distress.)

This is the context in which Sydney University college and campus perceptions about culture are questioned, in the name of many apparently better approaches to data gathering which don't waste so much money on the relatively trivial and subjectively received offences in speech. In government we knew we could learn a lot from a good written complaint as in many areas we had no clue what was going on nor the expertise nor power to do anything about it. In this context of government inquiry, it seems bad if professional time is taken up in the expensively closed professional journal, which universities must use budgets to support outside the norm, instead of using information openly in broader shared interests.

It appears that the adoption of the nomenclature LGBTI, for example, hasn't helped in the primary task of gaining safer communities for everybody to operate more equally in, with less fear of injury. Breaking data down by sex led to clearer and more broadly balanced perceptions in any arena, surely. It wasn't until Jennie Brockie addressed the matter with people on **Insight** on **SBS TV**, for example, that I had any inkling of the kind of problems people with indeterminate birth genitalia face. This only occurred after many years hearing about the same sex choice of partner as if it is always physiologically based. I find this hard to believe in the majority of historical cases, given a formative learning environment. How many lesbians are at the top of the NSW public service, for example? Why don't they talk about their own inclinations properly as I bet they are always interfering legally in our lots?

What makes men more violent and greater risk takers than women, and why women should want to emulate this comparatively self-destructive behaviour I have no idea, except for the excitement of being increasingly on top, perhaps, for the moment or longer, or some moral conviction. One wonders, for example, if Broderick thinks football encourages violence against a player's partner, for example, or if that is just un-Australian thought, which should be banished from SUSF or anywhere like it. Sport is ideally broadly seen as an aspect of recreation, not a driving force. (Sister, if you want to smash yourself up you go right ahead on your own time and Medicare dime? Replace the polling with open structural inquiry.)

On average, **one woman a week is murdered by her current or former partner**, according to the most recent analysis of homicide statistics in Australia. The 2017 National Homicide Monitoring Program report by the Australian Institute of Criminology showed that over a 2-year period from 2012/13 to 2013/14, there were 99 female victims of intimate partner homicide. Women continue over-represented as victims of intimate partner homicide, accounting for **79% of all intimate partner homicides**. Responses to this should be conceptualised more broadly.

Discussions of men and homicide (murder and manslaughter) usually centre on men as perpetrators of violence against women rather than on men killed by other men. Even

though males represent around half the population, they also account for about **two-thirds of Australian homicide victims**.

This is the broader context from which the college woman may more easily shrink if she wants already, like picking up something heavy or dirty beyond the nappy or not. These common polling research formulations which make a distinction between criminal and/or civil offences like sexual discrimination and defamation, commonly leave more dangerous work requiring strength alone. True reputation cannot depend on the expectation of silent protection by another acting behind some curtain, any more than a woman's chastity can or should be valued for sale or related dowry purposes. Women like the annoying idiots in Helen Garner's '**The First Stone**', should stop following US fashions which ignore material damage to focus on subjectively privileged legal accounts of harm to be sprung later. This is in no way to deny the dangers faced by the remote area nurse, for example. Would it make more sense for her to have a plumber or electrician for a mate, rather than another nurse? (Match them up on SBS reality TV and check them out later in action research.)

AUSTRALIA IN COMMON MARKETS HAMPERED BY US CULTURAL DOMINATION

Australian universities operate in global US dominated research markets seeking student fees, government support and related private support and donations to back them up. Following the US Constitution and protecting the US people, appear global contradictions fostered by the US masters of war approach to trade. This may increasingly and wrongly rule the Australian professional allegiances in product research and related marketing and communications. The concept of democratic management is necessarily constructed with related historical and regional roots. Universities and colleges need to understand theirs to develop more effective strategic planning approaches in more commonly inclusive markets.

I've no idea what Broderick was doing with the police and defence forces. Have you? These relations appear increasingly important in the Australian region and globally. One naturally would not wish to waste the association but to open it up more broadly. I'm sure many peasants around the world would like to see the mines that blow their limbs off picked up again, for example. What are these rafts of military and other trainers doing? Making themselves popular by teaching men to shoot the guns they are happily buying?

In giving killers easy and increasing access to guns, US government allows potential killers to kill far more people, including family members, than in any other developed economy. The US has a two-tier system of rights in practice, based on the view that government speech should not interfere negatively with arms trade. This has fuelled state concentration on divisions in the land between women and men, blacks and whites, gays and straights, immigrants and natives, or those Godly and those not, for example. The only get out of jail card in this crazy hell relies on silence or successfully pleading mental illness in a land where mental health is always questioned to deliver a drug and to test its effects if one is lucky. Psychological and related handmaidens of the system are accordingly following suit. This often starts with scales of 1-10, etc. to shut people up by pretending first to fix them up. If Americans are anxious I am not in the least surprised. Why does Australian culture follow?

The Australian police, for example, may perhaps be the group who benefited most from the reduction of arms in the community which became law under the Howard government. As Simon Chapman pointed out in the article, **NRA is wrong on Howard's gun laws (SMH 14.3.18, p 23)**, he and those researchers like him are now engaged in showing that the obvious was indeed obvious. This is, that guns and cigarettes kill people and that people don't get killed nearly as often when they aren't present in the human interaction. In this anti-US Constitutional framework guns rather than people kill people. Yet Americans hate technology to be managed intelligently if it interferes with their product marketing and women have also lost the plot following lawyers in relationship to anti-discrimination legislation instead. Jesus, Simon, will they ever see the light? Are they in denial because of their material interests? (You'd better shut up and stop being so rude to so many people?)

Hiding from the alternative message is not protection in well designed and open societies, which are the kind the University should represent. The comparatively dangerous and high-risk lives men often lead should never be lost from view, as they are in any interactions assuming a spectrum of escalating violence starting in speech and ratchetting up. There appears an increasing and narrowly dominating focus on women's perception of their experience of sexual harassment or risk in a comparatively protected and free environment like a university college; rather than understanding, interest or respect for the knowledge and the effects experienced in more dangerous work. Baby, can you blame us? Open up.

This Broderick stuff cannot address, let alone manage risk on global and regional scales rationally or empathetically. It is based on professionally convenient views of intermittent or chronic conditions which only the comparatively wealthy can afford to draw attention to, when provided with government support from Medicare or related state budgets. Such research design tends to confirm the view that universities are driving students too far away from understanding and meeting the expectations of the more productive world of work, whether this is conducted in the public, private or related charitable or family sector.

Key ideas are canvassed below and attached in local, regional and globally related contexts which the university seeks to inhabit or not, as the case may be. Some of these ideas may be new to Australians, particularly women who take no interest in money matters and men who take no apparent interest in the effects of children on people's lives. However, don't shy away in silence. Demonstrate how clever you are in speech and writing instead. Baby, this is why we employ you to make a difference. It wasn't for your IT film star qualities in the allotted role. I want to get along with Asian neighbours, like China and Vietnam. Sharia principles are openly addressed in related Commonwealth or other trading contexts later.

OPEN APPEAL TO THE MINISTER FOR SOCIAL SERVICES AND SYDNEY UNIVERSITY BASED ON THE CONSTITUTION AND THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF CONSENTING ADULTS FIRST

The service economy and welfare state are addressed in regional contexts attached. One assumes all service is social. This includes mining, manufacture, religious and financial service or products or related business; conducted by the family freely, or not. The service construction and related product outcomes may be construed differently on different historical and institutional grounds, which are ideally of primary interest for a research start. These are discussed in regard to communications in books, films and other actions attached.

The Minister for Social Services, Dan Tehan, is first addressed on equal terms, however, to draw attention to the attached discussion of **'the intersections between the enjoyment of the freedom of religion and other human rights'** requested by PM Turnbull. This naturally turns first also to the Australian Constitution as the reigning document from which all here must draw. This view also conceptualises religious activities, from which the university and its colleges also sprang, as services for the purposes of more open joint operation with the state. (Think of Jesus and the woman at the well for example. Jesus I would. None of this Buddhist nonsense where he could just leave home forever and beg, as he was a prince.)

As also fitting in a university, I write first in support of Section 116: Commonwealth not to legislate in respect of religion, which justified religious freedom thus. *The Commonwealth shall not make any law for establishing any religion, or for imposing any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, and no religious test should be required as a qualification for any office or public trust under the Commonwealth.* The above seems a clear and reasonable statement, for a start. I write in conformity with it. See the discussion of freedom of speech, human rights and duty of care directions attached.

The Constitution is, however, a descriptive document, as distinct from an idealistic or policy one. It made a lot of sense as administrative guidance for a federation of six colonies with their own constitutions, parliaments and governors which still had control over land and production at the state level through powers like zoning and rezoning, etc. This remains the case today. The idea of a top unchanging Constitution, however, is foreign to good production and administrative practice. The men who wrote it were not Gods or Monarchs. Internationally a Marxist grandma such as I am over you may be common product, however rare in Australia. You think you are ahead of the game when you are behind it. Ask Angela.

As religious culture comes from and expresses a particular family and economic historical base, like any other college, craft or related professional and industry or community culture, one starts with the WHO definition of sexual health. (Weber is already given.) The World Health Organization (WHO) defined sexual health as *'the integration of physical, emotional, intellectual and social aspects of sexuality in a way that positively enriches and promotes personality, communication and love'*. (Nutbeam and Blakey, Health Promotion International, 1990.) **As the joint focus of attention and evidence, the view liberates love from religious institutional constraints, whilst including religious faith and justification.**

Lilith and Faith meet at last and compare their situations, with the man contributing related views unless he is dead already, for example? What could be fairer? The regional direction PM Malcolm Turnbull (God bless him) now appears to be taking, includes the mythic denial which also drenches our family culture and womanly person. The PM said on the news, for example, in response to questions about adultery by MP Barnaby Joyce, that he believed men should never be other than fully clothed. (Could I have dreamed it? What a nutcase.)

Since his personal letter thanking me for my congratulations to him, however, I now find I doubly appeal to Dan Tehan, Minister for Social Services. All services are socially constructed and this vital national ministerial title includes scientific service and related research or not, as willing. Old men and women must lead by looking into the personal will and all its related associations. The legal mould must be broken open. See to it Minister. (George Brandis was shown to be fun I thought. I hope he is happy serving in London.)

In his personal letter to me, the Minister states he believes it is vital that we look to how we can support those Australians most in need in a way that is targeted and sustainable. I take him seriously. Put men always before technology has always been my motto. This has left me relatively handicapped and stubbornly unwilling to change in old age in a technological self-management department. This may be a common family matter, as addressed again later. I am considering the Grandmas' Death Focus Association. (It's not open to everyone.)

One discusses the downsides of state approved payment for medical diagnosis and drug treatment in mental health circles later. One points to related broader problems of the easy application of the common continuum, spectrum or scale in professional and related business discourse, however common. Too much of this discourse appears part of trying to confuse the customer to gain an advantage in sales or access to funds. When I shop for food, building or investment products, for example, I find it hard to access information on the contents of the thing I am buying in the best-informed way for customer purposes.

Marketing design which increasingly poses as scientific research has more in common with producer led invitation to treat. This is not an attack on Australian retail as I wonder how it could compete with other more secret business. Why believe Solomon Lew about **Smiggle** being so successful globally when little girls' stationery is a cheap common product everywhere? Little girls willing to shop in specialty stores don't have much money but surely the store rents must be huge. Whenever I pass an empty **Smiggle** I wonder about Lew's view. Working in state government prepared me for the real world more than university did. If you haven't worked in the private sector, I am inclined to believe, you haven't grown up. Baby it's a crucial big black box and a woman's job is to untie it, not tell us to shut up.

Surely the Australian family needs a better vocational conception for the future to support its children? I am always struck by how little this issue appears to occupy the public mind yet appears so keenly felt privately at home by many offspring, if not by mothers or fathers that bred them. One hears these family stories on holidays, when women privately discuss their divorces, will settlements and related experiences with siblings, kids, etc. for example. The matters Jennie Brockie and her guests deal with so vitally on SBS TV also appear as lessons. Gruen on ABC TV and Planet America are clever, entertaining and educational on marketing issues central to the way we are treated in Oz universities as well as elsewhere. Reality TV may present the entertaining myth or help to more empathetic understanding.

One cannot have universities without the mythic and historical story of why we need them. They grew out of history and this is their democratic case against the common market hordes of fashion. This is increasingly market driven globally from the economic tops and professions. I speak as a lifelong scholar typist and teacher who came to Freud and Jung through Marxist and feminist directions. Like Peter Cook I lacked the Latin to be a judge, as well as the sex and schooling. The closest thing I had to some treasured myth was the idea of romantic love, which I was deconstructing at the time. Those were the days, my friend? (Gee, Baby, I dunno. You will have to ask a man. I feel on confident ground in this opinion.)

How caring are you about yourself and others? How do you know? The VC appears well prepared by his historical background and experience, his position and his associations to lead the general direction on these matters far better than any in the colleges could. One would naturally expect him to convey his views to anybody he felt like. Finding PM Malcolm Turnbull is easier than finding anyone in the private sector in my experience, for example. I always found rules about who is supposedly allowed to talk or write to whom on what an absolute hoot. You can talk or write to anybody you like on an open record. This is learning. Whether they reply is another matter. I guess many students are still crossing their fingers.

The accountability for the decision and for **the action to carry it out in construction** are matters qualitatively different from mere writing. Lawyers and women often ignore it. Learning is one thing, but certification of competence to practice (what? where?) is another. In this context, where the dollar and closed shop drive, open operation may be the key guarantee of competence not dependant on potentially spurious old fellows' networks. Then we can all inhabit a broader field to learn something to help business or recreation.

This is a discussion of the ideal Australian way forward to greater productivity in more open global and regional approaches to knowledge and intellectual property, as distinct from in multiple closed institutional and related voting procedures. These appear typically to close markets on ignorant grounds which may not be clearly justified in the public interest. The sexual behavioural choices of consenting adults acting outside working hours is supported in this context. Their duty to others must relate closely to their responsibilities for producing, caring for and supporting their children, as distinct from handicapping them for life in bad habits. (I'm feeling a bit like Billy Field here, but some of you have probably forgotten him long ago and the rest of you never heard of him. See related discussion of film attached.)

You should not need to kneel before the court but write to it openly instead. People who talk say a lot of stupid things compared to writing, where we can always check their words out later. They may change their minds later for good reason, such as a student openly pointing out why their teacher is wrong. Divorce increasingly follows marriage in this real post-war world. This is because both partners have increasing freedom to choose their own lives through the easy control of female reproductive functions since the 1960s, followed by no-fault divorce and state pension support for the unwed mother or person with disability. This has made a big difference to what women think and expect. One sees the sense in every generation. It is fascinating to consider the relative social entrapment of my mother with the freedom and related expectations of my daughter as a mother or not, for example.

When I was young, before the contraceptive pill, a pregnant woman out of wedlock was thrown back upon her family, a shotgun wedding, or the child was put for adoption. These are realities few people spoke of. Did aborigines? A shotgun wedding on pain of losing your child always seemed like an incarceration to me, although at the time nobody noticed or asked. I didn't need to go through it. Perish the thought. When will we learn association matters for the next generation and look into it? The situation kids are in now depends on the bank of mum and dad, how long they live, if they are healthy or changed partners a lot, and the siblings or related business pressures. Old people in financial situations like or superior to mine could set a personal example by outlining how they would like to resign from paid work **to die at the point of time they choose** (as in reproduction) and to openly disperse inheritance in educational ways that appear more broadly of use to the scholar.

One starts, for example, by inviting VC Spence, Bob Hawke and Blanche d'Alpuget, Bob and Helena Carr, Susan Ryan and other old wealthy people to do so, with partners or not. This is not a matter of conforming to normally driven patterns of donation, crowd funding, etc. but a matter of taking sustainable development seriously through related open action with clear open reasoning attached in the public interest, direct will beneficiaries included. This is the logical next step in political biography which may put an open joint policy case. The huge wealth of people like Therese Rein and Kevin Rudd is partly due to key political and trust associations. To ask them to open up for our edifying benefit is not to accuse corruption. For example, Malcolm Turnbull's father in law did him a lot of good I guess. Why hide it?

More recently, increased equality of opportunity or choice between women and men in regard to paid work (which appeared slowly through economic growth and more effective control of reproduction) is being quickly transformed into generational disadvantage. This is based on parental income and the number of offspring expected to live off it globally, when paid work is being reduced to the gig economy. According to the article '**Gig economy a threat to pension bill**' in the Australian Financial Review (AFR, 12.3.18, p. 17) a study by the Association of Superannuation Funds of Australia in 2016 found that **self-employed individuals between the age of 60 and 64 had about half the amount of super savings of employees**. That proportion was broadly similar across younger age groups.

Today young people are expected to find somewhere to live and buy an unnecessarily expensive education which lasts for longer than ever for no apparently good reason, given the nature of the world of work, always driving towards the uncertain gig. Personal housing and commercial or other rents are major aspects of life which often appear increasingly expensive, as a proportion of income which falls as the retail market globalises. Human resources are us and then the IT appears fucked again, so then where are we? It depends.

To put it crudely for Millennials, if you have siblings and you don't have access to a good bank of mum and dad you may be fucked and will never be able to do anything you like. Underneath we all know it surely? Fix it up. **I propose that Seniors associations begin the development of euthanasia policy for a start.** Trust openly and early as usual. Australian

research and teaching institutions should embrace notions of broader community service than the professional circle or college commonly does. This is ideally addressed globally in recognition of divisions between the wealthy state and central urban perceptions, and those in older rural and peripheral economies on the land and in business. This may or may not be transformed into mining or agribusinesses or tourist operations in parts, supportive or not.

This heritage planning is only possible in writing openly outside the lawyers' many special, outdated, confusing, conflicted directives. This seems the only choice for any rule of law which necessarily operates across a range of older legal and heritage protections generated by the particular market and state. The economist JK Galbraith remains a hero to me and some former NSW Premiers, for example. As a US ambassador and a Canadian writer at the time he had the broader economic outlook the college economist is more likely to lack. It's a political economy. Are the rest of you, nuts? (No, just careful not to offend with words.)

The trouble with much apparently intelligent parliamentary policy is that the relatively intelligent speech and direction becomes quickly obscured under the lawyer's translational interests, which he shares with his mates. For example, in the article ***Economics failed us before the global crisis***, (AFR 22.3.18, p. 27) Wolf reports that in an overview of the literature by Vines and Wills in the **Oxford Review of Economic Policy** entitled ***Rebuilding Macroeconomic Theory***, the core macroeconomic model rested on two critical assumptions, **the efficient markets hypothesis** and **rational expectations**. This stuff is rubbish because the theoretical associations ignore, purposely or otherwise, the institutional and related economic, political and cultural constraints which explain the exercise of choice. At the end of the day choice is an emotional business increasingly difficult to face without drugs. The US is in the grip of guns and opium and gambling addictions they peddle, legally or not. When experts can't predict a global financial crisis, theory and practice are in trouble. (I resigned from work in 2007 when Macquarie Bank was pushing to us bunnies nightly on TV.)

Whether parents are expected to support their children or the reverse depends often on whether the family is operating mainly in a subsistence and small business economy or in a big welfare state funded by fees, taxpayers and business or family donations. Universities and colleges ideally deliver globally. However, the colleges now appear to be with the Broderick report in territory where the concept of the sexual rights of consenting adults seems increasingly lost in the land of the employer or someone else's responsibility, for fear that speaking up about some unwanted event leads to personal failure. Spooky stuff.

The related US social research design we are driven towards in Australia is fascist rather than democratic or based on more broadly material reality. It appears constructed by legal professional IT design and some student or teacher professional polling interests in this case. These appear insufficiently broadly informed and experienced on crucial particular grounds and where they fit into overall country. This is a danger for employers and the communities they service. The personal and business home or rental, tertiary education, communication and related business on-costs appear crippling for retail and personal services of many confusing kinds. Family business ideally leads the way forward not back. (I'm not so sure about the man alone in the desert on SBS TV with a couch and the remote.)

My recent trip to Adelaide reminded me, among other things, that Left wing Australian students should be reminded, for example, that they are not engaged in some kind of Paris commune business, arms linked with academics, whose interests necessarily express the interests of the public best as well. Phooey to all that old fascistic perspective explained and exemplified so thoroughly well internationally in the works of Mary S. Lovell and her sisters. These wonderful European and trans-Atlantic biographies do so much to explain the naked facts of life we in the colonies also inherit in the face of global pressures seeking to preserve the past or wipe it from family memory for the sake of newer business.

Related international differences are explored attached with European, US and Chinese characteristics. This is to help the university implement its international and regional charters more effectively as an institution within many ancient global, regional and related colonial business and family heritages, plus those of coming generations. Call it the warfare or welfare state if you will. One stands hopefully with President Xi and coalitions of the democratic willing. Completely unlike PM Malcolm Turnbull I always recommend it totally unclothed and in the open. This a plot by Michaelia, Dougie and me. The PM said she was bullied. She wasn't. (See **Smear row worsens as PM backs 'bullied' Cash**, AFR, 2.3.18, p. 2.)

Revisit **'The First Stone'**. Let us beg a few more women lawyers to speak up about their beliefs in this age where the notion of an age of consent and responsibility appears now to be rendered comparatively meaningless. In such uncertain times we should be thinking of loose lips opening us up to further questioning, knowledge and even empathy, as distinct from sinking our ships. That women in art and men in construction should get together and change each other has been a major contention of my life. The Western view of human rights is rejected attached, as it is racist and apparently ignorant of normal family constraints in its antipathy to family planning policy, euthanasia for the old and for certain major criminals. There appears little understanding in Amnesty of wider community interests. It seems to me to have policies dreamed up by Christian lawyers rooting for killers and calling it caring. Given English-speaking and US associations that's natural I guess. To read the last governor of Hong Kong, Chris Patten, spouting this stuff turns one's stomach. Only the already privileged finding themselves at last among even better friends, etc. etc.

From broader views, which should surely be held by parents and teachers, ignorance (secrecy) is not bliss, it's stupid and impractical. This is the historical and regional position from which, against the college, the scale or continuum and the mad square of European fascist relations are addressed in college sport, construction and related matters of Sydney University and community interest. Women who ignore economics are like children. Men who hide and ignore the expectations of their reproductive actions are reprehensible too. This is discussed again later in relation to generational death and investment matters. Try getting real instead of lying. The former in multiple professional forms is mainly confusing.

GLOBAL TEACHING AND RESEARCH SERVICE SHOULD REFLECT REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT INTERESTS BETTER (FILM AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS ARE ADDRESSED ATTACHED)

The need for teaching and research to become increasingly based in practical development to serve a broader community is discussed in related regional World Health Organization (WHO) and UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) directions later and attached. Open up in more real regional learning environments or be damned is my general message on service, whether the colleges consider themselves to be involved in this broadly or not. Professional domination and control are going on at the moment, while the University should be better engaged in regional development and planning. Just because the service may be delivered by doctors, doesn't mean it isn't fraternal in its management, as distinct from addressed to the client and other communities more broadly to make sense.

According to an official Sydney University report the participating colleges received Broderick's report and committed to implement all her recommendations. There are problems with this because college culture is historically formed by legal Christian professional and associated circular bonds which have also long been committed to secret commercial operations. These are now increasingly entrenched through information technology (IT) working with related secret and comparatively unreliable professional interests. Dear God help the lot of us out. I advise key approaches to Indonesian Muslims for a start on the view that Commonwealth and Asian trading blocks are ideally developed in more broadly open and stable fund designs. Sharia (law) abhors usury in theory. This may be effective in managing a world where development may be better viewed in terms of family alliances and relationships with the regional state and military operations. (Pakistan springs to mind immediately for example. It is often easy to dismiss the trees for the wood.)

As supposedly normal life becomes more complex, the more related disabilities become apparent in the welfare state. This may be related to comparative lack of time or money, as economist Gary Becker pointed out in relation to ideal cooperative behaviour between the sexes in earlier eras. Then each was less easily pitted against the other in paid business or other relations, recognized or not. As a woman in the past one knew where one stood. It was below the man as a public or invisible symbol. Marriage was an expected matter of money and status for a good life, handed down to children from a man and wife, not a comparative social pretence based on the demands of the young for sexual or other freedom to choose. In regard to any marriage you should be careful what you wish for.

Policy, development and research should be regionally designed to protect children better. The goal is so they may flourish rather than be harmed in the inevitable process of preparation for competition driven by producer advertising and related state control leading to particular employment. How else but producer and state influence may one explain the supposedly driving popular demand for bombs, guns, drugs, high sugar, high fat foods and cosmetic surgery globally? Young people appear bound to pay huge fees for spending time in educational institutions getting ready, perhaps, for privileged work they won't ever get, confined as they are to the wrong family and college associations which are political as well.

The US direction we may easily appear to follow seems like a death wish as our lives are stretched out as long as possible in medical experiments related to anxiety, depression and Alzheimer's because of some related incapacity to compete and shut up. It may seem normal to Australians, but surely one easily sees why Asians or Europeans might find the leading US economic directions as disgusting as I do. The US is not democratic because its politicians have to be purchased to get enough money to run for office and pay for media, etc. etc. etc. Research conducted behind closed doors should not be supported on public money because in any democracy the state supposedly reflects the broader interest in better informed personal action as broadly as possible. The role of children in the lives of women is hidden from men in most public policy pretence but emerges in film and novels. All this has considerably ramifications for the way consultant hires are ideally treated.

Broderick's continuum of intensity and harm and many related scales are increasingly common in industry and academia because they are commercially produced and so largely unquestionable except to a related peer and professional elite, often dependant on student or taxpayer largesse or the market or charity in turn for being and growth. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) designed to justify psychiatric medical diagnosis and actions, including the restricted prescription of drugs, is addressed in the attached consideration of Gary Greenberg's **The Book of Woe, the DSM and the unmaking of psychiatry**, for example.

I have had a fortunate working interest in these areas all my working life as a resident Glebe community member, public servant, university academic, high school teacher, parent and grandparent. One grows and develops as part of one's regional context which is increasingly global. From this perspective the human organism needs food, exercise and sleep to remain alive. All else is culture as the result of maturation or education surely. I am a Freudian grandma. Words and writing are everything to me. Technology is the space men have created for it and for searching pictures in fantasy or fact. *(Don't mix them up. You are your own creative stock. Tell me the level of passion that you want and I'll try to manufacture it for you, Stupid. We often appear to allow strong emotion only in sport. Why in God's name should the rest of us care? Is it because if we don't they may turn nasty?)*

There are many pitfalls in ethics which ethics committees can't and won't address, for example. Broadly open research and development design which can be denied or questioned by anyone on the ground from any angle appears better for going forward than the normal expensively closed shop research and ethics approaches run by highly paid peers who normally have their disciplinary interests firmly in mind in their research directions. If researchers can't clearly see the differences between speaking to people and sticking things in their bodies, then God help us all, as I often had occasion to point out when working in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney University. I won't bore you with more details.

The key point, surely, in social research design is for it to be open so flaws may be pointed out early. The perception of the flaws may be comparatively subjective or objective, depending on the situation. Don't blur the differences between speech, writing and other action, or reality, or else moral direction is increasingly dictated by market fashion. This product is driven on both sides of law, like drugs or guns. This is a law of life, surely. Think of me as a CP Snow dynasty updated at Oxford. The VC and university are on the other side supporting their colleges rather than with their strategic planning hat on with government.

Should one assume the VC and at least some of his fellows are happy to reflect Christian beliefs in his continuing organization too? I wouldn't be because the Catholic church abuse affairs show us fraternity prefers professional closures across the board. This is apparently also now based on IT multi-skilling the staff first at younger levels. There is insufficient understanding of the requirements for quality information or related product treatment in this model. (Imagine me saying this through gritted teeth. The young should revolt but not in support of fellows. I tell them this isn't the Paris commune, but they don't get over it.)

In this context it may be that Sharia Law design may lead us forward best, because of its long-held antipathy to the conditions of usury, which is profit to us. This suits common leading family alliances with the state, however, which are also murky. Christians, or at least the lot from Washington won out globally and comparatively decisively after World War 2 with the Cold War and the Marshall Plan. When we have had enough profit, in our US and UK driven systems, we are expected to give some back in charitable and related family vehicles. Under the circumstances it seems no wonder many Muslims with growing families as well as others are mad at all of us still operating on US Marshall Plan rules. (Jesus it's difficult to do it the way you want, as discussed later for family and economic reasons.)

CORRECTIVE FREUDIAN FOCUS: CONSIDER THE WORLD IN THE ROUND IN WHICH ALL SPEECH MAY BE STAGED FOR EFFECT, CONSCIOUSLY OR NOT, AS THE CASE MAY BE

CLEAR OBSERVATION FOR GOOD DEVELOPMENT AND RISK MANAGEMENT PURPOSES IDEALLY STARTS WITH DEATH RATHER THAN ASSUMING AN ESCALATING SCALE OR CONTINUUM OF VIOLENCE, STARTING IN SPEECH (FORGIVE ME MY REPETITIONS?)

The development of the Australian welfare state, with its related concepts of treating physical and mental disability and harassment should question professional services starting with those which cost most money on most dubious benefit grounds. Whether one seeks a psychiatrist, an electrician, a peer, or someone's mum, should depend on open choice. In this broader regional view than the traditional professional one, the professional existence is ideally factored into the mix, as distinct from driving it in diverse professional directions which may never open or join up effectively to address operational arenas they inhabit.

Psychologists find it easy, for example, to administer and provide a spurious representation of themselves as **'behavioural scientists'**, when tests are turned, as they invariably are, into numbers. Behavioural science is a triple sham. It's science rests first on the clearly wrong idea that a speech or tick in a box provides a reliable or good indicator of action in any current or future context. Even in long marriage we may be mired in deep ignorance of each other's broader, longer and fuller construction. Business may demand it for a start, backed up by lawyers. In this context psychological assessments may be more like preachers talking to the choir than the congregation and others beyond them openly hearing any criticism, to improve the joint lot. Let all forms of speech be more broadly opened up to judgment, in the story, for example. Baby, it's only an ignorant story. Where else can it start? The problem of those without Marx is having no grasp of dialectics.

The claim is often wrong that words or ticks about supposed values or intentions necessarily indicate some related personal action or truth in future, whatever the surroundings. To the extent that this is the case, the application of the supposed common standard may be found personally abusive. I write as a woman who values contributions all my past lovers made to my life very highly. They enriched my life in every way and did a huge lot for me. I am sick of discussions of respect which appear to depend on authoritarian visions of speech.

A comparatively true and helpful approach for learning tests the professionally directed scale in learning better through more openly and honestly seeking interaction. The healthy approach is to consider policy and related development in the context of the life cycle within particular regions, as distinct from in the professional set-up which lives on wrestling with the state effort or not. My observation in shopping for food, building, or financial products, for example, is marketing is designed to confuse people about material and related scientific considerations to sell more product or gain more funds, whichever brings in more money.

To encourage more women to be in science, maths and technology surely one must appeal to their loving open nature best. The appeal is usually to their gaming and competitive streak. I hate this as often linked to the male urge to reflect its anger in destroying others by avoiding their concerns, including through controlling their silence and speech. Only gutless dummies of men or something far worse would put up with this in my view. The medico-legal and insurance context is addressed attached to deplore its unmanaged effects.

I should have been voting on what defence spending I want, not on fucking gay marriage. Fortunately, my stars in the Sydney Morning Herald (17-18.3.18) tell me *the odd feeling I have that I've somehow been diagonally parked in a parallel universe fades as no nonsense Mars marching into Capricorn sharpens this week's focus, clarifies certain mysterious goings-on and resets my personal GPS.* (If it's true, which I strongly doubt, it had better be good and substantially better than last time. When I worked in NSW government at least we

asked more broadly about issues faced and tried to find answers, no matter how silly. In universities they appear to think themselves above the mob, open to describe it in theory.)

This is the broader, contradictory global context in which college or another group protection institution and culture is embedded, like it or not. For example, strata law management affects me living in Glebe at St James Court. Sydney University bought the place next door is the going rumour. Any advance on that? To what extent is the college, or other student housing, considered to be acting **'in loco parentis'**? No idea. The issue seems important for understanding regional and related housing development in future, in which the university is jointly invested, in fact or in fiction, with its surrounding community. **How local is that?** My major thesis is that the rights and duties of consenting adults to attempt honest speech and writing rather than silence, should not be lost. Women need to get out from under the driving marketing pushes and tackle the bigger picture. Men, on the other hand, often appear most focused on managing built assets. These combine in housing.

Figures and money are ideally related in this context. God knows what Stephen Hawkings was doing on research money for so many years. Is this good enough for women? Hell no! The global US and their allies' warfare economy which was diverted to the space race seems potentially alive and well in university mathematics departments today. I dunno what they do or why in relation to something like physics, except build bombs and boys stuff. It seems incomprehensible and against female intelligence about life from a more broadly open and honest trading encounter, especially if established by God to protect children, the disabled (who are often old) and animals and plants which are part of Australian and global heritage. From this view the more broadly one may see, the more conflicted about the male purpose. On the other hand, ignorance about what they are doing never appears very smart.

HOW A CONFUSED PROFESSIONAL PRODUCT MARKETING PERSPECTIVE TAKES OVER (TIPS ON OPENING WOMEN, MEN AND COLLEGE CULTURE)

Marketing invades the tertiary sector at a time when feminism is lapping it up or leading it, depending on who is being asked. This may lead, for example, to writers like Ceridwen Dovey, who claims herself to be **a beneficiary** of the South African state of apartheid. In the Sydney Morning Herald (17-18.3.18 Books 18) Dovey says, *'so much of the power of literature comes from witnesses, from the sense of having been wronged. When you can't speak from that position how can you speak? Should you even speak at all?'* I haven't read her books but this reflects a typical professional woman's confusion. The **witness is not normally the one who has been wronged or who has the same visceral reaction.**

Has Dovey been wronged too or is she just confused about her right to speak or write? Surely, she has as much right to write as anybody? Like Steven Hawkings, however, I guess she needs to have somehow inherited or made relatively fortunate affiliations to get on US

lists and in translations. With the right friends in marketing it appears you don't need to make much conventional sense. It's just a pity that this US market driven approach is taking over so much in universities, often courtesy of legal and medical approaches to mental instability, drugs and related 'therapeutic' products, including books. (On the other hand, it's a pity the serious questions Helen Garner raises in her books are invariably ignored.)

The advocacy related confusions of the professional women or man appear as legion. For example, after a litany of things women (as distinct from children?) may face, David Leser, writing in the Fairfax Good Weekend concludes ***'the common denominator here is a deeply embedded misogyny that expresses across the spectrum'***. He lists many things in this spectrum, ignoring war and including fat shaming and mansplaining. He concludes *man has been fucking with Woman for a long time and not just in language*. Is this guy kidding? He isn't on theoretical first base. He needs economics and children for a start. He can't just wish them away because they are taken for granted unspoken or not. Why have so many? Isn't this an example of outdated masochistic practices in a state of higher expectations?

Sexual and other relations at Sydney University colleges and in other places, known or not, are addressed starting with sexual acts and ideally related consents. Are the University or colleges expected to stand in loco Edwardian parentis or to operate on the same basis as in forms of strata management or other community housing where many live already? It is the latter, I suggest. Get real. My major contention now is sexual consent between adults depends on women speaking up, not on some professional women increasingly defending their right or duty to silence, as lawyers always will. I speak thus as a woman always in fear of being dragged expensively behind lawyers' chariots, so silenced at the lawyers' pleasure and pace, including that of friends with insufficiently developed understanding of the material world. (Fortunately, or not, I have none left. Is this part of the new human market condition or just a common situation in old age, chosen or not? What do you make of it?)

Since Barnaby Joyce, former leader of the National Party, left his marriage of many years to a woman who had four daughters, he has openly agreed to act as father of a male child with unknown paternity. One naturally seeks to involve the key women openly in productive discussion about the maintenance of all children. Like it or not, this movement of professional people, from one partner to another, in marriage or not, is increasingly common. Surely the women involved in cases seized on by the media should be asked for their advice? This is not a trivial question for family business and future generations, especially when a lot of land or money is involved, although the issues are routinely ignored and left to chance and lawyers in secret. Why don't more of these women speak and write about their situations for policy purposes instead of leaning expensively on lawyers?

On an Intrepid trip in France and Spain I met a wealthy Melbourne woman, for example, whose family owned a substantial farm. The stories of her divorce from her wealthy cheating husband, and with her siblings in court were instructive about influences still at work in landed family, business and court relations. Her father had left the family's big, highly productive farm to his only son, though one of the three sisters in the family was the only farmer. Two of the sisters contested the will and the third refused to join suit. I bet

Barnaby Joyce and his closest women are an absolute fount of information on such crucial matters which need to be tapped. These changes in the expected roles of men and women, from yoked reproductive team approach to family court tempests are of huge public interest. Women who know from personal experience should speak up and write. There are plenty. If one cannot conceptualise what seems to be fair treatment, I've always wondered how a person remote from the personal proceedings, like a lawyer or judge, should be able to do so. Always connect? Always write. One wonders when women will have the guts to start talking about real life in the media rather than avoiding all the more obvious questions in comparative drivel. (Jennie Brockie stands out a lot alone as usual?)

The interpersonal relations of men and women of all ages are later addressed on campus and in the letter to Bush Heritage on the interview with Lesley Hughes, Ecologist and Pro Vice-Chancellor at Macquarie University, on investment matters. The scale which ignores the arena, only encourages the mad Square yet again, but in new forms to suit the times and take it backwards, always fighting the last war, or an earlier one. Julia Baird on ABC TV should stop pounding MP and former leader of the National Party, Barnaby Joyce, about his adultery with his pregnant lover, for example. As a former media announcer, the lover should be among the first to speak up about her procreational aims and decisions, like homosexuals did before today. If she needs Julia Baird to write to intuit her feelings for her, she appears totally fucked to me. She should grasp the opportunity and try to express them for herself, thus teaching us, as that is what authentic behaviour is about. It is also what authentic learning is about, as distinct from always hearing Julia's dominating drivel, based on her raft of mid Victorian anxieties from which she cannot afford, it seems, to grow up. (See *'Campion's friends must stage an intervention'*. Sydney Morning Herald, 5.3.2018, 18).

We have seen this before. When I was young, as I often say today, every machine came with a man attached. This is why I know a lot more about men at the age of 71 than about operating machines and I am determined this shall remain so. Nevertheless, film follows print in serving the public and individual or community interest in regard to any individual or family business and related operational matters. This is addressed attached in regard to collegiate culture and also in relation to Australian historic and global pressures. ABC and related communications content direction appears more sensible than the Museum and presumably their state and construction industry mates seeking international blockbusters.

Compare the article entitled **ABC must 'spend more time talking to ordinary citizens'** which is the regional scenario ABC Managing Director Michelle Guthrie is facing, with the **'Push for Titanic-style blockbusters'** based on an interview with Museum director, Kim McKay, where she discusses the acquisition of much greater public floor space for bigger buildings. These are on pages 8 and 9 respectively (SMH 17-18.3.18). Clean up Australia or take over parks?

Our Constitutions condemn us nevertheless to repeat the past, in which Julia Baird on ABC TV has a tiny shiny part, along with the male and related members of her family before her. Like many commentators today, Baird appears to have a limited grasp of the material world

existence and its production methods, including children. This blind spot is not uncommon in current affairs writing about women and men or children. Religious freedoms, human rights and related matters of speech and action are addressed in related regional contexts attached which value the historical arena to preserve it, rather than seeking to destroy it.

As they admitted themselves, the Devil has the best music and I would add movies. How does the VC feel about a related individual story start? You and I must be able to believe and so presumably say and defend whatever we like. One may inquire into the operations of anyone suspected of criminal or other operations directed against the public or individual interest. In other words, the search for truth and democratic behaviour should be more broadly open in speech and not closed off by some new form of God's police sans God. (Perish the thought even though I would like to strangle them all along with lawyers.)

One may start better development at the regional words, books and pictures end, for example, by considering why Playschool and the Children's Channel on ABC TV may be good for your children and you. The approach seeks to be fun and educational, without pester-power advertising for high sugar, high fat, or addictive product sold as calming or enhancing. Then move on to Gruen which investigates marketing power in a clever, entertaining and educational way. The concept of social marketing (funded by government) is strange, because all marketing is social. Government is a political construct and so is its marketing. Another day another kind of closed off trough of International Blockbuster Exhibitions to delight state construction industry interests in ripping up public parks to grow the great big building economy. How could they ever find out who owns anything else in the vicinity?

Think instead of the luscious grounds surrounding the wealthy and stable house in all weathers. The loveliest place in Moscow that I went to for example was a huge communal garden with beautiful plantings and traditional wooden tea-houses and revolutionary sculptures of a former era given to this beautiful park near Gorky Park, to be remembered. If the Australian construction industry had any sense about the future, including in tourism, which it doesn't appear to, it would turn instead to clean up Australia to make a point about parks and breeding. You've seen Emily from Little Britain and you've seen me. There are going to be a lot more like us and you need to get your wits more screwed on better for it.

Spare me from the charismatic leader, as I have always had an instant inclination to the apparently right man on the podium, the *coup de foudre*, so to speak, until it's over. Ladies will always be happier with a more stable era. The gig economy, like the one-night stand, is a bad way to make a living in a crowded market where newer models are coming in all the time. The latest development in the US market wrecks the marriage in separations? Everything good and bad starts in California again? My major advice is institutions need to address such realities as reproduction and death rates better before the old men and women die off in Australia. I am seventy-one, for example. What about my right to choose to leave the business how I want? Related matters of life are naturally addressed in this context in which a lot of things hang on the Barnaby Joyce affair or something like it. Baby, we are in lawyers' territory. Don't let them drag you under the wheels, let alone do it again. (Speak and write together in the arena, rather than withdrawing, like in **The First Stone**.)

If we start trying each other for words, anonymously, behind each other's backs, men will never get any peace trying to find out what we are going on about, in case they get booted. It's either that or they ignore us. See my letter of complaint against SUSF, where neither the complainant nor the man complained against has been treated so far. Is this good enough? Women should learn to speak up and write. Neither protected silence nor protected and blinkered speech are admirable settings for treating others better. Try writing instead. Grown-ups do it to each other to find out stuff although men are traditionally cagey writing home about any business and domestic contact. (It's a woman's duty which they crush?)

Words should never be taken as good indications of action in future. This assumption about violent words leading to violent action is wrong in many cases. As a woman I naturally address speech as related to relief and learning. I have done so all my life in situations where I have been fearful of men. Violent emotion is often related to longing to be accepted as leader or follower in some historically related sado-masochistic relations, as in the common male collegiate institution which has begun to admit women only recently. The University product in the area of sexual harassment is discussed in this context in which the male youth group may seek ritualized violence or humiliation as part of group bonding. (This is a family notion of service to the clubs of which they are a part. Powerful but quaint.)

Women need to speak up early in each case and preferably deal with the matter themselves, according to its gravity, rather than making a secret complaint, to a senior who may back them up or not. Hard as this may seem or be in some cases, it is necessary if women are to be highly valued in responsible jobs where they are expected to protect a patient or client from harm at the hands of anyone. We naturally start with expecting this of any mother. Perhaps the college is taken to be in loco parentis, whereas the student sharing a house or flat with others away from home is assumed to be fending alone or living with the bank of mum and dad in some other capacity. Will the expenses all be paid back or taken for granted? With no welfare state, any expectation to be paid back is stronger.

College cultures are addressed in related global producer contexts starting with families and related associations, whether willing, satisfying and productive, or not. These may best begin for trade and service reasons, with the rights of consenting adults to engage with each other sexually as well as in other ways outside paid work. Why are women not expected to speak up on obvious matters such as circumstances under which they sought to have children or not, for example? What is the history of a work of art and the magic market? Who is your daddy? (One asks this question of Ai Wei Wei and others as art isn't cheap.)

Baby, just ask Google and thank Wikipedia, Bill and the boys. These are communications advances where a more truly informed world can be at our finger tips almost wherever we are. We seek to build on many advances such as these rather than see life and businesses destroyed by wrong market combinations and constitutions. Our cultures may be seen as

like pants, to protect us from ants and other misadventures. Colleges are a part of this, along with their related family, business and other associations, judged legitimate or not.

The reigning family and state dynastic patrons of land, construction and labour appear to be the answers around the globe, regardless. Civilization creates the space for business and art inevitably pulled apart by death or generations moving on elsewhere. Changing fortunes of business on the land and in cities occur increasingly in global contexts. One wishes more women would speak up about what they say to men like Barnaby Joyce in private. I can seldom understand what holds them back as it is in our national interest to understand such matters to guard ourselves and kids against related risks. Species extinctions in Australia are addressed in related contexts later. (I have seen Africa and it usually doesn't work because anything that might work is easily crushed. This isn't a purely African issue I hasten to add.)

Remember and cherish John Farnham, however. He kissed my cheek when my class won a prize for **The Crucible**, in Melbourne, performed by St Michael's girls and Wesley boys. (I note the latter school is in the news again today.) Is there now no responsibility to speak up before an offender and to refuse to take part in a potential or deemed offence? Is silence, pleading fear or righteousness, enough? No, because the polite silence may not take us forward in broader democratically inclusive understanding, depending on the past and present for the future. *To understand everything is to forgive everything*, is the French proverb. To politely ignore everything beyond the professionally specialised blinkers in voting is merely short sighted. (Should you cut that boy's hair if he can't do it himself? If not, who should be given a go and will it work out? This is a parent's age-old question.)

To concentrate on suppressing the offensive word or reality is to ignore and trivialise the reality of many, rather than to illuminate it. The problem with the woman who cannot bear to look at the collusion of women in maintaining their more privileged men, is often reflected in the common rush to censor speech. There is no continuum from speech to action. Speech, however emotionally driven, is not violence. To confuse speech with violence is to push the primary concerns of parties underground through the censorship and focus on particular words or bodily dis-ease, which may be consciously embraced or not.

See a related discussion attached of mental health and the faux-scientific diagnostic and treatment practices of psychiatrists, psychologists and dianetics. Psychology is a form of telling stories about the self and mental health depends on the real world and its related discontents. This is the World Health Organization (WHO) and UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) development approach. It started off explicitly against the medical mental health model endlessly supplying and experimenting with drugs. The latter model of mental health appears comparatively disempowering. The collegiate response is necessarily situated in the broader regional context for better effect.

SUMMARY ON COLLEGE CULTURE (I PREFER FOLLOWING THE VC AND HIS MATES OR YOUR DOING WHAT YOU LIKE INSTEAD AND BEARING THE CONSEQUENCES AS USUAL)

This discussion draws your attention to the necessity to put the regional planning and heritage protection functions of the university into better operation than appears possible

in the current collegiate ones. The problem with the college or association operation and the scale, rather than with the regional institution and arena as the construction model, is the former may more easily stop more open and democratic public debate or action. The college source and method of decision typically slows all decision down. This may not be a bad thing, depending on the goals of the process and the certainty of its operation. The alliance with the private sector for funding, however, puts the university in the way of substantial risk over which it has no control, with potentially unintended consequences for the public in the case of a new product or person developed in secret and rushed to market.

The collegiate culture tends to stop more genuinely honest speech and thought to maintain the privileges and hierarchies of the past as sources of learning, not just entertainment. I associate the colleges with male sports, state construction industry and insurance, don't you? (Gee, Baby, I guess not. That's what being a woman is all about?) One finds one addresses the caring professions and the rest yet again, wondering if the rest are uncaring. Their eyes are often legally focused on protecting the building, to get a good living through the ups and downs of the market from which the college towers may seem comparatively buffered, albeit heavily and secretly implicated in all further global and related construction.

Cheers and good luck. Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney, www.Carolodonnell.com.au

APPENDIX A: LETTER TO BUSH HERITAGE ABOUT ECOLOGIST AND PRO VICE-CHANCELLOR AT MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY LESLIE HUGHES BEFORE THE 7TH ANNUAL WOMEN IN CONSERVATION BREAKFAST HOSTED BY BUSH HERITAGE AND TRUST FOR NATURE

Hi Bush Heritage

Thanks a lot for Bush Banter. I particularly enjoyed the interview with Lesley Hughes, which made me feel I must contact you again with the questions below.

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.carolodonnell.com.au

GROPING TOWARDS A JOINT RESEARCH AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

Please share my problem of putting it together as women and men, or not. I simply do not know how and have battled to do so all of my life. I am now retired with more money than I have ever had before to develop a useful business experience which hopefully will not fill me with anxiety. I don't need to make money at my age but don't want to lose it. I have never worked in the private sector. I am also filled with broadly general comparative distrust and anger. Women or men, I'm not fussy and include myself in this. I think we are all stupid beyond the comparative specialization, which belongs socially to an earlier but more powerful era.

I loved the article by Lesley Hughes and identified with it totally, when she said, albeit coming from a social rather than a scientific intellectual base:

'The thing about working in climate change is that once you get into it you never get out of it –' it's a bit like the Hotel California. The more you find out, the more of a moral imperative it becomes to stay. I got into it more than 25 years ago, and I've never left because it is for me, the most important issue that I could be working on. Morally, I don't see I have a choice.'

At 71 I am a lot older than Lesley. My daughter has post-doctoral work at Maquarie University with colleagues in the same field as Lesley, addressing the extinction of Australian species. She and I have no understanding of business as neither of us have had to bear it. All business starts as family business I guess, which I remember only from my father's.

I often wonder who does understand our business needs and also who I would trust to do things with my money on my behalf in a way I understood and approved of. (I wouldn't turn in the bank or the crowd funding direction, for example. I don't want to lose control.)

My daughter enjoys her current job more than her last one in NSW government. After a year she left it of her own accord, although she had higher pay and status with more security than today, where she is nevertheless happy. I don't blame her but would like to be able to find something fulfilling and comparatively more secure through practical development investment in the general arena of interest to us both and to others. If you can ever find anybody willing to discuss anything like this I would be grateful if you would send them in my direction. See more on www.Carolodonnell.com.au

Cheers and thanks,

Carol O'Donnell, St James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.Carolodonnell.com.au

APPENDIX B: LETTER OF COMPLAINT TO ED SMITH, OPERATIONS AND INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGER OF SYDNEY UNIVERSITY SPORTS AND FITNESS

Ed Smith had earlier backed his counter staff in throwing me out of the SUSF gym assisted by six police called from Newtown police station on Sunday afternoon 12.7.2015. He banned me from the gym for 6 months, essentially for the forceful expression of my views to female counter staff backed by their boys, when approached suddenly for money of an

apparently uncertain amount immediately, on pain of not being allowed in the gym. I had been a customer for many years and never returned after Ed called the cops and banned me from the SUSF. That is no way to treat an old lady or to run a business. Is Ed Smith a moron? Who is to judge? The university appears to be bringing up a lot of people who have high expectations of their capacity to live on public, private or other parental money for a long time. On the strata, however, secret building life goes on much as before. I fear a widening gap between real life operations and theoretically protected speculations.

Hi Ed

REHABILITATION ACCOUNT OF A SEVENTY-YEAR OLD MENTALLY CHALLENGED WOMAN ABUSED BY GIRLS (YOU ARE MOSTLY TO BLAME)

My charge against you:

As Operations and Infrastructure Manager of Sydney University Sports and Fitness (SUSF) you backed your staff in throwing me out of Sydney Uni Sport and Fitness (SUSF) gym assisted by six police called from Newtown police station on Sunday afternoon 12.7.2015.

You probably don't remember me. I was the grandma you threw out of the SUSF association (?) of which I was a long-time member, in a letter dated **17.7.2015**. I can only assume under the circumstances that this was because I was rude to the girls behind the gym counter and they took the matter to their staff managers, who naturally backed them up in their decision to ban me. You were a cretin to back them and their boy mates up. If you start throwing the paying customers out for words, it seems a slippery slope to a living. Comparison is made later with a pub in Alice Springs where I got better treatment.

When I had said that I would turn up to the gym on Sunday 12.7.2015 whether SUSF counter staff had banned me or not, about eight police came down from Newtown Police station on Sunday and told me to go immediately and not return. Police refused to accept or read my written account of events leading up to this and so did management. I noted, however, when I got your letter throwing me out for six months, that you have it. Call it up to refresh you. (Ed. Baby, I got news for you. Yours is no way to run a fiefdom today. Catch up.)

Note first that your letter of 17.7.2015 **does not contain a specific charge against me**. I will return to your enclosed **Sydney Uni Sport and Fitness (SUSF) Terms and Conditions** later.

Note also that fitness comes before sport, not the reverse, as suggested in your title, **SUSF** (sic. Should one assume this is an *association*? structure. Seeing its benefits, I guess it is, at least at the counter below your level. This stance seems to follow the management logic of the Catholic church or a related old boys' college. Putting sport first in your organizational title leads to many comparatively narrow and protected professional fiefdoms, as distinct from leading to more effective and fair regional development which also benefits sport.

SUSF behaviour in this case, for example, is unfavourably contrasted with behaviour in Alice Springs, where men and staff of the association on both sides of the pub counter appear to understand the crucial conceptual differences between words and sticking things in other peoples' bodies. They appear thus in better positions to interact with diverse publics. The film Moneyball is addressed in related regional contexts later. I gave a copy to your staff.

I didn't think of this matter until last Thursday, (19.10.2017) when I saw one of your young college men from behind the counter, with his wife and child in my local Glebe park. He approached me in welcoming recognition. I said with a range of angry oaths, that I did not appreciate being thrown out of your club because I was rude to girls behind the counter. I pointed out to him that I got far better treatment on a Sunday afternoon in a pub in Alice Springs a month later. I draw a lesson from that for you, your staff and others later.

Your young college man said that all he had ever done in regard to me was try to help me. I told him he hadn't tried hard enough and walked off. This email to you is the result of that encounter. I thought I should tell you how I've been going and also try to lead you to more broadly rational understanding of regional management than you appear capable of in your professional circles allied as you appear to drugs and related health service administrations.

My Rehabilitation Discussion after your letter 17.7.15 suspending me from your club:

Have you kept your records of this matter straight? Didn't you care when you backed up the girls, about what I might do next with my sense of your management stupidity and my sense of poor justice? Was it that having me out of your hair meant that you forgot me?

I have taken up yoga at home, thanks for asking. I would never go back to your gym, although I miss the wonderful music videos which kept me in touch with the intelligent youth of the world. (That's not you.) Your organization was killing those anyway, always preferring sports TV and expensive fibre up the machines, which appears normally unused.

This may not be so much favouring sport as continuing narrow male College privilege and related industry expectations, led by a few more female favourites behind the counter. Joe Hockey and his banker wife spring to mind. You should learn to understand sport as part of recreation, not the other way around. Recreation is carried out in regional arenas. You appear seized upon some US model instead allied with more local and narrowly privileged college construction and insurance interests. This is the kind of populism which so easily wrecks the original purpose of universities and instead sets youth up for future pain.

When you threw me out of SUSF for being rude to girls behind the SUSF counter in your letter of 17.7.15, you confirm you have my account of events which led to them banning me from the gym on Thursday, and the Newtown cops turning up on Sunday to throw me out.

I bet I had been a **member** of the Sydney University Sports Association and paid my dues for many years more than your current club members, or any of the girls and boys behind the

counter, club members or not. I had taught at the Faculty of Health Sciences for eleven years, until I retired at sixty, for example. I was 68, when your girls got me thrown out for rudeness and the boys and you backed them up in your usual manner. I expect you're worried about how my health and my rehabilitation are going since I never returned to the gym. I should drop you a line. This is it. Try film. I attach related direction.

The attached proposal for shared film development seeks help from regional partners and backers with intent to capitalise on China's digital revolution first. This film is provisionally titled '**Whither the Party? Education, art and cultural revolutions**'. It expects Chinese education policy and practice today will be discussed and compared by artists, teachers and others in the Chinese and Australian hindsight of education policy and practice at the supposed end of Chinese cultural revolution in 1976, when Xhou En Lai and Mao died. (I was a post-graduate education student then). Visual communication and experience help learning, whether up to some standards or not. You need greater regional understanding.

I justify the proposed film attached, '**Whither the Party? Education, art and cultural revolutions**' as a key undertaking *first in the broadly international, regional and local terms in which the driving digital revolution also appears as a key part*. The event **Capitalising on China's Digital Revolution** is presented by University of Sydney China Studies Centre, University of Sydney Business School, the City of Sydney 2030 (Green Global and Connected); other planning and development partners, backed by other Chinese and Australian interests. A related file of letters is attached to indicate progress in this regional direction. Learn to fit in globally, like they have in Alice Springs. Your mob is feudal.

How people like you are destroying the country helped by even dumber girls

About a month after I got your membership suspension letter to me on 17.7.15, I found myself in Alice Springs. The man who sat down beside me when I was having a quiet beer alone on Sunday afternoon, engaged me in conversation. This quickly turned to politics until I insulted his town war memorial and male intelligence capacity. He picked up his beer and walked off. Nobody called the cops and I could have bought another beer if I'd wanted. Although I did not bother to write to you at the time, this reminded me of what a lousy manager you are in clubs and of the related history of why I should write to you today.

Surely you cannot run a business as if you have no respect for the paying customer's dollar? Baby, you're not Einstein laying down the law and your staff also appear to have trouble with concepts. They don't act that way in Alice Springs, as I pointed out above.

I bet I was your member, paying customer and somebody's teacher at Sydney University, for many years before your girls started to work behind your counter. I guess you are used to working in a system where college men's ball sporting priorities increasingly dominate all others. I also guess that's tough for all those of us who may put recreation first, because we personally like to watch music, dancing and singing on TV at the gym and want to see a bit.

Logically, however, **Recreation includes sport, not the reverse**, for good broadly grounded public policy reasons. This is because recreation is a **regional planning concept** which logically includes all persons aging from birth to death. The industry-based approach to sport as a potential way to improve it while becoming more popular and knowledgeable more widely, is exemplified in the film **Moneyball**. People also have a right to recreation but you appear designed to ignore or kill off those not in your path. At least see **Moneyball** written by Michael Lewis, the famous financial expert who wrote about the global financial crisis of 2008 in **The Big Short**. He studied art history at university. Go figure. I did English literature at Queensland University instead. With history it was a magnificent combination. (It's incredibly exciting when you first see it and see you might end up in the same place.)

I gave a copy of this Moneyball DVD to your staff. A related explanation of this filmed sports management text is at www.Carolodonnell.com.au The film points out that to make money management must be constructed in regional contexts which are also designed to get the best out of many related communities, rather than sacrificing them to narrower old boys' college football privileges. Sydney college sportsmen and their mates appear to have practiced the latter for many years by leaching money off all the Sydney University students while sending the lion's share of it to college men's football.

One wonders how far those days are over or becoming entrenched, courtesy of a few female or male staff who appear favoured over other members for perhaps bad reasons. For example, although your letter (17.7.15) contains no charge against me, I guess it can only relate to **Items 18 and 19** in your Sydney Uni Sport and Fitness (SUSF) Terms and Conditions. I guess I'm supposed to have ***lacked respect, engaged in conduct that disturbs, and didn't follow the reasonable directions of SUSF staff at all times***. Is this your charge?

Let me offer a few home truths about how to fail in business without really trying. I have no idea what keeps you afloat but guess it's your mates in construction with college boys living off compulsory fees all students pay, though so few use the gym, as usual.

I'd also like to make some related historical points. When I first started at your gym it was exclusively for women – on the floor and behind the counter. In the intervening years I saw it turn into an overwhelmingly male dominated gym, with a few very officious and/or dumb girls like Gemma and Grace behind the counter. Only dumber men would back them up?

Admittedly, girls like that may learn it at school. I spent eleven years, for example, pointing out to teaching and research colleagues in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Sydney Uni., that there is a vast conceptual difference between talking to people and sticking things in their bodies. I won't be censored as they use me to embark on many officious and expensive forms of the equivalent of the dominating professional or phatic communication. **The Sydney Uni. Sport and Fitness (SUSF) terms and conditions, for example, appear to be protecting the staff against other members.** Are you perhaps running Hitler Youth for girls?

The more women behind counters are offended by customers and run to the nearest authority figure or club member for help, (male as usual), the less time and capacity there is

for sustainable business. If you think what happened to me was correct from any client perspective, I've got news about the world outside your cosy old boys' network practice.

In Chapter 1 of the **Quotations** entitled **The Communist Party**, Mao said, for example:

"Only through the practice of the people, that is, through experience, can we verify whether a policy is correct or wrong and determine to what extent it is correct or wrong.....Therefore, before any action is taken, we must explain the policy, which we have formulated in the light of the given circumstances, to Party members and to the masses.....If we actually forget the Party's general line and general policy, then we shall be blind, half-baked, muddle headed revolutionaries, and when we carry out a specific line for work and a specific policy, we shall lose our bearings and vacillate now to the left and now to the right, and the work will suffer."

I am experience personified in seeing this so naturally have confidence by my side. Mao is coming back, Baby, along with Taika Waititi. See related Australian film direction attached. Wake up. Give it to the cops, the guy in the park and anybody else who might be interested.

Cheers

Carol O'Donnell, St. James Court, 10/11 Rosebank St., Glebe, Sydney 2037

www.Carolodonnell.com.au (Also known as Lilith the Magic Pudding, Chief Alternative to Faith and Queen of the Monkeys.)

